Assessor Decision November 15, 2022

### DUE DATE PETITION FOR ABATEMENT OR REFUND OF TAXES

County: Boulder		Date Received
Section I: Petitioner, please com	plete Section I only	RECEIVED or Commissioners' Date Stamp)  By Boulder County Assessor at 6:45 am, May 04, 20
· · ·	,	RECEIVED
Date: 04-26-2022  Month Day Year		May 4, 2022
D. C.C. and Many Health Deletion		Appeals Coordinator
Petitioner's Name: <u>HydraPak, LLC</u>	Total Control Onits	
Petitioner's Mailing Address: 2605	Trade Center, Suite	D, Longmont, CO 80503
City or Town		State Zip Code
SCHEDULE OR PARCEL NUMBER(S	PROPERTY A	DDRESS OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY
P0262889 / 146311309003_	4601 Nau	utilus Court South, Boulder, CO 80301
above property for the property tax	year <u>2021                                   </u>	opriate taxes and states that the taxes assessed against the are incorrect for the following reasons: Assessors office didubmitted. Office is no longer at property address.
Petitioner's estimate of value:	<b>\$0</b> Value	<u>( 2021</u> _) Year
	or examined by me, a	, that this petition, together with any accompanying exhibits and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, is
Petitioner's Signature		Daytime Phone Number (408) 834-7600
Complete Solgingano		Email jtakeshita@hydrapak.com
By		Daytime Phone Number ()
Agent's Signature*		
Printed Name:		Email
*Letter of agency must be attached when	petition is submitted by	y an agent.
denies the petition for refund or abatement of	f taxes in whole or in part	.R.S., or the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to § 39-2-116, C.R.S., t, the Petitioner may appeal to the Board of Assessment Appeals pursuant of any such decision, § 39-10-114.5(1), C.R.S.
Section II:	Assessor's Rec	
Tax Y	ear	500 S,,
		ax
Original		
Corrected		
Abate/Refund		
☐ Assessor recommends appro-	val as outlined abo	ve.
		on, no abatement or refund of taxes shall be made if an objection or protest een mailed to the taxpayer, § 39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(D), C.R.S.
Tax year:Protest?	☐ Yes (If a protest wa	s filed, please attach a copy of the NOD.)
☐ Assessor recommends denial	for the following re	eason(s):
		Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature
15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/17		

## FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

Section III:	Written Mutual Ag	reement of		Petitioner	
The Commissioners of to review petitions for aba abatement or refund in a property, in accordance with the Assessor and Petit	n amount of \$10,000 or le vith § 39-1-113(1.5), C.R.	settle by writte ess per tract, p S.	arcel, or lot of land	nt ány such petiti or per schedule	on for
A . ( )	Tax Year			x Year	
<u>Actual</u>	<u>Assessed</u>	<u>Tax</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Assessed	<u>Tax</u>
Original					
Corrected					
Abate/Refund					
	s not include accrued interest, County Treasurer for full paym		es associated with late a	and/or delinquent tax	payments, if
Petitioner's Signature			Date		
Assessor's or Deputy Assess	sor's Signature		Date		
Section IV:			Commissioner	s	
WHEREAS, the County (called regular meeting he			County, State of Ceting there were pr		
with notice of such meeting	ng and an opportunity to I	pe present ha	0 0		
of said County and Asses	ssor	Name	(bei	<del>ng present</del> not	<i>present)</i> and
Petitioner	Name		sent-not present	), and WHEREAS	s, the said
County Commissioners h NOW BE IT RESOLVED and the petition be (appr		-does not agi	<b>ee)</b> with the recom	mendation of the	
Year Assessed Valu	ue Taxes Abate/Refund	 I Yea	Assessed Va	alue Taxes	Abate/Refund
		Chairpe	rson of the Board of 0	County Commission	ers' Signature
I, in and for the aforemention record of the proceedings	oned county, do hereby c	lerk and Ex-of	ficio Clerk of the Babove and foregoin	oard of County C	ommissioners
IN WITNESS WHEREOF	, I have hereunto set my	hand and affix	ced the seal of said	County	
this day of	,,,,,	 Year			
	WOTAT	i cai	County Clerk's	or Deputy County (	Clerk's Signature
Note: Abatements greater than	n \$10,000 per schedule, per yea	ar, must be subm	itted in duplicate to the	Property Tax Adminis	strator for review.
Section V:	Action of the F	Property Ta		or	
The action of the Board o	of County Commissioners	, relative to th	,		
Secretary's Signa	ture	Prope	ty Tax Administrator's	Signature	Date

15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/16

# BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

HEARING DATE	E & TIME: December 12, 2	022; 2:00pm	
HEARING OFFI	CER: Chris Ruff		
ASSESSOR REPI	resentative: Longoria, Le	eidy	
PETITIONER'S N	NAME: HYDRAPAK LLC	ACCOUNT N	AME: HYDRAPAK LLC
AGENT:			
ACCOUNT NUM	ивек: <u>P0262889</u>	YEAR: <u>2021</u>	
	ONER WAS (check one)	: _x Present Not Present	na Pikor
RECOMMEN	NDATIONS:		
PETIT	TION:	CLASSIFICA	ATION:
Approv	ve Petition ve Petition in Part Petition	Same Chang	ge to:
Settled	d e settlement sheet)		Year Value
Assessor rec	commending a lower valu	e than current actual?	2021 56,426
Tax year	Assessor Actual Value	Petitioned Value	Recommended Value
2021	56,426	0	56,426
-			
Hearing Office	cer Signature:(Lunis Kuff		Date: <u>December 12, 2022</u>

### **Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement**

Page 2

	The Assessor presented the following testimony and a. ( )Data from sales of comparable properties which s b. (	old during the ap depreciation of t a data from comp	oplicable time period. he property. parable properties
2.	Petitioner presented the following testimony and docur They moved (still within Boulder County) and they aren't sur	re if the Assessor	has the right PP list.
	(Please limit comments to the lines provided.)		
3.	The Petitioner and the Assessor have <b>AGREED</b> that: a. ( )The proper classification and actual value of the property is:	Class	Actual Value
	(Attach SETTLEMENT SHEET.)		
		Total	
4.	The Referee finds that the original value placed on the a. (a) Is correct for the year(s) 2021	property by the	Assessor:
	b. () Is incorrect for the year(s)	<del>-</del>	
5.	b. () Is incorrect for the year(s)	_	
5.	b. ( )Is incorrect for the year(s)  Reason for adjustment:  COST  The land value of the property should be adjusted to ta a. ( )location/access b. ( )size	_ ake into consider C	ation: :. ( )view . ( )feasibility for development 
ō.	b. ( )Is incorrect for the year(s)  Reason for adjustment:  COST  The land value of the property should be adjusted to ta a. ( )location/access b. ( )size d. ( )contamination e. ( )topograp	erty should be a	djusted to compensate for:
5.	Reason for adjustment:  COST The land value of the property should be adjusted to ta a. ( )location/access b. ( )size d. ( )contamination e. ( )topograp g. ( )  The replacement cost of the improvements to the prop a. ( )physical depreciation b. ( )inferior c. ( )functional obsolescence d. ( )economic obsolescence	erty should be a quality escence	c. ( )view c. ( )feasibility for development djusted to compensate for:

Account #: P0262889

#### **Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement**

Page 3

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
  - a. ( )Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
  - b. ( )Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
  - c. ( )Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.
  - d. (x) Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
  - e. () A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS:						
	ADDITIO	NAL FINDINGS:				
Assr says they based 2021 valuation on the last available, and said they would provide listing to Petitioner f	Assr testi	ied that Petitioner submitte	d a 2020 Declara	ation, nothing in	2021, and a lum	np-sum declaration for
	Assr says	they based 2021 valuation	on the last availa	able, and said t	hey would provid	le listing to Petitioner f

Account #: P0262889