DUE DATE PETITION FOR ABATEMENT OR REFUND OF TAXES

| County: Boul | lder | | | | RECE | IVED or o | | ners' Date Stamp) |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Section I: Pe | etitioner, please | complete | Section I only. | | | | | at 9:15 am, Jul 2 |
| Date: July | | | RECI | EIVED | | | | |
| Month Day Year Petitioner's Name: William Schottleutner | | | tner | July 28, 2022 | | | | |
| | ame: <u>VVIIIIam S</u> ailing Address: <u>F</u> | | | Ap | peals C | Coordinate | r | |
| Boulder | alling Address | | | 0 | | 803 | | |
| | City or Town | | | State | | Zip C | ode | |
| 15791700300 | R PARCEL NUMB | ER(S) | | | | GAL DESCRII D LOT 9 BL | | F PROPERTY ESHORE PARK |
| above propert describe why evying, clerica | ty for property tax the taxes have b al error or overva | x year(s) $\frac{2}{2}$ een levied lluation. A | erroneously or illattach additional s | ar legally, who heets if ne | e incorrece ether due cessary.) | ct for the follo to erroneous | wing reas valuatior | sons: (Briefly n, irregularity in |
| Per 39-1-102(14.4)(a), inprovements are loc- ourt review of cases 1 | C.R.S, this property should ated and that is used as 18SC434, 18SC499, and 18 | qualify as reside a unit in conju SC544. This par | ential land as is a contiguous nction with the residential ir cel was taxed as vacant land | parcel adacent to mprovements local during tax year 2 | land under con ated thereon. Th 020 and 2021. | nmon ownership upon nis was confirmed und | which residen er Colorado su | tial ıpreme |
| Petitioner's e | estimate of value | e: 9 | _{\$} 76,000 | ₍ 2020 |)) and | \$ _115,400 | | (2021 ₎ |
| | | , | Value | Yea | | Valu | ie | Year |
| or statements rue, correct, a | , has been prepa and complete. | red or exa | second degree, that mined by me, and | hat this pet d to the be | ition, toge st of my k | ether with any knowledge, in | accomp formation | anying exhibits า and belief, is |
| WAllia | em Schotte | | <u> </u> | Daytime Pl | none Num | nber <u>(</u> 970) | 389-054 | 41 |
| | Petitioner's Sigr | ature | E | Email <u>Will</u> | am.sch | ottleutner@ | gmail.d | com |
| _ | | | _ | | | | | |
| Ву | Agent's Signat | ure* | | Daytime Pl | none Num | nber (<u>)</u> | | |
| Printed Name | : | | E | Email | | | | |
| Letter of agenc | v must be attached | when petiti | on is submitted by a | an agent. | | | | |
| the Board of Collenies the petitio | ounty Commissioners on for refund or abate | s, pursuant to ment of taxe | o § 39-10-114(1), C.R s in whole or in part, t y days of the entry of | S.S., or the Pr | may appea | I to the Board of | Assessme | |
| Section II: | | Α | ssessor's Red | | | | | |
| | Ta | x Year20 | 020 | | | Tax Year | 2021 | |
| | <u>Actual</u> | Assess | ed <u>Tax</u> | | <u>Actual</u> | Asse | ssed | <u>Tax</u> |
| Original | no change | | | | 15400 | 33466 | | 3000.26 |
| Corrected | | | | g | 8700 | 7057 | | 632.59 |
| bate/Refund | | | | | 700 | 2640 | 9 | 2,367.59 |
| | | | s outlined above | | | | | |
| f the request for | abatement is based | upon the gro | ounds of overvaluation ice of Determination h | n, no abateme | | | | |
| ax year: | Protest? | □ No | ☐ Yes (If | s (If a protest was filed, please attach a copy of the NOD.) | | | | |
| ax year: | Protest? | □ No | ☐ Yes (If | f a protest w | as filed, ple | ease attach a co | py of the I | NOD.) |
| ☐ Assessor | recommends d | enial for t | he following rea | son(s): | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | As | sessor's or De | puty Asse | ssor's Signature |

FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

| Section III: | Wr | itten Mutual Aç | | ent of As ents up to \$1 | | d Petitioner | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| abatement or refu property, in accor | for abateme and in an amo dance with § | nt or refund and to bunt of \$10,000 or I 39-1-113(1.5), C.R mutually agree to | settle by ess per l.S. | y written m tract, parce | utual agreeme el, or lot of land | d or per schedule | on for |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Tax Year | | | | ax Year | - |
| | <u>Actual</u> | Assessed | <u>Tax</u> | | <u>Actual</u> | Assessed | <u>Tax</u> |
| Original | | | | | | - | |
| Corrected | | | | | | | |
| Abate/Refund | | | | | | | |
| | | nclude accrued interest, Treasurer for full payn | | | sociated with late | and/or delinquent tax | payments, if |
| Petitioner's Signatur | те | | | Dat | е | | |
| Assessor's or Deput | y Assessor's S | ignature | | Dat | e | | , |
| | | | | | | | |
| Section IV: | | Decision of | | | | rs | |
| | | (Must be com | | | | | |
| WHEREAS, the C | County Comm | issioners of | | | | | |
| called regular me | • | | | ich meetin | g there were p | resent the followi | ng members: |
| | | Month Day Yea | r | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| with notice of suc | n meeting an | d an opportunity to | be pres | ent having | been given to | the Petitioner and | the Assessor |
| of said County an | Ū | , | 20 p. 00 | · | Ü | ing present-not | |
| or daid odding an | ــ ، المحمد الم | | Name | | | | |
| Petitioner | Nam | ie | (bei | ig presen | -not presen | <i>t)</i> , and WHEREAS | S, the said |
| County Commissi | | arefully considered | I the with | in petition, | and are fully | advised in relatior | thereto, |
| - | | the Board <i>(agrees</i> | | | - | | |
| | | -approved in part | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Year Asse | ssed Value | Taxes Abate/Refun | d | Year | Assessed \ | /alue Taxes | Abate/Refund |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | Chairperson | of the Board of | County Commission | ers' Signature |
| I, | | County (| Clerk and | d Ex-officio | Clerk of the E | Board of County C | ommissioners |
| in and for the afor | ementioned of | county, do hereby on the Board of County | certify the | at the abov | e and foregoi | ng order is trulý co | pied from the |
| • | ŭ | • | | | | | |
| IN WITNESS WH | EREOF, I ha | ve hereunto set my | / hand a | nd affixed t | he seal of said | d County | |
| this | day of | Month | Year | · | | | |
| | | WOTH | rear | | County Clerk' | s or Deputy County | Clerk's Signature |
| Note: Abatements gr | eater than \$10,0 | 00 per schedule, per ye | ear, must b | e submitted i | n duplicate to the | Property Tax Admini | strator for review. |
| | | | | | | | |
| Section V: | | Action of the | | | | or | |
| | | · | | greater than | , | | |
| | | nty Commissioner | s, relativ | | • | | |
| ☐ Approved ☐ | Approved in p | oart \$ | | ∐ Den | ied for the foll | owing reason(s): | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1.0: | | - | | A 1 | | |
| Secretar | y's Signature | | | Property Ta | x Administrator's | Signature | Date |

15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/16

BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| HEARING DATE & TIME: December 13, 202 | 22; 2pm – | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| HEARING OFFICER: Lori Freedman | | | | | |
| ASSESSOR REPRESENTATIVE: Schroeder, St | ef | | | | |
| PETITIONER'S NAME: William T & Maureen | D Schottlectoeint NA | AME: SCHOTTLEUTNER WILLIAM T & MAURE | | | |
| AGENT: | | | | | |
| ACCOUNT NUMBER: R0023449 | YEAR: 2020/2021 | <u></u> | | | |
| THE PETITIONER WAS (check one): | Present Not Present | | | | |
| RECOMMENDATIONS: | | | | | |
| PETITION: | CLASSIFICATION: | | | | |
| Approve Petitionx | Same Change to: residential land | | | | |
| Settled (Must include settlement sheet) | | Year Value | | | |
| Assessor recommending a lower value | than current actual? | 2020 76000 | | | |
| | | 2021 98700 | | | |
| Tax year Assessor Actual Value | Petitioned Value | Recommended Value | | | |
| 2020 76000 | 76000 | 76000 | | | |
| 2021 98700 | 115400 | 98700 | | | |
| Hearing Officer Signature: Lori Frudmi | an | Date: December 15, 2022 | | | |

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement

Page 2

| | The Assessor presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Assessor's positi a. ()Data from sales of comparable properties which sold during the applicable time period. b. ()Data establishing the replacement cost new, less depreciation of the property. c. ()Gross rent, expenses, vacancy and collection loss data from comparable properties obtained during applicable time period. d. ()Other Timely documentation for 2020 and 2021 residential classification | | | | | |
|----|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2. | Petitioner presented the following testimony and document | nts in support of | the Petitioner's position: | | | |
| | (Please limit comments to the lines provided.) | | | | | |
| 3. | The Petitioner and the Assessor have AGREED that: a. ()The proper classification and actual value of the property is: | Class | Actual Value | | | |
| | (Attach SETTLEMENT SHEET.) | | | | | |
| | _ | Total | | | | |
| 1. | The Referee finds that the original value placed on the practice a. ()Is correct for the year(s) | operty by the As | ssessor: | | | |
| 5. | Reason for adjustment: | | | | | |
| | COST The land value of the property should be adjusted to take | |)view | | | |
| | a. ()location/access b. ()size d. ()contamination e. ()topography g. () | |)feasibility for development | | | |
| | d. ()contamination e. ()topography | f. (y should be adju lity cence | usted to compensate for: | | | |
| | d. ()contamination e. ()topography g. () The replacement cost of the improvements to the propert a. ()physical depreciation b. ()inferior qua c. ()functional obsolescence d. ()economic obsolescence | f. (y should be adjudity cence | usted to compensate for: | | | |

Account #: R0023449

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement

Page 3

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
 - a. ()Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
 - b. () Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
 - c. ()Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.
 - d. ()Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
 - e. () A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.

| f. (|) | |
|------|----|---|
| ` | ′- | _ |

7. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS:

The first words from the petitioner were apologizing for wasting our time because of

HB 21-1061 he doesn't qualify for residential classification.

However, the petitioner referred to qualifying per Statute

39-1-102 (14.4) (a) in writing and filing of abatements for tax years 2020 and 2021.

Petitioner also submitted timely and legal documentation as well as verbal testimony that described his parcels as "used as a unit".

The parties should have been speaking of earlier years Statute and guidelines rather than the distraction of the new House Bill. I took the time to read and review all documentation and testimony.

Recommendation is for approve petition for 2020 land value remains at 76,000 and receive the residential classification.

Recommendation is for a partial approval petition for 2021 value to be decreased per comps and appraiser to 98,700 receive the residential classification.

Account #: R0023449