Assessor Decision November 15, 2022

DUE DATE

cision	
5, 2022	
$\Gamma ext{E}$ PETITION FOR ABATEM	MENT OR REFUND OF TAXES
County: Boulder	Date Received
	(Use Assessor's or Commissioners' Data Stamp) RECEIVED MAY 02 2022
Section I: Petitioner, please complete Section I or	
Date: 4 1 2022 Month Day Year	May 4, 2022 BY: BOULDER COUNT ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
Petitioner's Name: Noah Abrams	Appeals Coordinator ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
Petitioner's Mailing Address: 406 5 2	ed Ave
Superior	(0 80027
Cify or Town	State Zlp Code
SCHEDULE OR PARCEL NUMBER(S) PROPERTY 8050 4771 905	Tempted ways Dr Longmont
ROSO 4770 903	Jempted wars Dr Longmont
ROSO 4769 901	Tempted ways Dr 20mgmont
above property for the property tax year 2021	ropriate taxes and states that the taxes assessed against the are incorrect for the following reasons: (Briefly describe why nether due to erroneous valuation, irregularity in levying, its if necessary.)
	(500,000) Total)
Petitioner's estimate of value: \$\frac{125,000}{\text{Value}}\$	(500,000) Total) each (2021) Year
	ee, that this petition, together with any accompanying exhibits e, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, is
We the	Daytime Phone Number (720) 436 - 7011
Petitioner's Signature	Daytime Phone Number (720) 436-7011 Email 10abrams @ yahoo. Com
ByAgent's Signature*	Daytime Phone Number ()
Printed Name:	Email

*Letter of agency must be attached when petition is submitted by an agent.

If the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to § 39-10-114(1), C.R.S., or the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to § 39-2-116, C.R.S., denies the petition for refund or abatement of taxes in whole or in part, the Petitioner may appeal to the Board of Assessment Appeals pursuant to the provisions of § 39-2-125, C.R.S., within thirty days of the entry of any such decision, § 39-10-114.5(1), C.R.S.

Section II:			's Recommendation sessor's Use Only)	
Original	Actual 213600	Assessed 61944	Tax 6035.45	
Corrected	162000 46000	4577.45		
Abate/Refund	51600	14964	1,458.00	
Assessor recommends approval as outlined above. If the request for abatement is based upon the grounds of overvaluation, no abatement or refund of taxes shall be made if an objection or protest to such valuation has been filled and a Notice of Determination has been malled to the taxpayer, § 39-10-114(1)(a)(i)(D), C.R.S.				
Tax year:	Protest? 🗌 No	Yes (If a p	protest was filed, please attach a copy of the NOD.)	
Assessor recommends denial for the following reason(s):				
			Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature	

FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

Section III:	Wr	itten Mutual Aç		ent of As ents up to \$1		l Petitioner	
to review petition abatement or ref property, in acco	The Commissioners of County authorize the Assessor by Resolution No to review petitions for abatement or refund and to settle by written mutual agreement any such petition for abatement or refund in an amount of \$10,000 or less per tract, parcel, or lot of land or per schedule of personal property, in accordance with § 39-1-113(1.5), C.R.S. The Assessor and Petitioner mutually agree to the values and tax abatement/refund of:						
		Tax Year				ax Year	
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Assessed</u>	<u>Tax</u>		<u>Actual</u>	Assessed	<u>Tax</u>
Original						-	
Corrected							
Abate/Refund							
		nclude accrued interest, y Treasurer for full paym			sociated with late	and/or delinquent tax	payments, if
Petitioner's Signatu	ıre			Dat	е		
Assessor's or Depu	ıty Assessor's S	ignature		Dat	e		
Section IV:		Decision of t				rs	
		(Must be comp					
WHEREAS, the	County Comm	issioners of					
called regular me	eeting held on			ich meetin	g there were p	resent the followi	ng members:
		Month Day Year					
							
with notice of suc	ch meeting an	d an opportunity to	be prese	ent having	been given to	the Petitioner and	the Assessor
of said County a	ŭ	,	20 p. 00.	·	ŭ	ing present-not	
or oard oodrity ar	_		Name				
Petitioner	Nan	ne	(beir	ig presen	-not present	t), and WHEREAS	S, the said
County Commiss		arefully considered	the with	in petition,	and are fully a	advised in relatior	thereto,
-		the Board (agrees-			-		
		approved in part					
•				,			
		,	_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Year Ass	essed Value	Taxes Abate/Refun	d	Year	Assessed V	alue Taxes	Abate/Refund
			-	Chairperson	of the Board of	County Commission	ers' Signature
I,		County C	lerk and	l Ex-officio	Clerk of the B	loard of County C	ommissioners
in and for the afo	rementioned	county, do hereby o e Board of County	ertify tha	at the abov	e and foregoir	ng order is trulý co	ppied from the
·	ū	·					
IN WITNESS WI	HEREOF , I ha	ve hereunto set my	hand ar	nd affixed t	he seal of said	d County	
this	_ day of	Month	Year	<u>_</u> :			
		WOTH	i cai		County Clerk's	s or Deputy County	Clerk's Signature
Note: Abatements g	reater than \$10,0	00 per schedule, per ye	ar, must b	e submitted i	n duplicate to the	Property Tax Admini	strator for review.
Section V:		Action of the				or	
		(For all aba	nements	greater than	Φ10,000)		
		inty Commissioners	s, relative		•		
☐ Approved ☐	Approved in [oart \$		_ ∐ Den	ied for the follo	owing reason(s):	
l 			_				
Conrate	ary's Signature		_	Property Ta	x Administrator's	Signature	Date

15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/16

LOT OWNER'S VALUATION

TAX YEAR 2021

The following four lots on Tempted Ways Drive were incorrectly valued for tax year 2021, based upon the sale of the very same lots within the base period for comparative sales of July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2020.

•	R0504772	907 Tempted Ways Drive	Sold 1/29/2020	\$125,000
•	R0504771	905 Tempted Ways Drive	Sold 1/29/2020	\$125,000
•	R0504770	903 Tempted Ways Drive	Sold 1/29/2020	\$125,000
	R0504769	901 Tempted Ways Drive	Sold 1/29/2020	\$125,000

BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

HEARING DATE 8	December 13, 20	022; 3pm			
HEARING OFFICE	R: Lori Freedman				
ASSESSOR REPRE	SENTATIVE: Brennan, Ca	thy			
PETITIONER'S NA	ME: Abrams Noah	ACCOUNT N	аме : <u>А</u> Е	BRAMS NOAH	
AGENT:					
	BER: R0504770				
	NER WAS (check one):	Present Not Present			
RECOMMEND	DATIONS:				
PETITIO	ON:	CLASSIFICA	ATION:		
Approvex Approve Deny Pe	Petition in Part	x_ Same Chang			
Settled (Must include s	settlement sheet)		Year	Value	
Assessor reco	mmending a lower value	than current actual?	2021	162000	
Tax year	Assessor Actual Value	Petitioned Value		Recommended Value	
2021	162000	125000		162000	
Hearing Office	r Signature:lori Frudi	man	_ D	ate: <u>December</u> 13, 2022	

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement

Page 2

The Assessor presented the following testimony and a. ()Data from sales of comparable properties which sol b. ()Data establishing the replacement cost new, less doc. ()Gross rent, expenses, vacancy and collection loss obtained during applicable time period. d. ()Other	d during the ap epreciation of the lata from comp	plicable time period. ne property. arable properties
Petitioner presented the following testimony and docume	ents in support	of the Petitioner's position:
(Please limit comments to the lines provided.)		
The Petitioner and the Assessor have AGREED that: a. ()The proper classification and actual value of the property is:	<u>Class</u>	Actual Value
(Attach SETTLEMENT SHEET.)		
	Total	
The Referee finds that the original value placed on the pa. () Is correct for the year(s)		
The land value of the property should be adjusted to tak a. ()location/access b. ()size		ation. . ()view
d. ()contamination e. ()topograph g. ()	y f.	()feasibility for development
The replacement cost of the improvements to the proper a. ()physical depreciation b. ()inferior qu c. ()functional obsolescence d. ()economic obsoles e. ()	ality scence	
MARKET a. ()Market sales provided by Petitioner indicate that a cb. () owner purchase 4 lots for 500,000	lifferent value i	s appropriate.
INCOME		
Petitioner's income evidence demonstrates that:	n	or aquara faat
a. ()The gross rental rate should be adjusted to \$b. ()The vacancy rate should be adjusted to	pe	
c. ()The expense rate should be adjusted to		
d. ()The capitalization rate should be adjusted to	%	ó
e. ()e.		

Account #: R0504770

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement

Page 3

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
 - a. (x)Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
 - b. () Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
 - c. ()Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.

	 d. ()Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
	e. () A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.
7.	ADDITIONAL FINDINGS:
	The appraiser used 6 comparable sales in the same subdivision all very similar in size and on the same street. Per
	the adj sales request to reduce the value to 162,000 on all 3 abated lots R0504770, R0504771, R0504769
	this adj is between the mean 163,900 and median 156,500.
	Owner not present was requesting 125,000 each lot per his bulk purchase of 4 lots for 500,000

Account #: R0504770