Assessor Decision November 15, 2022 DUE DATE PETITION FOR ABATEMENT OR REFUND OF TAXES

County: Boulder	r			Date Received RECEIVED ^r 's or Commissioners' Date Stamp)
Santian I. Datiti	nor place of	mploto Soction La	nly	By Boulder County Assessor at 7:01 am, Sep 06, 20
<u>Section 1</u> : Petitic	oner, please co	mplete Section I c	oniy.	By Boulder County Assessor at 7.01 and, Sep 00, 20
Date: August, Month	<u>, 25, 2022</u> Day Year			RECEIVED
Petitioner's Name	Richmond	American Homes o	f Colorado	September 6, 2022
		350 S Monaco Stre		Appeals Coordinator
	Denver		со	80237
С	ity or Town		State	Zip Code
SCHEDULE OR PA Account # P04		()		S OR LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY le, Longmont, CO 80501
above property fo the taxes have be clerical error, or o	r the property ta en levied errone vervaluation. A	x year <u>2021</u> eously or illegally, w ttach additional she	are inco whether due eets if nece	axes and states that the taxes assessed against the rrect for the following reasons: (Briefly describe why e to erroneous valuation, irregularity in levying, ssary.) on December 31, 2020
Petitioner's estir	nate of value:	\$ <u>0.00</u> Valu		(<u>2021</u>) Year
	s been prepared			is petition, together with any accompanying exhibits ne best of my knowledge, information, and belief, is
Michae	l Scott		Daytime	e Phone Number (720) 977-3475
Petitioner's	Signature		-	Michael.Scott@mdch.com
Ву			Daytime	e Phone Number ()
Agent's Sig	nature*			
Printed Name:			Email	
*Lottor of agoncy mu	ist bo attached wh	en petition is submitte	d by an agon	•
If the Board of County denies the petition for	Commissioners, purrefund or abatemer	rsuant to § 39-10-114(1 It of taxes in whole or in), C.R.S., or t part, the Peti	the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to § 39-2-116, C.R.S., tioner may appeal to the Board of Assessment Appeals pursuant ch decision, § 39-10-114.5(1), C.R.S.
Section II:		Assessor's R (For Assesso		
	Тах	Year	-	
	Actual	Assessed	<u>Tax</u>	
Original				
Corrected				
Abate/Refund				
Assessor rec	ommends appi	oval as outlined a	bove.	
				atement or refund of taxes shall be made if an objection or protest d to the taxpayer, § 39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(D), C.R.S.
Tax year: F	Protest? 🗌 No	☐ Yes (If a protest	was filed, p	lease attach a copy of the NOD.)
Assessor rec	ommends deni	al for the following	g reason(s	5):
				Assessor's or Deputy Assessor's Signature
15-DPT-AR No. 920-0	66/17			

Hearing officer Denied

FOR ASSESSORS AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS USE ONLY (Section III or Section IV must be completed)

(Section IV must be completed) Every petition for abatement or refund filed pursuant to § 39-10-114, C.R.S. shall be acted upon pursuant to the provisions of this section by the Board of County Commissioners or the Assessor, as appropriate, within six months of the date of filing such petition, § 39-1-113(1.7), C.R.S.

Section III:	v	/ritten Mutual Ag (Only for	greement of a batements up		nd Petition	er
to review petition abatement or r	County authorize the Assessor by Resolution No. County authorize the Assessor by Resolution No. between the Assessor by Resolution No. County authorize the Assessor by Resolu					
The Assessor	and Petition	er mutually agree to	the values a	ind tax abatem	ent/refund of:	
		Tax Year			Tax Year	
	Actual	Assessed	Tax	Actual	Assesse	<u>d Tax</u>
Original _						
Corrected				<u> </u>		
Abate/Refund _						
		include accrued interest, nty Treasurer for full paym		es associated with l	ate and/or delinqu	ent tax payments, if
Petitioner's Signa	ature			Date		
Assessor's or De	puty Assessor's	Signature		Date		
Section IV:		Decision of t (Must be comp		Commission		
		missioners of n // Month Day Year	_, at which m			
	and Assessor	Ind an opportunity to	Namo	(being presen	<i>not present)</i> and
County Commi NOW BE IT RE	ssioners have SOLVED, tha	ame carefully considered at the Board (agrees- dapproved in part	the within pe does <i>not a</i>g	tition, and are fu r ree) with the rec	lly advised in re commendation	elation thereto, of the Assessor
	ssessed Value	Taxes Abate/Refund	d Ye	ar Assesse	ed Value	Taxes Abate/Refund
			Chairp	erson of the Board	of County Com	nissioners' Signature
I, in and for the a record of the p	forementioned roceedings of	County C d county, do hereby c the Board of County	ertify that the	above and fored	e Board of Cou going order is t	unty Commissioners ruly copied from the
		ave hereunto set my	hand and aff	ixed the seal of s	said County	
this	day of	Month	Year		ork's or Doputy C	ounty Clerk's Signature
Nister Al 1						, ,
Note: Abatements	greater than \$10	1,000 per schedule, per ye	ar, must be subr	nitted in duplicate to	the Property Tax	Administrator for review.
Section V:		Action of the I (For all aba	Property T		ator	
The action of th		ounty Commissioners		nis abatement pe Denied for the		
Secre	etary's Signature		Prop	erty Tax Administrat	or s Signature	Date

BOULDER COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING HEARING OFFICER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

HEARING DATE & 1	TIME: December 12, 20	022; 1:30pm			
HEARING OFFICER	: Chris Ruff				
ASSESSOR REPRES	ENTATIVE: Longoria, Le	idy			
PETITIONER'S NAM	1E: RICHMOND AMER	ICAN HOM	AME: RI	CHMOND AMERIC	AN HOMES
AGENT:					
	R: P0406360	YEAR: <u>2021</u>			
THE PETITIONI	ER WAS (check one):	<u>x</u> Not Present			
RECOMMENDA	TIONS:				
PETITION:		CLASSIFIC	ATION:		
Approve F Approve F Approve F Approve F Approve Peti	Petition in Part	Same Chang			
Settled (Must include se	ettlement sheet)		Year	Value	
Assessor recom	mending a lower value	e than current actual?	2021	74,923	_
Tax year	Assessor Actual Value	Petitioned Value		Recommended Value	
2021	74,923	0	_	74,923	
Hearing Officer	 Luris Kuff Signature:		_ 	pate:December 12, 202	- 22

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations - Abatement

Page 2

- 1. The Assessor presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Assessor's position:
 - a. ()Data from sales of comparable properties which sold during the applicable time period.
 - b. () Data establishing the replacement cost new, less depreciation of the property.
 - c. ()Gross rent, expenses, vacancy and collection loss data from comparable properties obtained during applicable time period.
 - d. ()Other_____
- Petitioner presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Petitioner's position: 2. Nobody appeared at hearing.

This FF&E is from a show home.	Petitioner sent email saying they sold home in 2020, so do not owe 2021
PP taxes.	

(Please limit comments to the lines provided.)

3.	The Petitioner	and th	e Assessoi	r have	AGREED	that

 a. ()The proper classification and actual value of the property is: 	<u>Class</u>	Actual Value
(Attach SETTLEMENT SHEET.)		

The Referee finds that the original value placed on the property by the Assessor: 4.

a. () Is correct for the year(s)

b.	())ls	incorrect for	the year(s)	
----	----	-----	---------------	-------------	--

Reason for adjustment: 5.

COST

3

The land value of the property should be adjusted to take into consideration:

a. ()location/access	b. ()size	c. ()view
d. ()contamination	e. ()topography	f. ()feasibility for development
g. ()		

Total

The replacement cost of the improvements to the property should be adjusted to compensate for:

- a. ()physical depreciation b. ()inferior quality c. ()functional obsolescence d. ()economic obsolescence
- e.()

MARKET

a. ()Market sales provided by Petitioner indicate that a different value is appropriate.

b. ()<u>NOne</u>

INCOME

Petitioner's income evidence demonstrates that:

- a. ()The gross rental rate should be adjusted to \$_____ per square foot.
- b. ()The vacancy rate should be adjusted to _____ %
- c. ()The expense rate should be adjusted to % d. ()The capitalization rate should be adjusted to %
- e.()

Account #: P0406360

Hearing Officer Findings and Recommendations – Abatement

- 6. Reason for denial or dismissal of appeal
 - a. ()Assessor's evidence of fair market value was stronger than Petitioner's evidence of fair market value.
 - b. ()Petitioner did not establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Assessor misclassified the property.
 - c. ()Assessor's market sales were more compelling than Petitioner's market sales.
 - d. ()Petitioner presented little to no evidence to support a value other than the Assessor's determination of actual value.
 - e. (x)A reduction in actual value would be speculative, because Petitioner did not present reliable cost to cure evidence to otherwise quantify the claimed physical deterioration, deferred maintenance, or functional obsolescence.
 - f. ()_____

7. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS: ____

Assr says they have not responded to requests for info and subsequent info indicates they still have the FF&E. Not a valuation issue, Deny