DUE DATE PETITION FOR ABATEMENT OR REFUND OF TAXES

County: Boulder			RECEIVED or Commissioners' Date Stamp)			
Section I: Petitioner, please complete Section I only.			By Boulder County Assessor at 9:50 am, Sep 15, 2			
Date: September 10, 2022 Month Day Year			RECEIVED			
Petitioner's Name: Thomas L and Vivian G Yarnell			September 15, 2022			
Petitioner's Mailing Address: 18746 W 93rd Avenue			Appeals Coordinator			
Arvada, CO 80007						
City or Town		State	Zip Code			
SCHEDULE OR PARCEL NUI	MBER(S) PROPERTY	Y ADDRESS	OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY			
Enclave Replat Block 1, Lo Parcel 157712404015	ot 15 1220 West	1220 West Pine Court, Louisville CQ 80027				
above property for the property	y tax year <u>2021</u> oneously or illegally, w	_ are incorr hether due	xes and states that the taxes assessed against the rect for the following reasons: (Briefly describe why to erroneous valuation, irregularity in levying, ssary.)			
Petitioner's estimate of valu	e: \$_450,000 Valu	ue l	(<u>2021</u>) Year			
		Daytime Email	phone Number (303) 870-8850 tyarnell27@gmail.com			
Printed Name:		Email				
*Letter of agency must be attached when petition is submitted by an agent. If the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to § 39-10-114(1), C.R.S., or the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to § 39-2-116, C.R.S., denies the petition for refund or abatement of taxes in whole or in part, the Petitioner may appeal to the Board of Assessment Appeals pursuant to the provisions of § 39-2-125, C.R.S., within thirty days of the entry of any such decision, § 39-10-114.5(1), C.R.S.						
Section II:	Assessor's Ro					
	2021 Tax Year	,,				
<u>Actual</u>	Assessed	Tax				
Original 899000	260710 24	145.40				
Corrected 598000	173420 16	061.12				
Abate/Refund 301000	87290 8	3,084.28				
Assessor recommends approval as outlined above.						
If the request for abatement is based upon the grounds of overvaluation, no abatement or refund of taxes shall be made if an objection or protest to such valuation has been filed and a Notice of Determination has been mailed to the taxpayer, § 39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(D), C.R.S.						
Tax year: Protest?						
Assessor recommends denial for the following reason(s):						
			Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature			

FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

Section III:	Wr	itten Mutual Aç		ent of As ents up to \$1		d Petitioner	
The Commissioners of County authorize the Assessor by Resolution No. to review petitions for abatement or refund and to settle by written mutual agreement any such petition for abatement or refund in an amount of \$10,000 or less per tract, parcel, or lot of land or per schedule of personal property, in accordance with § 39-1-113(1.5), C.R.S. The Assessor and Petitioner mutually agree to the values and tax abatement/refund of:							
		Tax Year				ax Year	-
	<u>Actual</u>	Assessed	<u>Tax</u>		<u>Actual</u>	Assessed	<u>Tax</u>
Original							
Corrected						-	
Abate/Refund							
		nclude accrued interest, Treasurer for full payn			sociated with late	and/or delinquent tax	payments, if
Petitioner's Signatur	те			Dat	е		
Assessor's or Deput	y Assessor's S	ignature		Dat	e		,
Section IV:		Decision of				rs	
		(Must be com					
WHEREAS, the C	County Comm	issioners of					
called regular me	•			ich meetin	g there were p	resent the followi	ng members:
		Month Day Yea	r				
							
with notice of suc	n meeting an	d an opportunity to	be pres	ent having	been given to	the Petitioner and	the Assessor
of said County an	Ū	,	20 p. 00	·	Ü	ing present-not	
or daid odding an	ــ ، المحمد الم		Name				
Petitioner	Nam	ie	(bei	ig presen	-not presen	<i>t)</i> , and WHEREAS	S, the said
County Commissi		arefully considered	I the with	in petition,	and are fully	advised in relatior	thereto,
-		the Board <i>(agrees</i>			-		
		-approved in part					
•							
			_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Year Asse	ssed Value	Taxes Abate/Refun	d	Year	Assessed \	/alue Taxes	Abate/Refund
			-	Chairperson	of the Board of	County Commission	ers' Signature
I,		County (Clerk and	d Ex-officio	Clerk of the E	Board of County C	ommissioners
in and for the afor	ementioned of	county, do hereby on the Board of County	certify the	at the abov	e and foregoi	ng order is trulý co	pied from the
•	ŭ	•					
IN WITNESS WH	EREOF, I ha	ve hereunto set my	/ hand a	nd affixed t	he seal of said	d County	
this	day of	Month	Year	·			
		WOTH	rear		County Clerk'	s or Deputy County	Clerk's Signature
Note: Abatements gr	eater than \$10,0	00 per schedule, per ye	ear, must b	e submitted i	n duplicate to the	Property Tax Admini	strator for review.
Section V:		Action of the				or	
(For all abatements greater than \$10,000)							
The action of the Board of County Commissioners, relative to this abatement petition, is hereby							
Approved Approved in part \$ Denied for the following reason(s):							
	1.0:		-		A 1		
Secretar	y's Signature			Property Ta	x Administrator's	Signature	Date

15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/16

BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

HEARING DATE & 1	FIME: December 13, 2022	2; 1:30pm -				
HEARING OFFICER	: Lori Freedman					
ASSESSOR REPRES	ENTATIVE: Brennan, Cath	у				
PETITIONER'S NAM	ne: Thomas L & Vivian G	Yarnell Account NA	AME: YA	ARNELL THOMAS L	& VIVAN G	
AGENT:						
ACCOUNT NUMBE	R: R0105458	YEAR: <u>2021</u>				
THE PETITION	ER WAS (check one):	Not Present				
RECOMMENDA	ATIONS:					
PETITION:		CLASSIFICATION:				
Approve F _x Approve F _ Deny Peti	Petition in Part	x_ Same Chang				
Settled (Must include se	ettlement sheet)		Year	Value		
Assessor recom	nmending a lower value t	han current actual?	2021	680400		
Tax year	Assessor Actual Value	Petitioned Value		Recommended Value		
2021	680400	450000		598000		
Hearing Officer	 Signature:lori Frudma		_ D	ate: <u>December 13, 2022</u>		

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement

Page 2

n support of th	ne Petitioner's position:
Class	Actual Value
Class	Actual Value
	Actual value
Total	
ty by the Asse	essor:
c. ()v f. ()fe	
e	ed to compensate for:
	propriate.
	juare foot.
%	
	f. ()fe

Account #: R0105458

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement

Page 3

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
 - a. ()Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
 - b. ()Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
 - c. ()Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.
 - d. ()Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
 - e. () A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.
 - f. () comps indicate further adj

7.	ADDITIONAL	FINDINGS:

appraiser requested 899,000 to 680,400 this was highest adj sale and owner asked why adj to highest.

The 3 comps adj to 516,000 LO 549,000 SUP 680,400 LO. Considered the 2 LO sales and further adj to 598,000.

The post base year purchase of theirs and neighbors vacant lots were just prior to the Marshall fire the purchased combined offer to protect their views. Their home next door was destroyed.

The condition of lot plastic and debris photos maybe presented for 1/1/2022 status

Account #: R0105458