Assessor Decision	
November 15, 2022	
DUE DATE PETITION FOR ABATEMENT OR REFUND OF TAXES	

DUE DATE PETITION F	OR ABATEMENT O	R REFUND OF TAXES			
County: Boulder		RECEIVED By Boulder County Assessor at 9:12 am, Jul 27, 202			
Section I: Petitioner, please com	plete Section I only.				
_{Date:} July 25 2022		RECEIVED			
Month Day Year	·	July 28, 2022			
Petitioner's Name: William Scho	ttleutner A	Appeals Coordinator			
Petitioner's Mailing Address: P.O.					
Boulder	CO	80307			
City or Town	State	Zip Code			
SCHEDULE OR PARCEL NUMBER(S 157917003005	•	PROPERTY ADDRESS OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY 83 MOUNTAIN VIEW LOT 6 BLK 3 LAKE SHORE PARK			
above property for the property tax the taxes have been levied erroneo clerical error, or overvaluation. Atta	year 2021 are incorre usly or illegally, whether due t ach additional sheets if necess and qualify as residential land as is a contigues a unit in conjunction with the resider	us parcel adacent to land under common ownership upon which residential tial improvements located thereon. This was confirmed under Colorado supreme			
Petitioner's estimate of value:	\$ <u>90,100</u> (2)	2021) Year			
	or examined by me, and to the	petition, together with any accompanying exhibits best of my knowledge, information, and belief, is Phone Number (970) 389-0541 iam.schottleutner@gmail.com			
By Agent's Signature*	Daytime F	Phone Number ()			
Printed Name:	Email				
*Letter of agency must be attached when	petition is submitted by an agent. uant to § 39-10-114(1), C.R.S., or the of taxes in whole or in part, the Petition	Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to § 39-2-116, C.R.S., ner may appeal to the Board of Assessment Appeals pursuant			
Section II:	Assessor's Recommen (For Assessor's Use Only)	dation			
Tax Y	0001				
Actual	Assessed <u>Tax</u>				
	1292342.49				
Corrected	682.69				
Abate/Refund <u>16400</u> 18	3514 1,659.80				
	he grounds of overvaluation, no abate	ement or refund of taxes shall be made if an objection or protest of the taxpayer, § 39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(D), C.R.S.			
	☐ Yes (If a protest was filed, plea				
Assessor recommends denial	for the following reason(s):				

Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature

15-DPT-AR No. 920-66/17

FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

Construction in or section IV must be completed) Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

The Commissioners ofCounty authorize the Assessor by Resolution No to review petitions for abatement or refund and to settle by written mutual agreement any such petition for abatement or refund in an amount of \$10,000 or less per tract, parcel, or lot of land or per schedule of personal property, in accordance with § 39-1-113(1.5), C.R.S.	
The Assessor and Petitioner mutually agree to the values and tax abatement/refund of:	
Tax Year Tax Year	
Actual Assessed Tax Actual Assessed Tax	
Original	
Corrected	
Abate/Refund	
Note: The total tax amount does not include accrued interest, penalties, and fees associated with late and/or delinquent tax payments, if applicable. Please contact the County Treasurer for full payment information.	
Petitioner's Signature Date	
Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature Date	—
Section IV: Decision of the County Commissioners (Must be completed if Section III does not apply)	
WHEREAS, the County Commissioners of County, State of Colorado, at a duly and lawfull called regular meeting held on//, at which meeting there were present the following members	
with notice of such meeting and an opportunity to be present having been given to the Petitioner and the Assess	or
of said County and Assessor (being presentnot present) an Name Petitioner (being presentnot present), and WHEREAS, the said	
Name	
County Commissioners have carefully considered the within petition, and are fully advised in relation thereto, NOW BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board (agreesdoes not agree) with the recommendation of the Assessor and the petition be (approvedapproved in partdenied) with an abatement/refund as follows:	
Year Assessed Value Taxes Abate/Refund Year Assessed Value Taxes Abate/Refund	-
Chairperson of the Board of County Commissioners' Signature	-
I,County Clerk and Ex-officio Clerk of the Board of County Commissione in and for the aforementioned county, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing order is truly copied from th record of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners.	le
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County	
this day of, Month Year	
County Clerk's or Deputy County Clerk's Signate	ire
Note: Abatements greater than \$10,000 per schedule, per year, must be submitted in duplicate to the Property Tax Administrator for review	N.
Section V: Action of the Property Tax Administrator (For all abatements greater than \$10,000)	
The action of the Board of County Commissioners, relative to this abatement petition, is hereby	
The dealer of the board of obtainty commissioners, relative to this abdicitient petition, is hereby	
Approved Approved in part \$ Denied for the following reason(s):	
Approved Approved in part \$ Denied for the following reason(s):	

BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

HEARING DATE &	TIME: December 13, 202	2; 2:30pm			
HEARING OFFICE	R: Lori Freedman				
ASSESSOR REPRES	SENTATIVE: Schroeder, St	ef			
PETITIONER'S NAI	ME: William T & Maureen	Schottleutoeount N	AME: SC		WILLIAM T & MAURE
AGENT:					
ACCOUNT NUMBE	R: <u>R0023492</u>	YEAR: <u>2021</u>			
THE PETITION	ER WAS (check one):	x Present Not Present Agent:			-
RECOMMEND	ATIONS:				
PETITIO	N:	CLASSIFICA	TION:		
Approve Petition <u></u> Approve Petition in Part Deny Petition		Same Change to: ^{residential land}			
Settled (Must include s	ettlement sheet)		Year	Value	
Assessor recon	nmending a lower value t	than current actual?	2021	106500	_
Tax year	Assessor Actual Value	Petitioned Value		Recommended Value	
2021	106500	90100		106500	
			-		-
Hearing Officer	Signature: Lori Frudma	an	D	ate:December 15, 202	22

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement

Page 2

- 1. The Assessor presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Assessor's position:
 - a. ()Data from sales of comparable properties which sold during the applicable time period.
 - b. ()Data establishing the replacement cost new, less depreciation of the property.
 - c. ()Gross rent, expenses, vacancy and collection loss data from comparable properties obtained during applicable time period.
 - d. ()Other_____
- 2. Petitioner presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Petitioner's position: documents for the 2020 and 2021 support residential classification

	(Please limit comments to the lines provided.)		
-	The Petitioner and the Assessor have AGREED that: a. ()The proper classification and actual value of the property is:	<u> </u>	Actual Value
	(Attach SETTLEMENT SHEET.)		
		Total	
	The Referee finds that the original value placed on the a. ()Is correct for the year(s)b. ()Is incorrect for the year(s)_2021	_	Assessor:
•	Reason for adjustment:		
	COSTThe land value of the property should be adjusted to taa. ()location/accessb. ()sized. ()contaminatione. ()topograpg. ()g. ()	ohy f	ration: c. ()view f. ()feasibility for development
	The replacement cost of the improvements to the prop- a. ()physical depreciation b. ()inferior q c. ()functional obsolescence d. ()economic obsole e. ()	luality escence	idjusted to compensate for:
	MARKET a. ()Market sales provided by Petitioner indicate that a b. () <u>The adj comps indicate an increase in value to 106,500</u>		
	INCOME Petitioner's income evidence demonstrates that: a. ()The gross rental rate should be adjusted to \$ b. ()The vacancy rate should be adjusted to		

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
 - a. ()Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
 - b. ()Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
 - c. ()Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.
 - d. ()Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
 - e. ()A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.
 - f. ()____

7. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS: _

The first words from the petitioner were apologizing for wasting our time because of

HB 21-1061 he doesn't qualify for residential classification.

However, the petitioner referred to qualifying per Statute

39-1-102 (14.4) (a) in writing and filing of abatements for tax years 2020 and 2021.

Petitioner also submitted timely and legal documentation as well as verbal testimony that described his parcels as "used as a unit".

The parties should have been speaking of earlier years Statute and guidelines rather than the distraction of the new House Bill. I took the time to read and review all documentation and testimony.

Recommendation is for a partial approval of petition.

The parcel to receive the residential classification but to increase the market value from 90,100 to 106,500.