

Community Planning & Permitting

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.gov

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT PUBLIC HEARING

March 1, 2023 at 4:00 p.m.

All Board of Adjustment public hearings will be offered in a hybrid format where attendees can join **through Zoom** or **in-person** at the Boulder County Courthouse, 3rd Floor, 1325 Pearl Street, Boulder.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

STAFF PLANNER: Sam Walker

Docket VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback

l) to 2 feet
e intersection
Township 1N,
_
1

PACKET CONTENTS

Item	Pages
o Staff Memo	1 - 6
• Complete 2021 Staff Recommendation Packet	ORG1 – ORG301
• EPA pre-CERCLA Screening and Sampling Study	EPA1 – EPA21
o BLM Easement Illustration	BLM1
 Revised Hardship Statement 	STA1 – STA3
 2023 Referral Agency Responses 	REF1 – REF7
o 2023 Public Comments	COM1 – COM2

SUMMARY

This Variance application proposes to reduce the required side-yard setback on a Forestry-zoned property from 25-feet to two-feet to allow for the construction of a new residence.

Docket VAR-21-0003 was scheduled to be heard at the June 2, 2021 Board of Adjustment meeting and a complete staff recommendation packet was issued. However, after reading staff's analysis and recommendation for denial, the applicants chose to table the Variance application.

In December of 2022, the applicants provided new information to staff, including an EPA contaminant sampling report for the parcel, a revised project narrative, and evidence of an access easement from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) across a parcel that is internal to the subject parcel. The application was re-referred with both original and new application materials on January 31, 2022.

After reviewing the original and new application materials, staff finds that the analysis provided in the original staff recommendation (Attachment ORG) and did not change and continues to recommend denial of docket VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback.

DISCUSSION

In December of 2022, the applicants provided the results of an EPA pre-CERCLA (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, commonly known as the Superfund remediation program) screening and sampling study which identifies the types and levels of contaminants within mine waste located on the subject parcel and an illustration of a new access easement across the BLM parcel internal to the subject property. Staff's review of these application materials is discussed below. Because the newly provided information did not change the analysis and recommendation found in the originally issued staff recommendation packet, that document has been provided as Attachment ORG to this memo.

The EPA pre-CERCLA study found arsenic concentrations on the property that exceed EPA Regional Screening Levels (RSL's) for contaminants at Superfund sites. A map of sample locations taken from the EPA study can be seen in Figure 1, below, and in detail on page EPA21 of Attachment EPA. Figure 2, immediately following, shows the subject parcel's buildable area as identified by staff.



Figure 1: EPA pre-CERCLA screening and sampling study sample-taking locations



Figure 2: Staff identified buildable portion of subject property

Because the area available for construction of a residence as identified by staff is outside of the area where contaminants were identified (and is in fact further away from those contaminants than the applicants' proposed residence location), staff does not find that the presence of arsenic on the parcel constitutes an exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstance that would limit development.

As can be seen in the Public Lands map in Figure 3, there is a small parcel owned by the BLM that is internal to the subject parcel.



Figure 3: Map of the subject parcel (outlined in red) showing publicly owned lands.

Figure 4, below, was submitted by the applicants as evidence of a new access easement across the internal BLM land although the actual easement document was not provided. Staff understand that the easement allows vehicular access across the BLM land, but the parcel boundaries remain in place.



Figure 4: Illustration of applicants' access easement across BLM land internal to the subject parcel.

Because the Land Use Code defines a Setback as "The required minimum distance between the Building or Structure and the related front, side, or rear Lot Line," the presence of an access easement on an adjacent parcel does not change the required distance that a structure must be from the relevant lot line. Therefore, staff do not find that the easement provides any justification for a reduction in the required side-yard setback on the parcel.

After reviewing the additional documentation provided by the applicants, Staff still do not find that the proposal can meet the criteria for a zoning variance, and therefore recommends that the Board of Adjustment **DENY** docket *VAR-21-0003 Ells Residence in Setback*.



Community Planning & Permitting

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA ITEM

Wednesday June 2, 2021 4:00 P.M.

Due to COVID-19 Public Hearing to be Held Virtually

STAFF PLANNER: Ryan Kacirek, AICP, Planner I

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Docket VAR-21-0003: Ells I	Residence in Setback
Request:	Request to adjust the side yard setbacks from 25 feet to a
_	0 feet in order to construct a new residence on a 5.35-acre
	parcel.
Location:	6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr., approximately .6 miles west
	of the intersection of Sunshine Canyon Drive and County
	Road 83 in Section 8, Township 1N, Range 71W.
Zoning:	Forestry (F) Zoning District
Applicant/Property Owner:	Jason Ruby Architecture, LLC (agent), Fred Ells
	(property owner)

PACKET CONTENTS:

Item	Pages
• Staff Recommendation	1 - 6
• Application Materials (Attachment A)	A1 – A38
• Referral Responses (Attachment B)	B1 - B15
• Public Comments (Attachment C)	C1 – C4
• Previous Land Use Dockets on Subject Parcel (Attachment D, E, F)	

SUMMARY

The applicant requests a variance to allow for 0-foot side yard setbacks in the area of the existing foundation where the zoning district setback is 25 feet, in order to allow for the construction of a new residence on a foundation that was previously used for a residence destroyed in the 2010 Fourmile fire. While staff understands the proposal to reuse the existing foundation, staff finds that the criteria set forth in Article 4-1202 of the Boulder County Land Use Code cannot be met.



DISCUSSION

The applicant requests a variance from the required setbacks to construct a new residence in the location where there is a foundation remaining from a residence that was destroyed in the 2010 Fourmile fire. The foundation location is shown in Figure 1. It should be noted that the parcel lines shown in Figure 1 are not accurate and are slightly shifted westward making it appear that the foundation is located on the small parcel in the middle of the subject parcel. The applicant provided a survey that is included in the application materials showing the existing foundation located within the subject parcels property boundaries.



Figure 1. Subject parcel in red with the existing foundation location shown approximately in turquoise.

The subject parcel is 5.35 acres and slopes downward from the west to the east. Mine tailings are located in the southeast portion of the parcel, which is an area that should be avoided for construction. Included in the application materials is a letter from the Fourmile Watershed Coalition detailing proposed assessments of the mine tailings in conjunction with the EPA and Colorado Department of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (DRMS) and a study from the Colorado School of the Mines focused on the mine tailings on the property. A small parcel owned by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is located interior to the subject parcel (outlined in red in Figure 1); this parcel is .18-acres. Setbacks for the subject parcel, including the setbacks from the BLM parcel, are 25 feet from each lot line. An existing driveway cut provides access onto the parcel from County Road 85. To the north of the existing foundation and small BLM parcel is a drainage way that bisects the parcel. Figure 1 illustrates each of these features.

Pursuant to docket VAR-86-0617, which was a previous variance application in 1986, the location of the former residence was approved with side yard setbacks of 8 feet from the westernmost property boundary of the subject parcel and the western most property boundary of the small BLM parcel. The



former residence was 3,105 square feet (1,809-square-foot first floor and 1,296-square-foot second floor) and was approved through Building Permit BP-86-0467 in the location approved by VAR-86-0617. The staff memo for docket VAR-86-0617 included analysis that the subject property at the time was only 50 feet wide on the north end (the portion closest to Sunshine Canyon Drive) and the rest of the parcel to the south has steep slopes and mine tailings, making only the narrow northern portion feasible for development.

Following the construction of the former residence, the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) approved docket SE-91-040 for a Boundary Line Adjustment to transfer acreage from the parcel (in its configuration in 1986) to two adjacent parcels to the south. This adjustment did not impact the lot lines near the former residence. The BOCC later approved docket SE-02-0104 for another Boundary Line Adjustment involving the subject parcel, which allowed for land on the north side of Sunshine Canyon Drive that was part of the subject property to be transferred to a different property to the north of Sunshine Canyon Drive to provide that property with legal access. Following that boundary line adjustment, in 2002, the applicant applied for docket VAR-02-0015, which consisted of three separate Variance requests, all of which were denied by the Board of Adjustment (BOA). The variance application included a variance request for a pump house structure near the mine tailings on the property, a variance request for a detached garage near the residence, and a variance request for a bay window addition to the residence.

In 2018, the BOCC approved another Boundary Line Adjustment, docket SE-18-0010, involving the subject parcel. The result was that the subject parcel was combined with two adjacent parcels and became the 5.35-acre parcel that it is today. Due to the Boundary Line Adjustment, the subject parcel obtained legal access to Sunshine Canyon Drive. In docket SE-18-0010, staff noted that the Boundary Line Adjustment created a configuration of the subject parcel that allowed for redevelopment of the fire impacted property without the need for setback variances.

The applicant now proposes to build a new 3,578-square-foot residence on the existing foundation. The applicant also is proposing a ground mounted solar array to be located on a different portion of the parcel not within the setback. The applicant provided to staff prior to the posting of this staff recommendation a stamped letter from a Professional Engineering stating that "*that the existing foundation structure is structurally adequate to support the construction of a new home of similar scope to the original*". The applicant requests a variance reducing the side yard setback from 25 feet to 0 feet in the area of the existing foundation. To support of the variance request, the applicant has provided information on the mine tailings located on the subject parcel and why the area of the mine tailings is not feasible for construction of a new residence.

There is land north of the existing foundation, directly north of the small BLM parcel, that is outside of the side yard setbacks, is outside of the County Road 85 Right of Way, and avoids the mine tailings. This area is approximately .69-acres in size. The applicant indicated that this area is unable to be developed due to 25-foot setbacks from the side yard setbacks and 60-foot from County Road 85. Staff disagress with this assessment and as shown in the subsequent analysis below finds this area to be suitable for development. Furthermore the applicant stated that this area is not desirable for development because it is closer to Sunshine Canyon Drive and would be subject to headlights from cars and prone to pollution related to dust on the road that could contain heavy metals and other containments from mining in the area. This area is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The area not in setbacks, not impacted by mine tailings, and not in the drainage area is shown in green.

The applicant requests a variance to allow for the new residence to be built on the existing foundation in the side yard setback. Staff does not find that the request is able to meet the criteria in Art. 4-1202.B.2 of the Land Use Code.

REFERRALS

The variance request was sent to property owners within 1,500 feet of the subject property, as well as all applicable referral agencies. Responses received by staff are attached to this staff recommendation and summarized below.

Boulder County Building Safety & Inspection Services Team – This team stated that there are several Building Code requirements that present challenges for the request including restrictions on openings, projections, and requirements for fire ratings within five feet to the lot line.

Boulder County Development Review Team Access & Engineering – This team stated no conflict with the proposed Variance but did note that the new residence is the 8th development to utilize County Road 85 which is a publicly owned Right of Way that is privately maintained. The Multimodal Transportation Standards (the Standards) specify that for accesses serving between 6 and 15 development units, the access must be designed as a 2-lane access (minimum 18 feet width). Future development on the subject parcel will require that County Road 85 between Sunshine Canyon Drive and the private point of access to the subject parcel be improved to meet the Standards.

Boulder County Wildfire Mitigation – This team does not support the application due to the inability of the proposed residence to establish five feet of noncombustible perimeter around the structure on the subject parcel.

ORG4

Xcel Energy – This group noted there are existing overhead and underground electric facilities on and/or crossing the subject parcel. The proposed solar array must have a ten-foot radial clearance from the overhead electric facilities.

Parties providing no response, or responding that they had no conflict:

Boulder County Natural Resources Planner, Sunshine Canyon Fire Protection District, Fourmile Canyon Fire Protection District, Boulder County Long Range Planning, Boulder County Historic Review, Boulder County Environmental Health / Water Quality.

Adjacent Property Owners – Of 132 referrals, three public comments were received. Two of the comments expressed no objection to the proposal. One comment expressed opposition to the proposal. The opposing commenter stated opposition to reducing the front yard setback from 25 feet to 0 feet. The application materials provided to staff referenced changing the front yard setback from 25 feet to 0 feet. This was done incorrectly. In actuality, the applicant is asking to reduce the side yard setbacks in the area of the existing foundation. It is likely that some of the opposition comment was due to this inaccuracy, as the comment referenced the front yard setback as the setback proposed to be adjusted, referenced that building with a 0-foot setback from Sunshine Canyon is not in character with the neighborhood. The comment in opposition also noted that the applicant received SE-18-0010 in order to not need to go through a Variance, and that the building codes do not create not a hardship.

CRITERIA ANALYSIS

To grant a variance, the BOA must find that all of the following criteria from Article 4-1202(B)(2) of the Boulder County Land Use Code have been satisfied:

(a) There exist exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope;

The subject property is 5.35-acres and slopes downward from west to east. Figure 3 shows the contours of the subject parcel.



Figure 3. Contours of the subject parcel.

The subject parcel is approximately 240 feet wide on the northern half and is narrower on the south end. There are mine tailings in the southeast portion of the parcel, which is an area that should be avoided for development. A small parcel owned by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) exists within the middle of the subject parcel. A drainage bisects the parcel and runs west to east, north of the BLM parcel. Figure 4 illustrates these constraints. The setbacks on the subject parcel are 25 feet from each of the property lines, including those from the BLM parcel, and 60 feet from County Road 85.



Figure 4. Location of constraints on the subject parcel.

Staff finds that while exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances exist in some areas of the subject parcel where development should be avoided (in particular the southern portion), there remains a developable area on the subject property including the area shown in Figure 5 below.



Figure 5. The area not in setbacks, not impacted by mine tailings, and not in the drainage area is shown in green.

Docket SE-18-0010, which was approved by the BOCC in 2018, allowed for a boundary line adjustment to create the current configuration of the subject parcel. This docket noted that the adjustment allowed for redevelopment of the fire impacted property without the need for setback variances.

Because there are development constraints on the parcel, staff finds that some exceptional or extraordinary circumstances do exist on the property; however, these circumstances do not encompass or impact the entire property.

(b) Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of the Code creates an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner;

Despite the constraints noted under criteria a (above), a .69-acre developable area exists on the northern section of the subject parcel that is void of the constraints mentioned and can meet the required 25-foot setback from all lot lines. This area is large enough to accommodate the proposed residence and the slopes in this area are not steep enough to be a deterrent to construction. The buildable area is shown in Figure 5.

This area allows for construction of a structure that would meet the 25-foot side yard setbacks required in the Forestry zoning district. There is sufficient room in this .69-acre area of the property to reasonably accommodate the residence.

The applicant's proposal is intended to utilize the existing foundation to reduce construction cost, waste, and unwarranted complexity to the project. A new residence (i.e., it's size, height, and



location) will require a Site Plan Review (SPR) for construction which requires compliance with the SPR standards in Art. 4-806 of the Land Use Code. The subject variance is required since the desired house location is proposed within the required setbacks and is not compliant with the zoning district requirements, as ultimately needed to approve a location in a SPR application. Docket VAR-86-00617 was approved by the BOA for the location of the former residence because the property at the time (in 1986) was significantly narrower than its current configuration. However, docket SE-18-0010 enlarged the subject parcel and created room for access to be provided to the parcel. It also created space for a new residence to be built without the need for a Variance.

Staff finds that the physical circumstances and development constraints discussed under criterion (a) do not create a hardship on the property owner since there is section of the property that would allow for construction of a new residence in compliance with setbacks.

Therefore, staff finds this criterion is not met.

(c) The hardship is not self-imposed;

Since staff has not found an exceptional or undue hardship as explained above, there is no hardship to be analyzed under this criterion. Therefore, staff finds this criterion is not met.

While the existing foundation was approved in its location by the BOA in 1986, the parcel has since been reconfigured and enlarged with a specific note about how these changes would eliminate the need for a future variance.

(d) The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the uses of adjacent property as permitted under this code;

County Wildfire Mitigation Coordinators have noted concerns for a house in this location being able to meet wildfire mitigation requirements, namely the non-combustible space that is necessary around the residence. An inability to satisfy the requirements of wildfire mitigation may cause adverse effect on adjacent properties. If this variance is granted, the applicant will be required to submit a new site plan and elevations at the time of Site Plan Review showing the new residence can satisfy the wildfire mitigation requirements. If wildfire mitigation concerns can be addressed, staff does not anticipate that the request will adversely affect the uses of adjacent properties.

Staff finds this criterion can be met, given that wildfire concerns would be addressed in the Site Plan Review process; however, a location where wildfire mitigation requirements can be met is preferable.

(e) The variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of the Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan;

The proposal will not change the character of the Forestry zoning district. The subject property is located in an area featuring a mixture of residence sizes and ages. Given that many of the parcels along Sunshine Canyon Drive are former mining claims and are often irregularly shaped lots, a distinct pattern of development (i.e, a neighborhood featuring exclusicely long driveways or a neighborhood featuring development close to the public road) is difficult to define. That said it is common to find development along Sunshine Canyon Drive that requires a driveway of varying and often substantial length to access a developable area of the lot. The development on the

subject property proposes an approximately 430 linear foot long driveway to access the proposed development site. The proposed location with the requested setbacks would not change the character of the area. Given that the property lines on either side of the foundation proposed to be reused are properties owned by the Bureau of Land Management, and not slated for development, impact to surrounding parcels would be minimal. Review of the new residence (size, height, and ultimate location) will occur through a subsequent Site Plan Review.

Staff finds that this criterion can be met.

(f) The variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County and is in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan and any applicable intergovernmental agreement affecting land use or development.

So long as the applicant provides meets the wildfire mitigation requirements as part of a subsequent Site Plan Review, staff does not anticipate any negative impacts to the health, safety, or welfare of the broader population of Boulder County.

Therefore, staff finds this criterion is met.

RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons described above, the Community Planning & Permitting Department finds that the requested variance does not meet all of the required criteria for a Variance, and therefore staff recommends that the Board of Adjustment **DENY** <u>Docket VAR-21-0003 Ells Residence in Setback</u>.

If the Board of Adjustment approves the request for variance, staff recommends the following conditions:

- 1) All relevant building code requirements must be incorporated into the building permit plans (BP-21-1044), including verification from a structural engineer that the existing foundation is structurally sound for reuse.
- 2) Site Plan Review will be required for the new residence with attention to wildfire mitigation requirements.
- 3) Any future development is subject to applicable planning review, permitting, and setback requirements.

Boulder County

Boulder County Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex Building 2045 13th Street • PO Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80302 Phone: 303-441-3930 • Fax: 303-441-4856 Email: planner@bouldercounty.org Web: www.bouldercounty.org/lu Office Hours: Mon., Wed., Thurs., Fri. 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Tuesday 10 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Shaded Areas for Staff Only	
	Shaded Areas for Staff Only

Application Form

Project Number				Project Name			
Limited Impact Special U Limited Impact Special U		Application Dead First Wednesday		Application De h Second Wedne	adline: sday of the Month		
 Modification of Special V Site Plan Review Site Plan Review Waiver Subdivision Exemption Exemption Plat 1041 State Interest Revie Other: 	Jse	X Variance ☐ Appeal		 Sketch Pla Prelimina Final Plat Resubdiv Special Us 	ry Plan ision (Replat)	🖵 Ro	ezoning bad/Easement Vacation bcation and Extent bad Name Change
Location(s)/Street Address(es) 63	01 Sunshir	ne Canyon Dr.					
Во	ulder, CO,	80302					
Subdivision Name n/a							
Lot(s) n/a	Block(s)	/a	Section(s)	8	Township(s) 1N		Range(s) 71W
Area in Acres 4.434	Existing Zoning	^g F	Existing Use of	of Property Resid	ential		Number of Proposed Lots
Proposed Water Supply Existin	ng Well		Proposed Sev	wage Disposal Methoo	Septic System		·

Applicants:

Applicant/Property Owner Free	d Ells				Email Addre	ss sunshinefre	d@msn.com
Mailing Address 6116 Mist	y Way						
City Longmont	State CO		Zip Code 8	30503	Phone (72	0) 453-5648	Fax
Applicant/Property Owner/Agent/0	Consultant Jasc	on Ruby Arch	nitecture	, LLC	Email Addre	^{ss} rubyjar@ya	hoo.com
Mailing Address 5 Blue Spru	ice Rd S						
City Nederland	State CO		Zip Code 8	30466	Phone (30	3) 399-3303	Fax
Agent/Consultant				Email Address			
Mailing Address							
City	State	Zip Code		Phone		Fax	

Certification (Please refer to the Regulations and Application Submittal Package for complete application requirements.)

I certify that I am signing this Application Form as an owner of record of the property included in the Application. I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that I must sign an Agreement of Payment for Application processing fees, and that additional fees or materials may be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school, and park dedications may be required as a condition of approval.

I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at any reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent.

All landowners are required to sign application. If additional space is needed, attach additional sheet signed and dated.

Signature of Property Owner	And Ella	Printed Name Fred Ells	Date 4/30/21
Signature of Property Owner	\bigcirc	Printed Name	Date

The Land Use Director may waive the landowner signature requirement for good cause, under the applicable provisions of the Land Use Code.

Form: P/01 • Rev. 04.28.16 • g:/publications/planning/P01PlanningApplicationForm.pdf

Community Planning & Permitting

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

Vicinity



wtodacheene ORG1

11 1 L

Boulder County

Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

-

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Location

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR





2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Aerial 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



wtodacheene ORG13

Boulder County

Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Aerial 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

Pite la

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Comprehensive Plan 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Community Planning & Permitting

44.4

Boulder County

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Public Lands & CEs 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Elevation Contours 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Geologic Hazards 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Community Planning & Permitting

Boulder County

-

2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org

Zoning

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR



Parcel Number 146108000158 Section 8 1N

Township Range 71

Subdivision

SUNSHINE CANYON AREA

SITE ADDRESS (1)

R0611901 SUNSHINE CANYON DR UNINCORPORATED, 80302 6301

ELLS FRED JOHN

Name

OWNER INFORMATION (1)

6116 MISTY WAY, LONGMONT, CO 80503

LOCATION INFORMATION

Note: The estimate acres will likely not match the recorded acreage of the property, please see the legal description, plat, or deed for the actual acreage

Because of small inconsistencies in the locations of lines in the map layers, this location information searches may show information from adjacent parcels even though no overlap is visible on the screen.

	View the map at an appropriate scale to resolve any uncertainty.	
	On or Adjacent to Parcel	×
Estimated Area	233,136 (5.35a.)	
Zoning	F (5.35 Acres)	
Floodplain	Boulder County: NOT PRESENT; FEMA: X (5.35 Acres)	
Open Space Ownership	NOT PRESENT	Photo not available
County Plats	NOT PRESENT	
Wind and Snow Load	170 mph. 50 lbs/sqft.	_
Fire Protection	SUNSHINE FIRE	_

LEGAL DESCRIPTION (1)

ACRES R0611901 5.35

R0611901 PORTIONS DEAD MEDICINE LD 183 & SUNSHINE LD 244 & ATCHISON LD 247 & GRANDVIEW LD 269 & SHADOW LD 279 & YOUNG AMERICAN LD 325 & ELDORADO LD 691 SW 1/4 8-1N-71 PER REC # 3720395 6/25/19 & APPROVED PER SE-18-0010 & RESOLUTION 2018-110 PER REC # 3720040 6/24/19 AKA PARCEL A TOTAL 4.43 ACS PER LS-19-0114

PERMIT AND DOCKET HISTORY (17)

Note: Parcel numbers and addresses may change over time. Only permits/dockets with the exact same parcel number or address at the time of application are shown.

	On F	Parcel Number: 146108000158		
Permit/Docket	Type	Application Name	Application Status	Status Date
Parcel Numbers(s)	Permit/Docket Address(es)	Application Date		Permit Value
PAC-21-0006	PreApplication Conference	Ruby		
146108000158	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	1/5/2021	PAC Scheduled	1/5/2021
SE/SPR: SE- Bo	oundary line adjustment for existing fou	Indation located in set	backs & SPR- New residence	
built on existing	foundation from previous residence pe	erishing in fire in 2010.	Please double check if	
Variance not ne	eded per BG./FJD & ACG			
MAJP-1900-	OWTS Major Repair			
146108000158	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	1/1/1900	System Approved	1/1/2010
MAJP-1900-	OWTS Major Repair			
146108000158	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	1/1/1900	System Approved	4/3/1991
	These Permits/Dockets are on a different par	cel number, but have an address t	hat is associated with the parcel	
LS-19-0114	Land Survey Plat	LAND SURV	EY	
146108000153	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	6/18/2019	Application Received	6/18/2019
146108000157				
LAND SURVEY	PLAT FOR BOULDER COUNTY SUB	DIVISION EXEMPTIO	N SE-18-0010, #6300 AND	
#6317 SUNSHI	NE CANYON DRIVE, LOCATED IN TH	IE SW 1/4 OF SECTIO	DN 8-1N-71W, 5 PAGES,	
146108000157,	,		. ,	





Account

R0611901

Mailing Address

	ATTACHM	IFNT ORC	2	
SE-18-0010	Subdivision Exemption		Boundary Line Adjustment	
146108000075	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	7/9/2018	Approval Final & Complete	6/25/2019
146108000093				
146108000153				
146108000157	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	nption request for a Boundary Line Adjustmer			
	n Drive, a 2.52-acre parcel at 6301 Sunshine			
	n Drive, and a 0.98-acre parcel at 7288 Sunsh re parcel and a 1.30-acre parcel.	line Canyon Driv	ve resulting in a 4.63-acre	
PAC-10-0097	PreApplication Conference	Ells, Fred		
146108000153	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	9/27/2010	PAC Not Held	3/21/2011
SE BLA Pre-appl				
FMF-10-0114	Four Mile Fire Information Note	6301 Sunshine	Canyon	
146108000153	6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	9/13/2010	Extension Granted	2/22/2013
Extension grante	d until Sept. 30, 2013			
Residence destru	byed.			
	rted to .pdf see Accela Docs for:			
BP-86-0467 [New	v Res Attached Garage & Greenhouse] Conta	ains site and con	struction plans. (NOTE: This	
permit references	BOA# 617)			
BP-87-0621 [New	v Storage Shed]. No site or construction plans	5		
EXPIRED Permit	s due to VAR-02-015 DENIED: BP-02-1510 [Remove Greenh	ouse & Remodel & Partial	
	624 [Garage w/ Attached Greenhouse], BP-0		hed] (BP File Found to be	
scanned into Acc	ela Documents). Contain site and constructio	n plans		
	no Bay Window re: BP-02-1510] permit was is ction was allowed. File not scanned	ssued for the rer	noval of bay window onlyno	
additional constru	clion was allowed. File hol scanned			
related Planning	record:			
related Planning BCV-02-5037 Ad	record: dition w/o Permit			
BCV-02-5037 Ad	dition w/o Permit	D · / I V/ ·		
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette		nce was Denied	
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation	ELLS		10/18/2010
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette		nce was Denied	10/18/2010
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon	ELLS		10/18/2010
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scat BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit.	ELLS		10/18/2010
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel	ELLS 11/2/2006	Closed	
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit.	ELLS		10/18/2010 2/24/2012
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000153	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004	Closed	2/24/2012
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000153	dition w/o Permit nned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004	Closed	
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000153 DEMO BAY WIN	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004	Closed	2/24/2012
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000153 DEMO BAY WIN BP-02-1885	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL	Closed Permit Withdrawn	2/24/2012 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000153	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL	Closed Permit Withdrawn	2/24/2012 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000153	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04)	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000153 A request for three	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000153 A request for threa and for use of an BP-02-1624	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age.	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage,	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age. 9/24/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage,	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002 12/13/2004
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON FACHED GREENHOUSE (EXPIRED ON 12-	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age. 9/24/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage,	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON FACHED GREENHOUSE (EXPIRED ON 12- Residential Addition	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age. 9/24/2002 13-2004)	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage, Expired	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002 12/13/2004 \$15000.00
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON FACHED GREENHOUSE (EXPIRED ON 12-	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age. 9/24/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage,	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002 12/13/2004
BCV-02-5037 Ad VAR-02-015 scar BCV-02-5037 146108000074 146108000153 (CLOSED) Additi BP-04-1981 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074 146108000074	dition w/o Permit ned in Accela Documents. BOA Action Lette Building Code Violation 6301 Sunshine Canyon on w/o permit. Residential Remodel 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DOW(BP-02-1510) & RESTORE TO ORIGIN Accessory Agricultural Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON SHED (EXPIRED ON 12-13-04) Variance 6301 Sunshine Canyon ee separate variances to setback requirement existing mine by the fire district for water stor Residential Accessory Building 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON FACHED GREENHOUSE (EXPIRED ON 12- Residential Addition	ELLS 11/2/2006 11/30/2004 AL 11/14/2002 ELLS Variance 10/2/2002 s for a proposed age. 9/24/2002 13-2004) 9/5/2002	Closed Permit Withdrawn Expired BOA Denied addition, a detached garage, Expired	2/24/2012 \$1000.00 12/12/2004 \$1000.00 12/4/2002 12/13/2004 \$15000.00

SE 04 00	10	Subdivision Fr	A	TTACHI					
SE-91-004 14610800		Subdivision Ex 6301 SUNSHI			1/1/199		ANY/KISSELL BOCC App		12/12/1991
14610800					1/1/199	71	восс ар	JUVEU	12/12/1991
14611700		_							
14611700									
		FROM THE BC	ULDER COUN	ITY SUBDIVI	SION RE	GULA	TIONS FOR A	BOUNDARY	
		ENT ON PROPE							
		SHINE DRIVE A	ND GOLD RUN	N ROAD IN S					
BP-87-062	21	Accessory Agr		g					
14610800		6301 SUNSHI	NE CANYON		6/2/198	37			
NEW STC									
VAR-86-0		Variance			ELLS V				
146108000153 6301 Suns					6/24/19		BOA Appr		2/1/2012
						setbac	ck where 25 fe	et is required. The	
		ed at 6301 Suns		ive in S8-11N	I-R/1W				
BP-86-04		New Residence			E/40/40				
14610800		6301 SUNSHI			5/19/19	080			
14610800		GAR & GREENH							\$8061.00
NEW RES	AII	JAR & GREENF	10032						\$6061.00
				RECENT	DEEDS (2	2)			
Date 6/20/2019	Type SW	Reception No 3720395	Amount \$0	Grantor		ጺ <u> </u>		LS FRED JOHN	
0/20/2019	300	3120393	φυ	M & FRED			INSTINE EL		
11/20/2018	RS	3720040	\$0				RISTINE CC	UNTY OF BOULD	ER
			+ -	M & FRED					-
			ASSESS	OR'S STRUC	CTURE IN	IFORI	MATION		
Account	Class				esign		-	Year Buil	t Remodeled
R0611901									
Building Floor	Area Desc	ription			Size	Str	ucture Information		
	ES	TIMATED RESI	DENTIAL FLO	OR AREA				ROOMS	
Floor Area Descript	ion					Size	Account	Bld Rooms Bed	Bath Bath 3/4 Bath 1/
	1000	UNT ASSESSE					R0611901		
Account	ACCO	Land	D VALUE Structures	Total					
R0611901	1	\$151,500		51,500				TAXING DISTRIC	T
							COUNTY		
								EMP HS SAFETY NET	
								NTY CAPITAL EXPENI NTY CONTINGENCY F	
								NTY DEVEL DISABILIT	
							BOULDER COU	NTY GENERAL OPER/	ATING
								NTY HEALTH & HUMA NTY JUDGMENT LEVY	
								NTY PUBLIC WELFAR	
							BOULDER COU	NTY PUBLIC WORKS	
								NTY RETIREMENT FU NTY ROAD & BRIDGE	UNI
								NTY SELF INSURANCI	E FUND
								NTY SOLID WASTE FL	JND
								CTION DISTRICT	
								E DIST CAPITAL EXPE E DIST GENERAL OPE	
							SUNSHINE FIRE		
								E DIST TAX CREDIT	
						SCHOOL DIS			
								.EY RE-2 INSURANCE .EY RE-2 RESERVE	
								EY RE-2 TAX CREDIT	
							BOULDER VALL	EY RE2 ABATEMENT	
								.EY RE2 BOND REDEN .EY RE2 CAPITAL CON	
								& MAINTENANCE	
							BOULDER VALL	EY RE2 GENERAL OP	PERATING
							ROLLIDER VALL	EY RE2 OVERRIDES	

Report Date: 1/6/2021 9:48:09 AM

ATTACHMENT ORG BOULDER VALLEY RE2 TRANSPORTATION

BOULDER VALLEY RE2 TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT RTD GENERAL OPERATING UNINCORP CTY PLACE HOLDER DISTRICT UNINCORP CTY PLACE HOLDER DISTRICT

Report Date: 1/6/2021 9:48:09 AM

LU_ParcelReport_v3



Boulder County Land Use Department Courthouse Annex Building • 2045 13th Street • PO Box 471 Boulder, Colorado 80302 Phone: 303-441-3930 • Fax: 303-441-4856 Email: planner@bouldercounty.org http://www.bouldercounty.org/lu/ Office Hours: Monday — Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM Intake Stamp:

Docket #:

Board of Adjustment (BOA) Hardship Statement

Explain how the following hardship criteria for granting a variance have been satisfied. Please feel free to attach your statements using a separate piece of paper.

A. There exists exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope.

SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT

B. Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of this Code would create an exceptional or undue hardship up the property owner.

C. The hardship is not self-imposed.

D. The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the use of adjacent property as permitted under this Code.

E. That the variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of this Code and the *Boulder County Comprehensive Plan*; and,

F. That the variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

Applicant or Agent Signature:

Form: P/55 • Rev. 09.18.08 • g:/publications/planning/P55BOAHardshipStatement.pdf

ORG24

A15

1

Date: 4/30/21

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR. BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT (BOA) HARDSHIP STATEMENT

A. There exists exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope.

The existing foundation was built on a 50' wide mining claim, which had a variance for the setbacks from when the house was originally built in 1986. Since the subject property consists of former mining claims it is both irregular and narrow. Also, the property is on a steep slope (typically between 45°-60°), except for where the original house and driveway are located. The only other portion of the site which has a lower slope is within 50' of County Road 52 and is not feasible for building a new residence (see "B" below).

Additionally, the Fourmile Watershed Coalition, EPA, Colorado Department of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety, and other agencies will be taking mine waste soil samples on a portion of the property east of the existing foundation. They will be investigating and evaluating potential hazardous substances, pollutants, or possible contaminants, and will need continued access to the property for the next 3 years (see attached letter). They are also re-contouring the land back to the original contours before the mining occurred. The owner wants to retain this area as open space. This region also contains an 8' diameter former mine shaft which has been plugged with foam- see site plan.

Lastly, there is a 12' high rock cliff which is 2' east of the property line, along the back wall of the proposed storage area addition.

B. Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of this Code would create an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner.

The strict application of the code would not allow the owner to rebuild a smaller version of the original house that burned down in the 2010 Fourmile Fire due to setback encroachments. 25' side setbacks on a lot that is 50' wide leaves no buildable area on the property. This is why a variance was given for 0' setbacks for the construction of the original 47' wide house (destroyed in the fire). This current variance would be aligned with the original variance which allowed 0' setbacks.

Also, there are no other feasible portions of the site to build on due to the physical circumstances mentioned in "A" above. Locating a new residence on the flatter area within 50' of County Road 52 has a number of disadvantages. Most importantly, it is hazardous due to dust from the road potentially containing heavy metals and other contaminants from mining tailings. This area is also less private, and prone to headlights from cars shining into the house at night. Also, County Road 85 runs through this part of the property. The width of the right-of-way is 60 feet, which means any structures would need to be at least 45 feet away from the centerline to meet the 15-foot front setback requirement from the edge of the right-of-way. Thus, the flatter area could still not be built upon without violating setback requirements.

Regarding wildfire mitigation, there are no wildfire fuels on the property, or within 30' of the property line and further out on any neighboring properties due to the Fourmile Fire.

C. The hardship is not self-imposed.

The hardships are completely due to the physical circumstances of the site mentioned above, and the infeasibility of building within 50' of County Road 52.

D. The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the use of adjacent property as permitted under this Code.

The majority of adjacent property is BLM land, and the owner sent a certified letter to the BLM 4 years ago to inquire about them selling the two lots to the east and west of property. The BLM's response indicated that they do not have the personnel to dispose of the lots, so by default there will not be any future building on these lots. Additionally, the neighbor to west has a ROW for the driveway on their lot (BLM lot #163).

The owner is applying for ROW easements on the lots to the east and west of property. The easement on the east side of the existing foundation is for the existing well and driveway. The easement on the west side is for a 10' wide area where the soil was disturbed when the foundation was backfilled.

A 2018 Boundary Line Adjustment with the neighbor to the north functioned to give the owner legal access to the existing driveway. Boulder County's policy at the time prohibited acquiring property to add to the existing property in order to make a better building site, so this was not a goal of the Boundary Line Adjustment.

The closest neighboring structure, a single family residence, is more than 500 ft away and will not be adversely affected by the rebuilding of the house. All neighboring lots that can be built upon have been, and have ROW's to prevent any further building on the lots.

E. That the variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of this Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan; and,

Rebuilding a small single family dwelling in its original location on the property is consistent with the Forestry zoning designation. The vast majority of the property will remain open space.

It is also consistent with the Boulder County Comprehensive plan's emphasis on environmental preservation. The existing foundation has recently been inspected by a structural engineer who determined it to be sound for reconstruction. Reusing it avoids the need to disturb the existing landscape on other portions of the property, as no new excavation will be necessary. Reuse also conserves resources and eliminates the embodied energy in building materials that creating a new foundation would require.

Additionally, further disturbance of the site will not be necessary for utilities. The existing septic system and well are still functional and connected to the house. Reusing the existing buried electrical, phone, and internet lines which go from the existing foundation to County Road 52 will avoid the need to dig trenches for a new line.

Lastly, rebuilding on the existing foundation is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's goal of avoiding hazardous areas of the site where the land has been destabilized and contaminated by past mining.



F. That the variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

There are no potential adverse health safety, and welfare effects in rebuilding the house where it stood from 1986 – 2010. As mentioned, this property had this same variance proposal approved when the original structure was built in 1986. The present hardships are identical to those that existed then.

January 28, 2021

Subject: Sampling Event, Colorado

Dear Property Owner:

The Fourmile Watershed Coalition (FWC), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Colorado Department of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (DRMS), and associated agencies are requesting permission to assess properties impacted by the mine sites in your area because of potential elevated levels of heavy metals. The goal of this assessment is to evaluate if potential impacts of any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminant releases on human health or the environment have occurred, assess whether any potential threat of release of contaminants of concern are present, and investigate potential sources of contamination to the streams in the area that could be harmful to downstream fisheries. Results of the assessment will help FWC and other agencies determine whether clean-up of specific mining wastes in the watershed could result in significant water quality and environmental improvements that could benefit your property and the surrounding community. This correspondence is a request to allow FWC and associated agencies, and contractors to collect soil, rock and/or water samples from your property located in and around the site. Our hope is that you will allow us access so we can understand current environmental conditions.

Prior to sample collection, the enclosed access agreement must be completed and returned to the EPA in the self-addressed stamped envelope. If you decide not to participate, or if you are not the current property owner, please note this on the attached form. If you are interested in obtaining the results of this sampling specific to your property, please note that as well.

Specific to the surface water quality, the sampling team will collect three to five samples from each property. It is not necessary for you to be present; however, if you ask to be present, we will attempt to schedule sampling when you are available. FWC and associated agencies appreciate your cooperation with this investigation. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to call me at 303-817-2261.

Sincerely,

Mena Matter

Maya MacHamer Watershed Coordinator Fourmile Watershed Coalition 1740 Fourmile Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302 fourmilewatershed@gmail.com



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 8

1595 Wynkoop Street DENVER, CO 80202-1129 Phone 800-227-8917 http://www.epa.gov/region08

CONSENT FOR ACCESS TO PROPERTY FOR SITE ASSESSMENT

NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER:_____

Location of Property: ______

Mineral Survey Number/Mine Name (If applicable):______

I hereby give my consent to employees, officers, and authorized representatives of the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Fourmile Watershed Coalition (FWC), and other agency partners including by not limited to the US Forest Service (USFS), Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), and the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety (DRMS), and associated contractors entering and having continued access to the above referenced property for the following purposes:

- 1. Conducting field inspections and investigations;
- 2. Taking small aliquot samples of soil, surface water, ground water, air, or any materials stored on or disposed of on the property as may be determined necessary;
- 3. Installing monitoring devices; and
- 4. Other actions related to investigation of surface or subsurface contamination

I recognize that these actions are undertaken by FWC and the EPA pursuant to responsibilities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42, USC 9601 seq., as amended.

I will allow authorized representatives of the Fourmile Watershed Coalition (FWC), EPA and aforementioned agencies to have access to the above referenced property for the purpose of collecting soil, rock, water, and/or macroinvertebrate samples.

_____I understand that samples collected from my property are part of an investigation of potential sources of contamination to streams in the area.

I will not allow authorized representatives of Fourmile Watershed Coalition (FWC), EPA, and aforementioned agencies to have access to the above referenced property for the purpose of collecting soil, rock, water, and/or macroinvertebrate samples.

Name

Date



Special Requests:	
I would like to be present during the sampling. My daytime telephone number is:	
I would like to receive a copy of the analytical results. My email and/or mailing address	
is:	
Comments:	

Please scan and email form to <u>wyatt.jean@epa.gov</u>, or mail form to: Jean Wyatt, 8SEM-EM/SA, Environmental Protection Agency, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202




EXISTING FOUNDATION PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"



JASON RUBY ARCHITECTURE, LLC

5 BLUE SPRUCE RD. S. NEDERLAND, CO 80466 (303)399-3303 rubyjar@yahoo.com



80302 UILD Р О О DENCE REBU ANYON DRIV IY, COLORAI FRED ELLS RESIDENCE 6301 SUNSHINE CANYOI BOULDER COUNTY, COI









 $\frac{\text{EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION}}{1/4" = 1'-0"}$



 $\frac{\text{EXISTING EAST ELEVATION}}{1/4" = 1'-0"}$





EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXISTING WEST ELEVATION

1/4" = 1'-0"



JASON RUBY ARCHITECTURE, LLC

5 BLUE SPRUCE RD. S. NEDERLAND, CO 80466 (303)399-3303 rubyjar@yahoo.com



80302 UILD DO Ш> REBU N DRIV ORAI Ζ О RESIDENCE <u>N</u> C Ζ 4 C COUN SUNSHINE S LDER FRED 6301 § BOUL















DATE: APRIL 30, 2021 DRAWN BY: JAR PROPOSED PLANS **A1**







5 BLUE SPRUCE RD. S.

5 BLUE SPRUCE RD. S. NEDERLAND, CO 80466 (303)399-3303 rubyjar@yahoo.com



FRED ELLS RESIDENCE REBUILD 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DRIVE BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO 80302









PROPOSED UPPER ROOF PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"





DATE: APRIL 30, 2021

DRAWN BY: JAR PROPOSED PLANS





ORG37

ATTACHMENT ORG





JASON RUBY ARCHITECTURE, LLC

5 BLUE SPRUCE RD. S. NEDERLAND, CO 80466 (303)399-3303 rubyjar@yahoo.com



80302 UILD л ОО DENCE REBU ANYON DRIV IY, COLORAI RESIDENCE FRED ELLS RESIDE 6301 SUNSHINE CA BOULDER COUNTY









1/4" = 1'-0"

DATE: APRIL 30, 2021 DRAWN BY: JAR PROPOSED ELEVATIONS





Community Planning & Permitting Building Safety & Inspection Services 2045 13th Street • PO Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80302 Phone: 303-441-3926 Web: www.boco.org/CPP-Building

Intake Stamp (*staff use only*)

Permit # (staff use only)

Building Permit Application Form

Project Street Address 6301 Sunshine Car	nyon Drive				City BC	ity Boulder			State CO		Code 302	
Owner's Name ☑Contact Fred Ells					Contractor Business Name Contact Boulder County Contractor License # License #			or				
Address 6116 Misty Way			Phone Number (720) 453-5648			Address			Phone Number			
City Longmont		State CO	Zip Code 80503			City State 2		Zip (Code			
Email Address sunshinefred@msr	n.com					Email Address						
Designer/Architect Name Jason Ruby Arcl				nail	hc	Phone Number (202) 200 2			2202			
Certification: I certify that a	ll submitted inf	ormation is	s correct, a		stru	uct this building in accordance with the site plan, building plans and specifications						
Applicant (Please Print)	strict complian		ignature	ons of the Land L	J	Code, Building Code, and Health and Plumbing Regulations of Boulder County.			nty.			
Project Includes: Plumbin	g V Mechanica	al VElectri	ical								Project Valuation	
Project Description:				oundation th	at	remained af	ter 2010	Fourmil	e Fire.	\$	280,000	
Type of Project (Check Only	One)	Type of Stru	icture <mark>(Chec</mark>	ck Only One)								
Addition to: built atop ext Remodel Electrical Deconstruction of Str Change of Use Moved-in Structure	lectrical Hotel or Motel Barn, Storage Shed, Outbuilding Deconstruction of Structure Amusement and Recreation Building Mobile/Manufactured Home Change of Use Church and Other Religious Building Public Works, Utility Building					o (Non-						
	Existing Building		lew truction	Existing & New Total		Proposed New	Building or	Addition			I	
Height of Structure From	-	20		20		Structu	ıre	Siz	Finished		Size Unfinish	ned
Existing Grade: Number of Bedrooms:	0	t. 29	Ft.	20 F	t.		Basement: First Floor:	00	7	Sq. Ft.		Sq. Ft.
Number of Bathrooms:	0	2		2		Se	cond Floor:	·		Sq. Ft. Sq. Ft.		Sq. Ft. Sq. Ft.
Property Size:	1,662 sq. f	t. 180	Sq. Ft.	1,842 Sq.F	t.		Third Floor:	-		Sq. Ft.		Sq. Ft.
Setbacks (Distance of Projec	cks (Distance of Project to Property Lines)			All O	ther Floors:		- :	Sq. Ft.		Sq. Ft.		
Front	Side		Side	Rear			Garage	:	- :	Sq. Ft.	. 732	Sq. Ft.
Existing: N/A F	t. 2.25	Ft. 5.5	5 Ft		t.		Deck			Sq. Ft.		Sq. Ft.
Proposed: N/A F	t. 0	_{Ft.} 0	Ft	. n/a _F	t.	Cov	ered Porch		- :	Sq. Ft.	-	Sq. Ft.
Water Service (Check One) Individual Well Community Well N/A Public:		N/A Estes Park)ne)	Gas Service (Cf Xcel Ener Propane N/A Other:		Access (Cheorem 1997) Existing New D N/A Other:	g Drivewag iveway		oodplain (Check One No, property is n Floodplain Yes, property is in Floodplain (attac completed Flood Development Pe	ot in n h Iplain	

Form: B/01 • Rev. 09.21.20 • g:/publications/building/b01-building-permit-application.pdf



May 24, 2021

Fred Ells 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302

RE: Ells Residence – Rebuilding Project Existing Foundation Observation Letter DE Project No. 036-010-20 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302

Dear Fred:

As requested, Denton Engineering (DE) was present at the above referenced address on 08/07/2020 to observe the condition of the existing concrete foundation structure. As you reported to DE, many years prior to our observation, all the framing of the home had been destroyed in a fire and removed. The purpose of our observation was to review the readily observable portions of the concrete foundation with respect to its re-use for constructing a new home.

Photographic evidence provided by the homeowner shows that the original home was a one-story structure with a walk-out basement configuration. The original foundation was designed by DSV Homes and sealed by H. Gary Howell (Colorado PE # 4429). It was observed during out time on site that a majority of the foundation bears on bedrock, specifically at the western (up-hill) side. The presence of bedrock at the taller foundation walls mean that it is likely that the foundation will be exposed to little lateral earth pressure after the walls are backfilled.

Based on our observation, it is our professional opinion that the existing foundation structure is structurally adequate to support the construction of a new home of similar scope to the original.

DE observed many minor/shallow cracks in the concrete that do not represent a major structural defect. Prior to building a new home on this foundation, DE suggested sealing all the cracks present with construction grade epoxy concrete crack sealant such as Simpson Crack-Pac to help prevent potential future water intrusion.



The opinions provided above are based on a reasonable degree of engineering probability. We reserve the right to modify our opinions and comments if additional information becomes available and/or observations of the exposed foundation and masonry systems after the demolition, and prior to construction of the remodel, reveal conditions that were previously concealed.

Limitations:

- The recommendations in this report are based on the available data and the limited accessibility of the elements and components of the structure.
- The opinions, conclusions and recommendations submitted in this report are based on accepted general practice and are limited to the data available to our office. We make no warranty, either expressed, implied, written or otherwise, on the continued structural integrity of the property, including the repaired areas.
- The stability of soils, especially in Colorado, are unpredictable and it is unknown what hidden conditions may exist around the foundation.
- This report is based on conditions of the structural elements that were readily observable at the time of the observation. Denton Engineering, LLC does not accept responsibility for hidden structural deficiencies not evident during an visual observation of this type.
- In recognition of the relative risks and benefits of the Project to both the Client and Denton Engineering, LLC (DE), the total aggregate liability of DE, its officers, partners, employees, owners and subconsultants shall not exceed \$2,000. It is intended that this limitation apply to any and all liability or cause of action however alleged or arising, unless otherwise prohibited by law.

If you have any questions, please call us at (303) 619-0325.

Thank you,

DENTON ENGINEERING, LLC



M. Adam Denton, PE Structural Engineer











PROPOSED SITE PLAN DETAIL 1" = 25'-0"



ZONING: F - FORESTRY

WIND LOAD (VULT): 170

- PROPOSED SOLAR ARRAY

NORTH

-07-LINE 172.17

107 LINK 13.86,

GROUND SNOW LOAD (LBS/SQFT): 50

LOT SIZE (UNSUBDIVIDED): 4.434 ACRES

CURRENT ADOPTED BUILDING CODES: 2015 IRC, 2015 BOULDER COUNTY CODE AMENDMENTS, 2015 IECC



UPPER SWEET HOME GULCH

Pile 1	Other Small Piles
Pile 2	Area with open mine shafts
Pile 3	Repository Site



ATTACHMENT ORG

Chemical Hazards: Arsenic, Cadmium

Physical Hazards: Several open mine shafts (hazard to nearby homeowners and firefighters), various charred debris from forest fire

Remediation Approach:

- Grade back piles 1-3 to slopes no steeper than 3:1
- Place all cut volumes from the large piles and small piles into the flat area, located northwest of Pile 1. · Close the open mine shafts located near the south residence.
- Test a variety of capping techniques on the other small piles to determine best growing conditions. These small piles are good candidates for this type of experimentation because they are small and located away from major drainage channels.

Deliverables (see gallery below): Hazard Assessment, Grading plan, Capping Plan, Revegetation Plan, Cost Estimate



Community Planning & Permitting

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

Building Safety & Inspection Services Team

<u>M E M O</u>

TO:	Ryan Kacirek, AICP, Planner I
FROM:	Michelle Huebner, Plans Examiner Supervisor
DATE:	May 10, 2021

RE: Referral Response, Docket VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback. Request to adjust the front yard setback from 25 feet to a 0-foot side yard setback in order to construct a new residence on a 5.35-acre parcel.

Location: 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr

Thank you for the referral. We have the following comments for the applicants:

- 1. There are several important Building Code requirements that present a potential challenge with this variance request.
- 2. The International Residential Code (IRC) Section R302.1 restricts openings such as openings ins walls, projections (prohibits overhangs), and requires fire rated assemblies for structures that are closer than five feet (5') to the lot line.

EXTERIOR WALL ELEMENT		MINIMUM FIRE-RESISTANCE RATING	MINIMUM FIRE SEPARATION DISTANCE
Walls	Fire-resistance rated	1 hour—tested in accordance with ASTM E 119 or UL 263 with exposure from the outside	0 feet
	Not fire-resistance rated	0 hours	3 feet"
Projections	Not allowed	N/A	< 2 feet
	Fire-resistance rated	1 hour on the underside ^{b, c}	2 feet ^a
	Not fire-resistance rated	0 hours	3 feet
O	Not allowed	N/A	< 3 feet
Openings in walls	Unlimited	0 hours	3 feet*
Penetrations		Comply with Section R302.4	< 3 feet
	IS All	None required	3 feet*

TABLE R302 1(2)

For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

N/A = Not Applicable

a. For residential subdivisions where all dwellings are equipped throughout with an automatic sprinkler system installed in accordance with Section P2904, the fire separation distance for nonrated exterior walls and rated projections shall be permitted to be reduced to 0 feet, and unlimited unprotected openings and penetrations shall be permitted, where the adjoining lot provides an open setback yard that is 6 feet or more in width on the opposite side of the property line.
b. The roof eave fire-resistance rating shall be permitted to be reduced to 0 hours on the underside of the eave if fireblocking is provided from the wall top plate to the underside of the roof sheathing.

c. The roof eave fire-resistance rating shall be permitted to be reduced to 0 hours on the underside of the eave provided that gable vent openings are not installed.

3. **Plan Review.** The items listed above are a general summary of some of the county's building code requirements. A much more detailed plan review will be performed at

the time of building permit application, when full details are available for review, to assure that all applicable minimum building codes requirements are to be met. Our <u>Residential Plan Check List</u> and other Building Safety publications can be found at: <u>https://assets.bouldercounty.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/b24-residential-plan-check-list.pdf</u>

If the applicants should have questions or need additional information, we'd be happy to work with them toward solutions that meet minimum building code requirements. Please call (720) 564-2640 or contact us via e-mail at <u>building@bouldercounty.org</u>



Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303-441-3930 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.BoulderCounty.org

May 25, 2021

Boulder Countv

TO:	Ryan Kacirek, Staff Planner; Community Planning & Permitting, Development Review Team - Zoning
FROM:	Jennifer Severson, Principal Planner; Community Planning & Permitting, Development Review Team – Access & Engineering
SUBJECT:	Docket # VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback
	6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

The Development Review Team - Access & Engineering staff has reviewed the application materials for the above referenced docket and has the following comments:

- 1. The subject property is accessed from Sunshine Canyon Drive, a Boulder County owned and maintained right-of-way (ROW), via County Road 85 (CR 85), a gravel-surfaced Boulder County owned but privately maintained ROW at this location with a Functional Classification of Local Secondary. Legal access to the subject parcel is demonstrated via adjacency to this public ROW.
- 2. Staff has no conflicts with the setback variance, as proposed.
- 3. Although the proposed residence will be on a previously developed parcel, the parcel is currently vacant. The new residence will be the 8th development to utilize CR 85 for access (including the development currently undergoing Site Plan Review at 390 Gold Run). Per the Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards ("the Standards") Table 5.5.1, accesses that serve between 6 and 15 development units must be designed as a 2-lane access (minimum width = 18 feet). Future development on the subject parcel will require CR 85, between Sunshine Canyon Drive and the private point of access to the subject parcel, to be improved to comply with the Standards (See Table 5.5.1 and Standard Drawings 11, 14 and 15).
- 4. The private driveway between CR 85 and the new residence must also be improved to comply with the Standards for residential development in the mountains as listed above, and including <u>Standard Drawings 16, 17, 18 and 19</u>.
- 5. There is no evidence of an existing Access Permit. An Access Permit will be issued for the point of access to CR 85 at the time of building permit review. No special application procedure is necessary, the Access Permit will be issued concurrently with the Building Permit.
- An Access Improvement and Maintenance Agreement (AIMA), which is an agreement for future maintenance responsibility, will be issued for the CR 85 during Building Permit review. The AIMA will be completed by the Development Review Team – Access & Engineering staff and approved as part of the Building Permit process.

This concludes our comments at this time. Matt Jones County Commissioner Claire Levy County Commissioner Marta Loachamin County Commissioner



Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

	One-Lan	e Access	Two-Lane Access		
	Plains Mountains		Plains Mountains		
# of units	1 -	5	6 - 15		
Travelway Width (8' turnouts 8'x 55' incl. tapers - required every 400')	10'	12'	18'	18'	
Surface Course	Per geotechi	nical report ¹	Per geotechnical report		
ROW/Easement Width (min.)	2(28' w/ti		30′		
Centerline Radius (min.)	4()'	40'		
Max. Grade (%)	12	12 or up to 14 for 200' max. ²	12	12 or up to 14 for 200' max.	
Max. Grade through curve	6%	6 ³	6%		
Clearance Vertical/ Horizontal	13'-6" / 14' 13'-6" / 16'		13'-6" / 22'		
Roadside Ditches	Designed and constructed to Standard Drawings. See BCSDCM and USDCM for permanent erosion control practices.		Designed and constructed to Standard Drawings. See BCSDCM and USDCM for permanent erosion control practices.		
Slope Stability	Per geothechnical recommendations to design stability and facilitate revegetation ⁴		Per geothechnical recommendations to design stability and facilitate revegetation ⁴		
Signs and Traffic Control Devices	Required signs and traffic control devices must conform with the MUTCD, latest edition		Required signs and traffic control devices must conform with the MUTCD, latest edition		
Culverts	Min. 18" or equiv. capacity RCP or CMP in public ROW per Standard Drawing Cross-culverts outside of ROW sized to maintain historic flow		Min. 18" or equiv. ca in public ROW per Cross-culverts outsi maintain hi	Standard Drawing de of ROW sized to	
Sight Distances	per AASHTO recommendations		per AASHTO recommendations		
Approach to Highway	90° to centerline of highway with max. 30° variation		90° to centerline of highway with max. 30° variation		
Standard Drawings	11, 12, 13, 14, 1	5, 16, 17, 18, 19	11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19		
Overall Design Principles	See Sec	tion 5.1	See Sec	tion 5.1	

¹ Accesses serving one dwelling unit shall use 4" ABC (Class 6) or other suitable material as approved by the Transportation Department.

² Accesses serving one dwelling unit may use 16% for 200' max.

³ Accesses serving one dwelling unit may use up to 8% w/ 2' additional width.

⁴ Accesses serving one dwelling unit may use 1 ½ : 1 max. cut and fill slopes or per geothechnical recommendations to design stability and facilitate revegetation.

July 1, 2012

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 11



ORG52

A-16

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 14



July 1, 2012

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 15



ORG54

A-20

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 16



July 1, 2012

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 17



Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 18



July 1, 2012

Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards

Standard Drawing 19



July 1, 2012 B11

A-24



Parks & Open Space 5201 St. Vrain Road • Longmont, CO 80503 303-678-6200 • POSinfo@bouldercounty.org

www.BoulderCountyOpenSpace.org

TO:	Ryan Kacirek, Community Planning & Permitting Department
FROM:	Ron West, Natural Resource Planner
DATE:	May 25, 2021
SUBJECT:	Docket VAR-21-0003, Ells, 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Staff has reviewed the submitted materials, and has no particular natural resource concerns

with this variance.







Right of Way & Permits

1123 West 3rd Avenue Denver, Colorado 80223 Telephone: **303.571.3306** Facsimile: 303. 571. 3284 donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com

May 24, 2021

Boulder County Community Planning and Permitting PO Box 471 Boulder, CO 80306

Attn: Ryan Kacirek

Re: Ells Residence in Setback, Case # VAR-21-0003

Public Service Company of Colorado's (PSCo) Right of Way & Permits Referral Desk has reviewed the documentation for **Ells Residence in Setback** and has no apparent issues with the variance request, provided it does not affect any existing electric utilities.

Please be aware PSCo owns and operates existing overhead and underground electric facilities on and/or crossing the subject property and must be shown on the plans.

In relation to the proposed solar array and the overhead electric facilities, note that per the National Electric Safety Code, a <u>minimum 10-foot radial clearance</u> must be maintained at all times from all overhead electric facilities including, but not limited to, construction activities and permanent structures.

Should the project require any new natural gas or electric service or modification to existing facilities, the property owner/developer/contractor must complete the application process via <u>xcelenergy.com/InstallAndConnect</u>.

As a safety precaution, PSCo would like to remind the developer to call the Utility Notification Center by dialing 811 for utility locates prior to construction.

Donna George Right of Way and Permits Public Service Company of Colorado dba Xcel Energy Office: 303-571-3306 – Email: donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com





Community Planning & Permitting

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303-441-3930 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.BoulderCounty.org

Wildfire Mitigation Team

<u>M E M O</u>

TO:	Ryan Kacirek, AICP, Planner I
FROM:	Abby Silver, Wildfire Mitigation Specialist
DATE:	May 24, 2021
RE:	Referral Packet for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301
	Sunshine Canyon Drive

Thank you for the referral. We have the following comments for the applicants:

Decades of catastrophic wildfires, research, and case studies have shown that extreme wildfires are inevitable in the forests of Boulder County and across the Western US, but loss of life and homes do not have to be inevitable. The conditions that principally determine if a house ignites occur within 100 feet of the house, including the house itself. That is why Boulder County has such strong wildfire mitigation requirements in our Land Use and Building Code, and why Boulder County encourages all homeowners to voluntarily take responsibility to mitigate their own home's risk of igniting in a wildfire through Wildfire Partners.

The proposed project is in <u>Wildfire Zone 1</u> (the foothills or mountains—approximately west of highways 7, 36, or 93) of unincorporated portion of Boulder County and wildfire mitigation is required to reduce the risk of loss of life and property.

The Wildfire Mitigation Team cannot support this variance because of the risk of loss of life and property the variance would create. Effective defensible space generally encompasses at least 100 feet on every side of all buildings (however, 30 feet is minimally effective defensible space if more ignition resistant materials are used) and an installed approximately five-foot noncombustible perimeter around existing and new structures. The proposed setbacks do not allow for even a full non-combustible border around the house that would extend two feet beyond the eaves in all locations. This is limited by BLM land to the west and east of the proposed house location.

We highly recommend either altering the building footprint to allow installing a 5 foot wide non-combustible perimeter within the property boundaries; or relocating the home to allow for improved wildfire mitigation; or obtaining legal access to adjacent BLM lands to enable installation of the noncombustible perimeter and ideally to allow for maintenance of defensible space within 100 feet of the new home.

Other wildfire mitigation risks will be addressed during the Planning process and through the building code.

Matt Jones County Commissioner Claire Levy County Commissioner Marta Loachamin County Commissioner

If the applicant should have questions or need additional information, we'd be happy to work with them toward solutions that meet minimum land use and building code requirements. I can be reached at 720.564.2641 or <u>asilver@bouldercounty.org</u>.

From:	LU Land Use Planner
To:	Kacirek, Ryan
Subject:	FW: Ask a Planner - Richard & Barbara Slarks - VAR-21-0003 - 6299n Sunshine Canyon Drive
Date:	Monday, May 24, 2021 1:38:26 PM

Hey Ryan,

This one is for you too.

Chad Endicott | Long Range Planner I Pronouns: he/him/his Boulder County Community Planning & Permitting Service hours are 7:30 a.m.-5 p.m. Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, and 10 a.m.-5 p.m. Tuesday Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306 Direct: 720-798-3560 | Main: 303-441-3930 cendicott@bouldercounty.org

-----Original Message-----From: Ask A Planner <no-reply@wufoo.com> Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:51 PM To: LU Land Use Planner cplanner@bouldercounty.org> Subject: Ask a Planner - Richard & Barbara Slarks - VAR-21-0003 - 6299n Sunshine Canyon Drive

Boulder County Property Address : 6299n Sunshine Canyon Drive If your comments are regarding a specific Docket, please enter the Docket number: VAR-21-0003 Name: Richard & Barbara Slarks Email Address: barb@slarks.com Phone Number: (303) 449-0818 Please enter your question or comment: We are one of Fred Ells' closest neighbors. As such, we have known him for over 25 years.

We understand that Fred would like to re-build his house, which burned down in the Fourmile Fire, on his old foundation. Fred has represented to us that his existing foundation has been inspected and approved by a structural engineer. We also understand from what Fred has mentioned to us, that he needs to rebuild on his old foundation since a different location would not be financially viable for him

We have no problems with Mr. Ells building on his old foundation and would welcome having him as our neighbor again.

Public record acknowledgement:

I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the Colorado Open Records Act.

From:	LU Land Use Planner
То:	<u>Kacirek, Ryan</u>
Subject:	FYI : response Docket #VAR-21-0003
Date:	Monday, May 24, 2021 12:07:37 PM

@Kacirek, Ryan

From: Brooke Weathers <brooke@mwluxuryhomes.com>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:05 PM
To: LU Land Use Planner <planner@bouldercounty.org>
Subject: response Docket #VAR-21-0003

To the county Planners,

This is in response to the above notification I received about docket #VAR-21-0003, Ells Residence in Setback. I live at 6310 Sunshine Canyon, my driveway is immediately across from the proposed request of Mr. Ells to adjust the front yard setback to 0 fee from 25 feet.

I am very opposed to this as a neighbor and as a realtor, for the following reasons:

#1: Mr. Ells received a boundary adjustment at a previous county hearing, which I attended, specifically in order to NOT have to apply for a variance. See the 5th page of the application SE-18-0010.

#2. Building codes are in place for a reason, and one must show a "hardship" in order to receive a variance, and there is no hardship here-there is plenty of room to build on the property with the appropriate setbacks. Perhaps he is trying to reduce his driveway costs by placing a home right next to the road, but that's no reason for a variance to be given,

#3. Placing a home there with no setback is particularly startling for drivers coming downhill from the west, as cars are coming off a hairpin turn immediately at that location, and a safety hazard, as we have no streetlights, the dirt road there is quite slippery in winter snow, and we have a substantial increase in traffic. A reminder that during the 2013 flood, Sunshine Canyon Drive was the only canyon road open; all traffic was diverted from Boulder Canyon, Pine Brook and Lee Hill to SCD.

#4. Finally, to allow a home to be placed on Sunshine Canyon with no setback is not in keeping with the character of the mountain "rural" neighborhood that has been so carefully maintained in Sunshine Canyon, which was the main reason the county commissioners gave for ruling against paving Sunshine Canyon, when their own transportation director, George Gerstle, was in favor of it at the time it was proposed years back.

Thank you for your time,

Brooke Weathers Compass Office

1470 Walnut Boulder, CO 80302 m: 303.898.6564 Personal address 6310 Sunshine Canyon Drive, Boulder, 80302

From:	LU Land Use Planner
To:	Kacirek, Ryan
Subject:	FW: Ask a Planner - Eugene Fischer - VAR-21-0003 - 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive
Date:	Monday, May 24, 2021 2:04:32 PM

Here's another public comment for you, Ryan.

Thanks,

Chad Endicott | Long Range Planner I Pronouns: he/him/his Boulder County Community Planning & Permitting Service hours are 7:30 a.m.-5 p.m. Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, and 10 a.m.-5 p.m. Tuesday Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306 Direct: 720-798-3560 | Main: 303-441-3930 cendicott@bouldercounty.org

-----Original Message-----From: Ask A Planner <no-reply@wufoo.com> Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 1:59 PM To: LU Land Use Planner <planner@bouldercounty.org> Subject: Ask a Planner - Eugene Fischer - VAR-21-0003 - 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Boulder County Property Address : 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive If your comments are regarding a specific Docket, please enter the Docket number: VAR-21-0003 Name: Eugene Fischer Email Address: genefischer@yahoo.com Phone Number: (303) 444-2134 Please enter your question or comment: My property is directly north of subject property, separated only by Sunshine Canyon Drive. I am not opposed to the variance request. Public record acknowledgement: I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the

Colorado Open Records Act.
st Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306



Land Use Department

A LIACHMENILORG

2040 14th Street • 14th & Spruce Streets • Administrative Services Building, 2nd Floor • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

To: Board of Adjustment From: Zoning Division Date: June 13, 1986

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Docket #617

BACKGROUND:

A building permit application, #86-467, was made by Fred Ells on May 19, 1986 for the construction of a single family residence which is a permitted use in the Forestry zoning district. The application for the premises designated as 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive was denied on 5-21-86 under Article 3, page 7, of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution because the proposed side yard setbacks would be 8 feet where 25 feet is required.

DISCUSSION:

- A. Evidence related to proper reasons for a variance:
 - 1. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or slope of the specific piece of property.

Property is only 50 feet wide for the first 500 feet on the north. Where the Dead Medicine overlaps the El Dorado and the Atchison, creating a wider parcel, there are mine tailings and a steep slope with questionable access.

B. Evidence related to whether the strict application of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardship upon the owner of such property.

Without a variance this lot is unbuildable and the applicant's investment will be lost.

- C. Evidence related to whether the requested variance can be granted without:
 - 1. Substantial detriment to the public.

At the present time there are no buildings on the adjacent properties. The zoning resolution requires a minimum of 50 feet between buildings on adjacent properties in the Forestry zoning district. There is adequate room on all the properties surrounding the Dead Medicine to place a building 50 feet or more from the applicant's proposed residence, although adjacent property owners would not have to build that far away.

Josephine W. Heath County Commissioner Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Herbert E. "Buz" Smith, Jr. County Commissioner





6-13-86

The owners of the Grand View have expressed concern about access. The applicant has asked the Grand View owners for an easement but that easement has not been granted at this time.

2. Substantial impairment of the intent and purpose of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution.

ATTACHMENT ORG

2.

The zoning resolution requires a 50 foot setback between buildings in the Forestry zoning district and that requirement can be met if the adjacent property owners wish to build 20 feet further back on their property. The requirement that the Board of Adjustment only grant variances based on a hardship with the land is fulfilled by the fact that the subject property is only 50 feet wide. Therefore, the staff feels the intent of the zoning resolution is not substantially impaired.

RECOMMENDATION:

ORG68

Due to the extreme narrowness of the property; due to the fact that if the applicant is denied the variance he will be denied reasonable use of his property; due to the fact that dwellings on adjacent properties will be able to be built 50' or more from the subject property; then, the staff recommends <u>APPROVAL</u> of the variance provided the access problem can be worked out and there are no further objections from adjacent property owners.

Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorodo 80306

Land Use Department

CHMENT ORG

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

December 9, 2002

Boulder County

> Fred Ells 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder CO 80302

Dear Mr. Ells:

This letter certifies that a hearing of the Board of Adjustment, County of Boulder, State of Colorado, was duly called and held on Wednesday, December 4, 2002, in consideration of the following request:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed
-	addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for
	water storage including a pump house structure.
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W
Zoning:	Forestry (F)

In three separate motions, the Board of Adjustment of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado, voted as follows:

- 1) Proposed pump house structure (BP-02-1885) on a 3-2 vote to approve (however, a 4-1 supermajority vote necessary for approval of a variance), therefore the request is denied.
- 2) Proposed detached garage (BP-02-1624) on a 5-0 vote to deny, therefore the request is denied.
- 3) Proposed bay window addition (BP-02-1510) on a 5-0 vote to deny, therefore the request is denied.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (303) 441-3930.

Sincerely,

Greg Oxenfeld, Planner II Current Planning Division

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\DOCKETS\VAR0215\15BOAACT.DOC

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Paul Danish County Commissioner

ELLS VARIANCE BOA - 12/4/02 Ben Harding - notes a concern with the language in the letter from the surveyor, which does not appear to be an actual survey Ben Thompson, attoiney - Nates the surveyor has provided a starp that states the structure is within the property loundary -notes the purpose of the garage is to allow the fire trucks to get in ad out of the garage to have access to the water -water is pumped up to the garage where storage tanks are located to provide quicker access to He water 1ed Ells - note Bruce Correll built a have an a part of his property (White Crow late) - 14 section of Section 8 * Exhibity nated the Surveys that have occurred in the area - neveds a 24-foot wide garage, because in a emergency Here cald be safety issues - He file truck is 8 feet wide · · ·

Ť. Susan Coldstein Grad View Mining Claim - waild like to see the fire district have access to the pump hause -still does not believe Mr. Ells has kgal access, and is negotiating the issue with the removal of FLOOS Henry Ballard, Sunshine F.P.P. - would like to have access to the water ad want to figure out has to get it (unter) down to He road -have no plans to store equipment on the Ell's property - need to establish the level for the water in order to determine hav deep the pump needs to be placed (typically about 14 + feet above the water level) Hal Osteen - can support the pump have as long as it for Use by the Fire District III Fied Ells - He proposed unter is 6.3 ad 6.5 (a little acidic) 15 hp. pump in a shed 4'x8' cald be proposed E3

Michael for . 200 Haldsteen - believe Mere is a public benefit 11 No - ber Harding -nate the fire district does not Know or have final plans for access to the water and MI, Ells notes that the location for the pump have may have to move No- bhy Vickinson > Derived an a 3-2 vate X Galage John Dickinson feels that the 19 feet wide garage Juggested by staff is acceptable ad is opposed to the 24-foot wide garage Daves OItega - deny the variance for the garage III John Pickinson - 27 "Ben Harding / Hal Osteen feels a 19' wide garage cauld be adequise for the fire truck >Kenin 5-0 E4

* Bay Windaw Grahan Billingsley - nate the the location certificate ... is a survey of the structure and is not a bandary survey - Nate the Canty requires an improvement lactin certificate 1. Fied Ells - conmented on the surveys in the area (61em Tive of Droxe (Bairul) - resurvey from Drexel Barrel maj certifies what is to be true Hal Ostean - notes confort level with survey, but quest:-if the bay window meets the critaria James Oftega - Untemfortable with the bandary of the John Pickinson - requested at the last hearing to have a baindary survey and is not confortable with the structure being so close to the property line Benthanpon-suggest a cadition that would cafirm the bundary line (survey)

Hal Osteen - suggest the application be tabled to allow for more information Janes Ortega - deny by winda John Dicking - 2 Ben Harding -note the bay window built without a building permit is a self-imposed hardship Haldsteen - does not support the variance > denied 5-0 E'6



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA ITEM

ATTACHMENT ORG

December 4, 2002 – 4:00 PM Hearing Room, Third Floor Boulder County Courthouse

PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Greg Oxenfeld

STAFF MEMORANDUM RE:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for
	water storage including a pump house structure.
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W.
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicant:	Fred Ells

DISCUSSION:

The applicant submitted a letter from David Waldner of RMCS Surveying & Engineering, dated November 26, 2002, that confirms that the house and addition along with the overhang on the addition are within the property boundary of the Dead Medicine Lode. Copies of the survey information that was used to conclude the results were also submitted with the letter. A copy of the letter and reduced copy of the survey information are attached. Additionally, staff received another e-mail from an adjacent property owner (Slarks) noting their support for the applicant's requested variances, which is also attached.

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\Dockets\VAR0215\15BREC4.doc

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG75

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner



.

2		Board of Adjustment		
4		Transcript for Public Hearing Regarding <u>Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance</u> December 4, 2002		
6		{DAT Tape 1}		
8	On Wednesday, December 4, 2002, the Boulder County Board of Adjustment held a regular meeting, convening at 4:00 p.m. and adjourning at 5:30 p.m., in the Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse,			
10	Boulder,			
12	Members Present:	Hal Osteen (Presiding Chair), John Dickinson, Ben Harding, James Ortega, and Michael Poe.		
14 16	Staff Present:	Graham Billingsley, David Callahan, Greg Oxenfeld, and Pat Mayne (Assistant County Attorney).		
18	Interested Others:	3-5		
20	Legal Interpreter:	Mindi Thomas (Professional Sign Language Interpreting, Inc.) provided interpreting services for John Dickinson (BOA Member).		
22	HAL OSTEEN (BO)			
24	HAL OSTEEN (BOA Chair): Next item, we will now open the public hearing for Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance. We'll follow the same format that we did last month. We hear from staff first, and then, from you, and then we'll have the public section of the hearing, and then we'll close that and we'll come back and then			
26	the Board will talk ab	out things. So we would like to start with staff, please.		
28	GREG OXENFELD (Staff Planner): Thank you. Greg Oxenfeld with the Land Use Department. I did pass out some new information to you today this is with regards to a survey that was prepared for the applicant.			
30	chance to look at it at	ou want to have enough time to read through that before I get started, or if you all had a this point.		
32 34	-	he input from Board members? Do we want to take time to look at it? Do you want to go are we going to take some time to look at it?		
36	BEN HARDING (BO	DA Member): Yeah, maybe a minute. I've started to get through it.		
38	HAL: Okay.			
40	{At this time the Boar	rd of Adjustment members reviewed the new information.}		
42		BOA Member): I have a question for staff. Essentially since you handed out this new s your recommendation changed at all?		
44	GREG: As I've noted	d in the recommendation that was presented for the hearing today, it indicated that staff		
46	basically continues to :	maintain its recommendation for denial of the request for the addition, and the detached s as previously stated in staff memorandum, dated November 6 th . And staff would		
48	continue to maintain t			
50	JAMES: Okay. That	nk you.		



.



2 **JOHN DICKINSON (BOA Member):** But because of the new information and extra details, why are you still offering a denial?

4

GREG: This would be for the same reasons that were discussed in the staff memorandum, dated November
 6th, and the review of the criteria. In summary, we just felt that the County doesn't have the same opinion as it did in 1986 with the variance that this lot is unbuildable and the applicant's investment would be lost because

- 8 there is no guarantee in the Land Use Code that the proposed garage would be approved.
- 10 **HAL:** Shall we go ahead and um---everybody ready?
- 12 BOA MEMBERS: Yes.
- 14 **HAL:** Okay, Greg, go ahead give your staff presentation, please.
- 16 **GREG:** Okay. The other new information that was previously provided to you was with regards to a building permit for pump house structure. And this is a variance request for a 10-foot side yard setback for both sides,
- 18 where 25-feet is required. A new referral was sent out to the usual referral agencies and adjacent property owners with this new information. We did receive one response as of the date of writing of the
- recommendation on the 22nd of November noting their support for the proposal, and we also received another email from adjacent property that also notes support for the applicant's requested variances.
- 22

With regards to the criteria review for the pump house structure, based on the fact that the parcel is exceptionally narrow and with the 25-foot side yard setback requirement staff finds that a variance is necessary and this is due to the location of where the water is. Where the mineshaft is located. Staff finds that the

- 26 proposed structure will only be approximately 195 sq. ft. and will not change the character of the Zoning District. And will allow for improved fire protection for property owners within the Sunshine Fire Protection
- 28 District, which will provide the protection and promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the present and future inhabitants of Boulder County. So based on staff's review we can find that structure can meet the
- 30 criteria for a variance and recommends that the Board of Adjustment approve the variance the 10-foot side yard setback where 25-feet is required for that structure, subject to the three conditions as noted in the December 4,
- 32 2002 staff recommendation.
- 34 With regards to the new information that was provided to you today, the applicant did provide a letter from the surveyor that did confirm that the house, the addition along with the overhang on the addition are within the

36 property boundary of the Dead Medicine Lode. And I think that was one of the key questions that staff and the Board had at the last hearing.

- 38
- **BEN:** I just want to comment on that. Because the wording—I read this and that wording of that really bothered me because the letter says, "This letter is to confirm that the Improvement Location Certificate (ILC) that prepared for the house located on the Dead Medicine Lode is based upon the Glen True survey of said
- 42 property. And the survey of the adjoining White Crow Lode that I prepared." So he's apparently based this on the old survey and the testimony that we had last time was that there was a lot of discrepancies between the old

Glen True surveys and the new surveys. And this was the reason that I wanted to have the surveyor come. Was because the last thing we want to do is grant a variance for somebody to build something that's on

somebody else's property. So I'm still puzzled by this, and the wording of that letter is sufficiently obtuse to



- 2 make me concern that maybe this guy is just trying to—I mean he really didn't go out to do any surveying on that line. He just took the True survey and—you know, he's just saying "Yeah, if you look at the Trues survey
- 4 it shows that the house is on the property." So I'm still concerned, and the applicant will have a chance to talk about this, but that's one of the reasons why I just wanted the surveyor to come, you know, because this is a
- 6 confused situation.
- 8 HAL: Tell me about an Improvement Location Certificate in view of Ben's concerns about that. Does an Improvement Location Certificate that is stamped and given to the County is that a final establishment of lines,
 10 or are we still flying free?
- 12 **GREG:** Well, staff feels that with a surveyor's stamp stating that all of the improvements are within the property boundary that would be a level of comfort. In order to issue the building permit we would require that
- 14 they provide confirmation of that once everything is completed before we do issue the final Certificate of Occupancy. We can certainly request additional information based on the Board of Adjustment's concern, but,
- um, I did also try to contact the surveyor that prepared this letter prior to the hearing, and had not received a return call. So I'll have to allow the applicant to go ahead and address your concerns.
- 18

BEN: Okay.

20

HAL: Okay, but under normal everyday just circumstances, the Improvement Location Certificate is all they need to start the permitting process and that's acceptable.

- 24 GREG: Correct.
- **BEN:** I will say, you know, in the past when we've had close calls like we've required them to go out and do a survey. Actually, go do a new survey and make sure.
- 28

30

GREG: Right.

- **BEN:** Because when you're talking about what it looks like now, three-tenths of a foot, you know, it's easy to get on the wrong side of that.
- 34 GREG: Correct.
- 36 BEN: So.
- **HAL:** Okay, anything else from staff at this point?
- 40 **GREG:** Not at this time, thank you.
- 42 HAL: Okay, Mr. Ells, or your representative? Please state your name and address for the record.
- 44 **BEN THOMPSON (Attorney for Mr. Ells):** Thank you Mr. Chairman. Ben Thompson. I'm an attorney, and my address 1629 Canyon Blvd., in Boulder. And Mr. Ells is also present here. So let me first address
- 46 what I think, and probably your counsel will advise you, that a certificate with a stamp on it, we have two here





.

Transcript for Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance November 6, 2002 Page 4

2	that both show, and I thought those were surveys and maybe I just look at them wrong that basically say that the entire structure is located on Mr. Ells property. From a legal liability standpoint clearly I think that you can
4	rely on a survey and the certificate stamped by the surveyor. I just wanted to point out too that from this bay window outward there is a 25-foot BLM piece of land over which the right-of-way exists, and so the driveway
6	is there in addition to the fact that it is located on the property. So if we divide this into three sections, this time, this is request number one, to allow the bay window. And we thought we had addressed your concern
8	with even more than one map there, the surveyor says that it's pretty clear. That it's located on his property. And that includes the eaves. I didn't get the impression that he worded itI mean, I didn't help him word it.
10	That's the same legal description that's on my client's property. I mean on the deed. We thought we had cleared that issue up.
12	JOHN: What size is the bay window?
14	THOMPSON: I think
16	JOHN: Because there's no size on here.
18	THOMPSON: On the original plans we put thereI believe it's about two and a half feet, isn't it?
20	FRED ELLS (Applicant) {speaking away from microphone}: No, it's 4-feet wide.
22	THOMPSON: It's 4-feet wide
24	ELLS {speaking away from microphone}: 8-feet long.
26	THOMPSON: And 8-feet long.
28	ELLS {speaking away from microphone—inaudible}
30 32	JOHN: Thank you.
34	THOMPSON: From the backside, to make sure it's clear, it's 8-feet long but it comes out at a 45° angle
36	JOHN: I got it.
••	THOMPSON: and then 4-feet thick. Going to point two. Point two and point three are kind of combined.
38	The pump, the water, the line, and the garage, are all combined in that the garage—the purpose of the garage is for the fire truck. And what we're talking here is a difference between what we're requesting of 25-feet and
40	what staff's recommending of 19-feet. And that's 6-feet total, three-feet on each side. And I think if he doesn't get the three-feet on each side that he will not be able to get the fire truck in there, and do the things
42	that he needs to do with the fire truck. So if he can't do that then I don't think he can do any of that. So we do need the 25-feet and that does seem to me to be a very small distinction with what we're requesting and what
44	staff is recommending. And apparently number three the staff's requesting anyway, but it is tied—it's tied to the garage. If we can't get the fire truck in there and do the things with the fire truck that we need to do, then

46 we don't need number three.





2

4

BEN: I'm sorry. Is that number three--.

THOMPSON: Number three is the pump house itself.

6

BEN: -- the pump house. So without the fire truck, you don't need the pump house?

8 THOMPSON: That's correct.

10

BEN: I thought the pump house was for the fire department.

12

- **THOMPSON:** Well, here's what happens. The water is being pumped up to the garage, and there is a storage tanks at the garage that would be used to fill the fire truck up. And any other fire truck that was also there.
- 16 **BEN:** Why do you need the storage tanks? You got this well, this mine full of water. You just pump it directly into the--.

18

THOMPSON: I think it's mostly for speed. When you have a fire, you don't want to just sit there and wait while water is being pumped into a fire truck. You want to fill that fire truck up as fast as you can. Because probably what has happen is that the truck was already filled up once. It's gone to the fire site. It's emptied

- its water, and now it's coming back. And that's the purpose for having all these big tanks. It takes a while to fill them up.
- 24

26

BEN: But it's Mr. Ells fire truck that is going to do this, not the fire department's fire truck?

- THOMPSON: The fire department trucks will also use this?
- 28

BEN: Okay-okay.

30

THOMPSON: What happens is that they're all filled up once, and they're all sitting there. And then when a fire occurs they all go to the site, and—you think that's a lot of water, but it gets out pretty quickly. And so the—you can't the fire trucks down to the mine, and so—that's in bad weather, like say this kind of weather.

So the idea would be that even while the trucks are filling up, the tanks are filling up too at the same time. I do have representative here from the fire department. The staff is not opposing the pump house or that situation.

- 36 They're just—the only thing they're opposing there is the width of the garage.
- **BEN:** Yeah. But you're telling us that it's all one big package, and if any one these—the horseshoe nail comes us, we're going to lose the shoe.

40

THOMPSON: Well, it certainly loses the incentive for building the garage at all. If you can't put the fire in the garage, I mean, there's not much sense in building the garage.

44 **BEN:** Okay. I want to ask—I mean, never mind. Are we into questions, or you s till making your presentation?



2 **HAL:** Well, we haven't opened the public part of the hearing, or heard from the fire department yet, but Ben, I think he's—are you quite willing to hear some of our questions?

ATTACHMENT ORG

THOMPSON: I certainly am. I'm willing.

6

4

HAL: Okay.

8

BEN: Here's what I remember hearing last time. Mr. Ells gave us quite a long discourse about how confused and uncertain the surveys were up there.

12 **THOMPSON:** Yes.

14 **BEN:** Including the old—the old survey, which I understood to be the Glen True survey. And this was my concern, you know, I've been involved in a few cases where we've had to deal with the aftermath of somebody

building something on somebody else's property. It doesn't matter whether it's the Federal Government property, or somebody else's property. It's a bad thing. Now I—

18

MALE SPEAKER {speaking away from microphone.}: Can I--.

20

BEN: --hang on a sec. I'll just say what I'm going to say, and then you'll have a chance—or your lawyer. I read this thing, and the letter says, "I've prepared this Improvement Location Certificate as based on the Glen True survey of the said and the survey of the adjoining White Crow Lode that I prepared." And the White—

24 the critical line here is the line between bay window, and what I guess is Federal land there—public land. And doesn't look to me, like the White Crow Lode, has anything to do with that line. So it looks like we are still a being on this Clean True guesses which the testing and the second second

relying on this Glen True survey, which the testimony was last month, was pretty unreliable, or at least there was a lot of differences in opinion, you know, in matters of feet back and forth about, which line was right.

28

30

THOMPSON: Right. If I remember correctly what happen was the surveyor that we used last time to do the Location Certificate did not—the deed says the property's 50-feet wide, when he finished with the survey, his survey showed the property was smaller than that. And if you take the survey, I mean, if you take the deed

description it's 50-feet wide. If take the survey, it was not 50-feet wide. And so, we felt that survey was an error. So what we now have, I think is three or four surveyors each one saying a little bit different, but the one

34 that we have here today—and that survey did not address the eave situation. He didn't whether the eaves, in his survey, went beyond the property line or not. But if you go out and survey the line 50-feet wide, which is

36 what this surveyor has done, and then you draw the line, then the eaves are clearly on the property. And Mr. Ells wants to say something about it too. I mean we have a certificate for you, which says, "That the eaves are

38 on his property." There's no other survey that says anything different.

- 40 **BEN:** Yeah. But it qualified a little bit, and that's what's the problem.
- 42 **THOMPSON:** I think all surveyors are qualified. They have lawyers just like the rest of us. And they always try to qualify them. Mr. Ells wants to say something at this time.
- 44

HAL: State your name and address, please.





- FRED ELLS (Applicant): Fred Ells, 6301 Sunshine Canyon, and um, I really apology for this, but I've been 2 advised not to talk to you but I have to talk to you. The whole reason this came up is the White Crow. Bruce Correll built one of his mansions out those ten that he put down when there was a mountain merger. He built it 4 on my property. And didn't when Greg came up that I complained to County three times back in '92-'93 about that house being built on my property, and the County didn't do anything about it. And didn't know 6 when I started mouthing off to Greg, and I apologize about it, but it was I think his job to stop that house and move that foundation. Subsequently, I had to negotiate with Bruce Correll and sell him my end of my mining 8 claim because I just couldn't fight with Bruce. And it was the Johnson's whose tied to this that's why I had to come in here and get this variance, because in the middle of the process I'd taken the greenhouse off, and Greg 10 had came up and caught me rebuilding the house without a permit. And he was upset because I was critical of what was going on with Correll and I Correll kind of took advantage of the County when he built all those 12 mansions before the mountain merger because he was going to lose five building permits. So that's how all this started. RMCS is the survey company that did the surveying for White Crow, and right now, the County 14 Commission has ok'd them to have their house that was partially on my property and change the setbacks and 16 stuff on that, so that they wouldn't have to tear the front of their house off that was built partially on my property. The whole problem started, and there's another map that I sent in with this stuff. Do you have that other map? It's right there--this variance map here. Where's the *{inaudible speaking away from* 18
- microphone}. No, there's a big County map. This one. Can I show them this one?
- 20

22

GRAHAM BILLINGSLEY (Land Use Director): You need to talk into the microphone.

ELLS: Okay.

24

PAT MAYNE (Assistant County Attorney): Yes. You need to turn one of the microphones around, so that you can be heard Mr. Ells, and recorded.

- 28 ELLS: Okay.
- 30 **PAT:** And could you--.
- 32 ELLS: Okay, um.
- 34 **PAT:** Could you say what you've got?
- 36 **ELLS:** Right here you can see the corner section.
- 38 HAL: Could you say--.
- 40 **PAT:** Mr. Ells, identify the document please.
- 42 ELLS: This document is the corner section of Section 8, and it's in Land Use. And what had happened is back when BLM said this corner section they were off 80-feet. So moved all these mining claims. Last time I
- 44 came in here, Drexel Barrell came in and did an initial survey of mine quickly because I needed a survey to show where my setbacks where. Okay, so last November 6th when I came in here, Drexel gave you that survey
- and it was tied from mining claim to this corner section. But the corner section's off 80-feet so it routes all





these claims, so I spent a whole bunch of money and I got two more survey companies, Drexel came back up 2 they resurveyed all these mining claims and most importantly the Grandview, which is right next to me, which is downhill and in front of my bay window. And Glen True surveyed the Grandview and mine, the White 4 Crow, he surveyed the Dead Medicine, he surveyed all of these mining claims. Now when you take and you attach all those point together Glen's survey is correct. But when Drexel came up and went to the corner 6 section last month, because I had them do a hurried up survey, that's when they said the Glen True survey was off by a few feet. And so then they came back up and resurveyed, and RMS-um, RCMS came up and he 8 surveyed, and he's already familiar with this area cause he's doing the legal work, where I have to sell off this north portion of my mining claim to the Johnson's who had a boundary line adjustment two months ago. 10 Because their house-see the survey was off and so maybe when Correll built that house his survey was off and that's why he built on my property. But it all ties to the section corner being off. And so-I built this 12 whole house myself, no subcontractors, me! Completely. And I can't risk having that bay window torn off of

14 there, because I worked too damn hard!

- 16 **BEN:** Mr. Ells before you go. What did Waldner from RCMS survey? Did he survey the White Crow Lode or did he survey the Dead Medicine? You say he came up and surveyed. It looks here like--.
- 18

ELLS: There's a Mark Heath and Kellogg who are doing all those improvements down County Road 85.

20 He's been up there for the last three years and he surveyed in the Aragain. He's surveyed in probably 15 or 20 of those. There are six more new building houses that are going to go in on 85, and Waldner has surveyed all

of those. And then he surveyed in the White Crow. But he came up whenever two weeks ago and surveyed in the house. Set up with a transit, and surveyed in the house, and did right there, you know, exactly. And then

he found the pins that were from Glen True survey, and that's Alpine Surveying. And then with his information I went back to Drexel, and Drexel brought in his boys back up and they surveyed it again, and

26 there's second map in there from Drexel that shows I have six-tenths of a foot in front of my house. And so between Drexel and RCMS they're off like three-tenths of a foot, but they show that I'm completely on my

property. So that three surveying companies that said, you know, I built on my property. But I didn't understand when I built that bay window that it was setback problem. I just, you know, I just—I've been

30 working on that house continuously for like 15 years. I mean I built everything there the plumbing, the electrical. I didn't sub it out, except the roof was the only thing that I subbed out to anybody else. And so you

know, I need a variance because I'm a unique person in this County. Nobody else builds their whole house by themselves, but anyway.

34

BEN: Okay.

36

ELLS: And then let's go to the fire issue. I need a 24-foot wide fire—24-foot wide garage so that I can put my old '47 fire truck in it, and have other equipment on the site. If it's a 19-foot wide garage, it's not wide enough to get the vehicles in and out, and especially if there's a fire.

40

JOHN: How wide is the truck?

42

ELLS: 8-feet.

JOHN: So why do you need 25 feet then?

46



ELLS: 24. Because the garage door is 14-feet wide, and if it's an emergency situation, you want to be able to get it in and out quick. And if you're going to build, I mean the standard components are built either 20 or 24 feet, and just trusses and everything else layout that way.

- 6 JOHN: But the staff will approve 19 feet wide. Why can't you accept that?
- 8 **ELLS:** In emergency it wouldn't work. And somebody's going to get hurt. And I don't want to do that. One, if it's 19-foot or 20-foot wide, I mean that's only six more feet wide, and the property owner.
- 10

12

14

JOHN: But you have an 8-foot wide truck.

ELLS: Right.

JOHN: So what is the other space for?

16

ELLS: For another vehicle to be on the other side, so that you can get two vehicles in and out of the truck.

- JOHN: Another fire truck?
- 20

18

ELLS: No, it will probably be my personal pickup. See the confusing—the confusion here is--.

22 JOHN: Okay, but the staff is offering a 19-feet wide garage.

- 24 ELLS: Right.
- **JOHN:** The County will approve it. And I think that's a wonderful idea to accept what they're offering, instead of having to go through the whole process.
- 28

30

ELLS: I'm here at the variance, and I'm requesting—asking you architecturally, if can have a 24-foot wide garage. And all giving me is 6 feet on one side, and the Chris Shields that live down below has no objection.

Susan here's tonight who has some objections with the fire department going in and out of the properties, and you know, ruining her peace and quiet. But we've talked with the fire department, and we've made an agreement with them that they won't come in there and do trainings more than three or four, maybe five times

a year. But as far as the pump house—the pump house doesn't it pumps water out to the County Roads, and it is separate from the garage. So the pump house is okay, and can put the pump in and have that winterize so

that there is fire protection from the 100,000 gallons that's in that mineshaft. And then the garage, I would just appreciate an extra 6-feet, so that if we have an emergency and we're in there hustling and running around then

nobody gets hurt. So if we have a wide garage that I can get my old truck in and out of without tearing stuff up.

BEN: Okay last time my recollection was—you said that you wanted that space to be able to turn that truck
around.

ELLS: Yeah, that too! There's going to be a thirty foot apron in front of it, so if the fire department—well, what you need to do is you need to listen to the fire department. Because the fire department still has a problem they're not sure if they want to take a truck down into that area if we have a forest fire. Because they

problem they're not sure if they want to take a truck down into that area if we have a forest fire. Because then





- 2 we can lose one of our apparatus, one of our pieces of equipment, because it's a real steep slope there and chimney the fire will just race right up and down that. What they would like to see is a line to a fire hydrant
- 4 that's on 85 that we've been talking about the last four or five years in it never gets done, just because of all the County issues. And I'm sorry—if I keep talking I just confused you more, I really apologize. But it's—you
- 6 need to—I was on the fire department, I'm not on there now for the last five years. But I fought on four or five of the last forest fires around here, and it's just—unless you fought on a forest fire, you don't know, it's just
- 8 scary as hell. And I mean when you house is on the line, yeah, right, enough of that. Okay.
- 10 HAL: Any further questions at this time? Should we go onto the fire department from here to get that done? At this time we will open the public portion of the hearing. Are there members of the public here who wish to 12 speak to this matter? I think we have two. Does it matter who goes first? Come on up. And please state you
- name and address when you reach the microphone.
- 14

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

16 SPEAKERS:

- 18
- **SUSAN GOLDSTEIN (Adjacent Property Owner 6319 Sunshine Canyon Drive):** I'm Susan Goldstein and I live at 844 Humble, Denver, Colorado 80218, and I'm the owner of the Grandview mining claim which is the land that's in front of the land Fred's house is on. And I just wanted to state today that I would like to
- see us be able to facilitate some kind of solution so that the fire department can have the pump house. And don't know exactly—I've spoken to someone who used to be with fire department. Who's no longer the head
- of the fire department, so I don't really know the details about what they do or do not need. I still don't believe that Mr. Ells has legal access to cross my claim to get to his driveway to get to his house. But at this point he
- 26 does have permission to cross it. And he has made a proposal to me to compensate me for the trees that he cut down without my permission on my property. But it is not something that is adequate, and we have not been
- able to agree on it. So I just want to make it clear that I want the fire department to be able to get to the water if you all have authority to help have that happen. If the garage is going to impact my building site then I do
- 30 have problem with it. If it isn't whatever you do-do. Do I need to stay for questions?
- 32 HAL: Does anybody have any questions?
- 34 **BEN:** Just a comment. The issue of the trees, I don't think it's anything that's relevant to what we're going to decide here in just a moment.
- 36
- **GOLDSTEIN:** It's only relevant to the fact that it's precipitated to a lot of the problems that are going on between the two of us.
- 40 **BEN:** Yeah, that may be. But in terms of the substance of the variance it's not.
- 42 **GOLDSTEIN:** I understand that.
- 44 BEN: Okay.
- 46 HAL: Okay. Thank you. Please state your name and address.

ORG85



2

- HENRY BALLARD: I'm Henry Ballard. I live at 170 Misty Vale. The fire department is very anxious to get
 access to this water. It fits well with our plan of having water available every have mile or so along the road. And it looks great! We think there's a lot of water down there. And want to work figuring out how much
 there is, and figuring on how to get it to the road. Those are both significant projects we haven't had time to get very far on either one. So a pump house may be part of the solution, and if we—if the pump house is part
- of the solution then it will be great to have a variance to be allowed to build it. That's pretty much where we stand, and we've loved to get the water.
- 10

HAL: Okay. I have a question about people owning their own fire equipment. Is that a usual thing that happens very often?

- 14 BALLARD: No.
- 16 **HAL:** Would other fire volunteers member use it, or is it, just because of insurance and that sort of thing, that the owner would have use it? How does the fire, I mean I maybe be putting you in a position where you don't
- 18 have the answer for it, but how the fire department feel about other peoples fire equipment showing up? Where does that fit in the bigger picture?
- 20

BALLARD: I don't think we know where it fits.

22

HAL: Okay.

- 24
- **BALLARD:** It hasn't been an issue. I would think that anyone that is going to bring fire trucks would feel the same we do, as the people who use it should know how it works. And if he wants to train us on his fire truck then we could use his fire truck as well as ours.
- 28 HAL: Okay. Questions?
- 30 MICHAEL POE (BOA Member): You would not store any equipment in this garage?
- 32 **BALLARD:** We have no plans to store any equipment on Mr. Ells property.
- 34 **BEN:** How wide are your bays? And where's your station?
- BALLARD: Our station is at 311 County Road 83. That would be about three-tenths of a mile down the road, and about half a mile up before you get to Mr. Ells property. You know, the inadequacies of our station
- are interesting thing. We have a 25-foot door and three trucks behind it. And we can't get all three trucks out at the same time. You have take one truck and then you have to steer the others to get out. And we're working
- 40 on solving that problem. It's—you should have a nice wide door, you know, the station we're thinking about building would have 8-feet for every truck. And like 6-feet between trucks so there's plenty of room to
- 42 maneuver it. Right now we're walking sideways between our trucks. So we can appreciate his need for area—space in the garage.
- 44

BEN: The water supply is going to be pumped out to the--.





- 2 JOHN: So 19-feet wide would be enough for one truck?
- 4 **BALLARD:** I don't know everything he needs to put in his garage. I don't think I can address that issue.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 6 **BEN:** The water supply is going to be piped out to the road to the main—the County Road, which is 85, right?
- 8 **BALLARD:** 83.
- 10 **BEN:** 83. Okay.
- 12 **BALLARD:** The County Road is 52, and 83 is another County Road and it's down the way.
- 14 **BEN:** Okay. Well, it sounds like—first of all it sounds like the plans are not finalized to this yet.
- BALLARD: That's right. There are lots of issues having to do how far down in the mine the water is, and how far—how close to the water you can get the pump. And you can only pump up so far so you have to get the pump down close to the water. We'd like to be able to get as much water out there in an emergency as
- 18 the pump down close to the water. We'd like to be able to get as much water out there in an emergency as possible, and they proposal to put a siphon is great because doesn't depend on pumping water up. But it has
- other complications of trying to bury a line down to County Road 85. So we're not sure—and there are questions of money that would be involved. May be this possibility of a pump house and line underneath the
- driveway, if that works, and it could work, might be a good solution especially it means that Mr. Ells spends all the money and the fire department doesn't have to get involved, so there's interesting possibilities.
- 24

26

BEN: You actually said something that puzzles me now. But it makes sense so I hadn't thought about it too much. And that is you're going to put a pump down in the well, down in the mine.

- **BALLARD:** Well, you have to put the pump lower. You may dig a hole in the ground so it's closer to the water level.
- 30 BEN: Yeah, it can't be—I mean you can't be pulling suction more than, I don't know what, about 15 or so feet—20 feet?
- 32

BALLARD: Right.

34

BEN: At this elevation?

36

BALLARD: Right.

38

BEN: On a hot day?

BALLARD: Maybe 14-feet, whatever the number.

42

BEN: Right, it's not too much. Okay. So if the mineshaft is 30-feet to the water surface, you're going to have to put the pump down there, right?

46 BALLARD: It's not that far down to the water, but the question is when you start to suck down--.





2

4

- BEN: When you draw it down, when do you start sucking air?
- BALLARD: When do you starting sucking air? And have you gotten 10,000 gallons or 30,000 gallons.
- 6

8

BEN: Yeah, okay.

BALLARD: And if there's more water down then we might push for getting the pump, if we're going to use the pump further down in the water.

12 BEN: Yeah.

- 14 **BALLARD:** To get more water available.
- **BEN:** Have you guys done any assessment of the water quality? Are there issues related to water quality with all the mine—acid mine?

18

BALLARD: I'm not sure where that stands. There are always issues.

20

- **BEN:** I mean, it sounds I guess the point is there's a lot of planning and analysis that has to be done before you actually build this thing.
- 24 **BALLARD:** Right. And you know water quality, you know, we have to think about if we put it in a tank where it's going to sit for a while, do we need to throw some chemicals in there to get it up to a reasonable pH.
- 26 RF
- 28

BEN: Okay.

JOHN: One thing I'm a little bit concerned about is when you dig in the mine to put piping in, you know, it can hit another person's, Susan's property, next to another person's property. So all of that would need to be approved before you can even think about digging on the property.

32

BALLARD: I agree. That's another issue, making sure it --.

34

JOHN: Okay.

36

HAL: So basically, the fire department's position is if all of this stuff can be worked out, it might be a very valuable addition to your water supply sometime in the future. If the details could be worked out, and that sort of thing, and that's basically why the fire department supports this variance?

40

BALLARD: Yes.

42

44

HAL: Okay.

BEN: I have one more question. How do the tanks fit in-the water tanks?







- **BALLARD:** I don't know. It could that having tanks could stage more water, but that's part of the plan that 2 hasn't been--.
- 4

BEN: And you don't know what would go in the pump house, I mean physically, the pumps and shaft?

6

BALLARD: Physically, I don't know what the plans are. The pump house still might cover the pump. It's just it might have to be sunk down into ground far so that it gets a significant amount of water. 8

- **BEN:** Okay. That's all I got. 10
- BALLARD: Thank you. 12
- HAL: Okay, thank you. Are there any other people here from the public who wish to have input at this 14 hearing at this time. If not, the public section the hearing is closed.
- 16

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

18 ELLS: Can I--.

20

HAL: Well, just a second. Now we're back to us. Questions? Ideas? One of my opinions about the pump house is that I'm going to support the variance for the pump house with attachment to it that the pump house 22 could exist if it is done in conjunction with the fire department. And it becomes a thing that the fire department deems necessary to use. How does everybody else-what do you think about that?

- 24
- BEN: Well, I think that's consistent with the --. 26
- 28 PAT: Could you speak to the mic, please Ben?
- BEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Well, I think that's consistent with what Mr. Ells has asked for, but I have a further 30 concern, and I don't know quite how to handle this. But it sounds like this whole pumping scheme is sort of

not yet done, and so we don't know what he's going to build. I'm inclined to support a variance that would get 32 the fire department water supply, but I'm not sure that's in front of us, because nobody knows what it's going to take to do that. 34

- 36 **HAL:** Right. We're just talking about the potential pump house that's it.
- BEN: Yeah, but I don't know what else--. 38
- HAL: It's very small structure. 40
- **GRAHAM:** I have a point of order I would like you to consider. Graham Billingsley. 42
- HAL: Gladly. 44
- **GRAHAM:** Traditionally after the public speaks you give the applicant an opportunity to respond to what 46



- 2 may have been said, and the staff as well.
- 4 HAL: Okay.
- 6 **GRAHAM:** So I hope that you're still intending to do that.
- 8 **HAL:** Okay, thank you.
- **PAT:** And just another point of order, one of the things that you had done in your hearing last month, which lends a great deal of order to your deliberations was to sort of say "There's these three different issues, and

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 12 we'll deal with them one at a time and thoroughly." And I'm a little concern that this deliberation is very much all over the map. And if there ever were an appeal of this hearing it would be very hard for outsiders to decide
- 14 for what your deliberations consist of.
- **HAL:** Okay that was one of the reasons I thought perhaps we could deal with the pump house issue first. Because it seems to be, to me, it seems to be least complicated.
- 18

PAT: Well, maybe you could define for the record what order you're going to deal with all of the issues. And then hit them all.

- **HAL:** How about doing the pump house as number one? *{Paused}* Okay, we'll do the pump house as number one. Any preferences on number two and three from anybody? Okay, then why don't we do number---
- 24
- **JOHN:** The garage—the garage size.
- **HAL:** Okay do that as number two. And then number three the setback variance for the house and the bay window. Okay.
- 30
 - **PAT:** Thank you.

32.

HAL: Okay back to the pump house. And certainly the reason I brought this up was so that we could sort of get this set down. Are there any questions for Mr. Ells? And is there something else you want to say about the pump house?

36

ELLS: Well, you've asked a couple of question already. The pH in the water in the mine itself is between 6.3 and 6.5, so it's a little bit acidic. Sodium bicarbonate—sodium, no, well, there's a neutralizing limestone that will neutralize that. But anyway, from the pictures and I worked—well, I'm a mechanical engineer, and I

- worked extensively up in Wyoming on reclaiming mines. I've got an award from the Federal Government on site where we closed 52 mining holes down. It was the Sunrise project. It won the Western Regional award for
- 42 the best mining reclamation. But what I'm trying to do is that we got an existing mining shaft. And what it is there's a shaft that comes down like this that the State, that Julie Menard, I worked with her on putting a

culvert down the middle, that's an air shaft that comes down. And then there's a tunnel that runs about 150 feet long and it is 20 feet wide, and about 30 feet deep—30—40 feet. When the mine is full the water comes

over this geological dike and it will actually run over the top. And about 10 years ago-12 years ago we





- pumped the whole thing down and it delivered 5-gallons a minute for two weeks, which calculated to about 85,000 gallons. So when the mineshaft that's leveled all the way across is full of water it's equivalent to—
 what 20 swimming pools? And so there's an incredible amount of water that just drains into there. And there're two or three different opinions on the Fire Board.
- 6

Eric Bader wants to just have a siphon hose that goes over my property. Goes to chucks, I forgot their names,
I'm sorry. The next doors neighbors it goes across theirs and they're willing to give an easement. And so that siphon line which is just a 4-inch line would go over the geological dike and go down the hill to a fire hydrant

- 10 that's by the new County Road, well, the old County Road 205 that goes from Sunshine down to Salina. And that's three-quarters of the way being developed right now by Mark Heath to put three or four big, huge houses
- 12 down there. The probably is you can't fire in and out of there. There's no way to get clearly to Fourmile. Within the next five years, and this is just my opinion, that will be opened so that you can get to Fourmile and

14 we can have tankers come up an down that road, in case that steep slope, in case there's a fire. And it'd be really important to have a fire hose—hydrant right on that road so that we can fill tankers in 3 or 4 minutes, 5

16 minutes at the max, and then pull them down into Fourmile. But then there's all these other issues that they don't want that road blown open because the residences in Fourmile don't want all that extra traffic coming

18 down from Sunshine. Right, stop on that.

- 20 Okay. So anyway the pump house is just a 15 horse power Honda three-gallon a minute pump. And I can make the pump house down to 4 x 8, but I thought County Code was that you could have a storage shed that's
- 22 10 x 12 or smaller and you don't really need a building permit on that. But the variance issues come in. So the placement would be so that pump house is 10 feet from the property line, and we're going to need to move it
- back and forth depending on where the piping goes over the hill to the fire hydrant. And that's why I don't know where the pump house is exactly going to go until we do more work on putting in the pipeline, and then
- the pump house.
- The problem with the easement that Susan has, that she doesn't believe that I have legal right. There's problem with the easement where we can't put piping down that road until that's all taken care of. And so the
- 30 fire department, I've offered to pay for all the expenses as far as building the pump house. It's my pump. Put in the pipe, and everything! And if there's a fire, there's already a code or a law that says, "You cannot stop
- the fire department from using water in the case of an emergency." So what I'm offering is to pay for the expense of putting in the pump house, the piping, and everything. If I can't get legal easement or an easement
- for pipe so the fire department isn't out that money, but it's wearing on a zone over there, where there is no cisterns. I mean there were supposed to be cisterns put in that area and they haven't done them. And so this, I
- mean would add up most of the cisterns that Sunshine puts in are 10—15 thousand. This would be like putting in 10 cisterns.
- 38

HAL: Okay, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I would like to if I can.

ELLS: That's all right. That's fine.

42

- 44 **HAL:** So you said you don't really know exactly where the pump house will go. A question for Greg, if the Board granted the variance for this, the exact location of the pump house wouldn't matter a great deal as long
- as it followed the setback variance that this Board granted. Is that—am I understanding that correctly?





2

- GREG: Well, the applicant did provide a location map as part of the packet, which is basically opposite of
 where the garage would be located on the property, and he's showing the 10-foot side yard setbacks at that
 location right where drive goes into. So that's where we would want to be assured that's where the pump
- 6 house is going.
- 8 HAL: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 ELLS: Can I ask a question?
- 12 HAL: Sure.
- 14 ELLS: Well can I move the pump house north and south if it's parallel on that mining claim, I can move it north and south as long I follow the 10-foot setbacks. Is that correct?

16

GREG: That's not correct. This is more of a site specific plan, as I've mentioned to you a couple of time. So

- 18 if you think you need more of a blanket area for the 10-foot setback that's something you have to specifically have to propose.
- 20

ELLS: Well, the front and back setbacks are 25-feet. And I've got 1500 ft. there, so it would seem to me, and
I don't know this law at all, but it would seem to me that I could move the pump house north and south, as long as east and west it keeps the 10-foot setback.

24

GREG: Part of the issue is that with adjacent property owners this is what they are seeing. And if you move a pump house closer to their property where they weren't expecting it then that's--.

- PAT: Mr. Ells, there's a notice requirement that went with this variance, and the notice was given to your neighbors that said this was your plan. So if you vary that plan your neighbors are not on notice, and this
 hearing wouldn't have been held with that notice either. If you intend to have an adjustable plan that's not what's been proposed.
- 32

ELLS: Hmm, okay, then I was—I mean, it's just a small—it's like 10 x 12. It's a small pump house, and it depends where you put the pipe in along. See once you dig the trench for the piping, we don't know what kind of rock we're going to hit once we dig through there. And so depending on what the rock is depends on where

the pipeline goes. And then the pump house has to be parallel—in line to the piping to pump down the hill for getting the water down the mine.

38

- **PAT:** If it was a request depending on those variables it needs to have been made that way.
- 40 **ELLS:** Well, my understanding was the 10-foot setback on the side yards.
- 42
- **PAT:** That may be your understanding, but there's a notice problem with this.
- 44

ELLS: Okay. Okay.





- 2 HAL: Okay, we're still on the pump house.
- 4 **BEN:** We need to let staff respond.
- 6 **HAL:** So in other words because of notice and because of those other things where the pump house is shown, well, has already been shown to the neighbors, and that's where it needs to be.

ATTACHMENT ORG

8

GREG: Right. When the building permit was submitted by Mr. Ells I did meet him at the counter and explained to him this is the proposal that is being sent out and this is specifically what we're reviewing. And I've attempted to explain the position a couple times to Mr. Ells that these are site specific type variance and that's really no—very little leeway that we can look at.

- 14 JAMES: Mr. Chair.
- 16 HAL: Yes.

18 MOTION FOR THE PUMPHOUSE STRUCTURE:

- JAMES ORTEGA: In the matter of Docket Variance 02-15 the Ells Variance specifically the pump house, I move that the Board approve the variance as documented in the staff recommendation, dated December 4, 2002, conditionally approved, with the conditions—three conditions noted in the recommendation.
- HAL: Is there a second?
- 26 MICHAEL POE: Second.
- 28 HAL: All those in favor "aye."
- 30 BOA MEMBERS (James Ortega, Hal Osteen, and Michael Poe): Aye.
- 32 HAL: All those opposed?
- 34 BOA MEMBERS (John Dickinson and Ben Harding): Opposed. No.
- 36 MALE SPEAKER {speaking away from microphone}: You're supposed to have a discussion.
- **HAL:** More discussion? Oh, as to why we voted the way we voted?
- 40 **PAT:** I think that would be helpful, yes.
- 42 **HAL:** That would helpful. Okay.
- 44 **BEN:** What was the vote?
- 46 **HAL:** Okay. The vote was 4 to 1.

ORG93

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

GRAHAM: 3 to 2.
JAMES: 3 to 2.
HAL: Oh, 3 to 2.
BEN: Who voted "no"?
HAL: I voted in favor.
JAMES: I voted
PAT: Maybe you can poll the Board.
HAL: I would like to poll the Board as to their vote, and some little comment as to why they voted the way they voted. Let's start on your end.
MICHAEL: I voted just to follow staff's recommendation on it. It's site specific that's why I voted.
JAMES: James Ortega. I too am in agreement with the staff's analysis and recommendation. And why I voted "yes."
HAL: I voted to approve because it seems to be—to meet some community needs and following the staff's recommendation I think it's a good idea.
BEN: I voted "no" because the evidence and testimony presented today indicates that there is no plan for this. And we can't grant a variance without a plan. The fire department doesn't have any current plan to use the water. They don't even know if it's feasible. And it just became apparent that Mr. Ells doesn't even know where he's going to put the building. Now we have a little drawing here that's microscopic that's got a square drawing on it, which would be the basis for siting this thing whenever he decided to build it. And that would be it. And it might not even serve the public needs. We have no way of knowing, I mean, this could be 25-feet away from that mineshaft for all we know. It's just a sketch. It's just drawn here. So, and had we had a chance, I agree with Graham, we should've have had some discussion because I would have said that, as well as, I would have added a limitation on the variance that this be done in conjunction with the fire department, and be planned and developed with the fire department. So that's why I voted "no."
JOHN: I voted "no" because of what Ben said. Everything needs to be registered, documented. Everything the property line is very small. There is no sidesize for building the pump house. Fred Ells doesn't even know really what size what the pipe will be there's so many discrepancies.
BEN: Let me just say this is not a matter of why I voted the way I did. But just—I would support a variance that functionally did what Mr. Ells is trying to accomplish. I have no problem with granting a variance to put a pump facility for the fire department to use. The problem is that the variance application is flawed because it turns out they don't even know where they're going to put it. He wants a board based variance to put it

ATTACHMENT ORG





- anywhere. If comes back with a firm plan, and it sounds like that's ways off. It's not like we're not going-2 they're going to have this thing in place by next summer because we don't grant them a variance. They're not
- going to have it place next summer because they don't have the slightest clue what they're going to do. Come 4 back with a plan. I know, I've granted-done-I've been involved in a lot of variances and I can't recall when
- we didn't have a plan. I know we've turned some down before because they didn't have a plan. And the same 6 sort of thing became apparent at the hearing that, you know, they didn't even really know what they were going to do.
- 8
- JOHN: Right. 10
- HAL: It was my understanding that if we voted to approve a variance then the fire department could be 12 involved in the planning of the thing. And he would not be able to get a building permit unless it was appropriately sited within the limitations of the variance that the staff suggested. 14
- **BEN:** It has to—here's the problem. What page are we on here, and maybe there's a bigger version of this. 16 Oh, wait, it's earlier. Oh no, you guys didn't give us page number this time. It's in the diagram that said, right
- behind the recommendation, I'm sorry it looks like it's right after the notice. Because I think this was attached 18 to the notice this is what Pat was talking about. So we got the notice and on backside of it is the building
- permit application, and then we got this drawing. There's a little square here, and that is all we can grant a 20 variance for. And let's just imagine, it's got some wording there, I think it says "utility shed" but it sort of a
- smudge next to a smear. But I think it says, "utility shed" because it's been copied a lot of times. Let's just 22 say the actual location of the mineshaft is between liberty and shed there, or utility and shed. You know, we've
- granted a variance that's not over the mine. How have we met public needs by doing that? We haven't. What 24 he wants be able to do is to put this thing anywhere along the 1,500 feet, that's what he said, you know, within
- the 10-foot variance in mind. You know, we just can't do that. We can't---that would be changing a setback. 26
- PAT: I think there's one more member that you need to hear from. And I would also put out that you have a 28 procedural error here, because you had a motion, a second, and an immediate vote, no discussion, and now
- you're having the discussion after the vote. You have a 3 to 2 vote on your hands. Which means the 3 to 2 30 vote means at this point because your rules under Roman numeral—your supplemental rules of III (F)(6)
- requires the super-majority at least four members of the Board approve that you have effectively at this 32 juncture you defeated the variance for the pump house, unless another motion that would acceptable to the full
- Board would be made, or some amendment to the motion that has been defeated is made. So I think you have 34 to hear from one of your other members that hasn't spoken yet. And then maybe you can discuss how you
- wish to proceed on the pump house, if you want to do anything but what you have done. 36
- BEN: Just for the record. John did speak, but briefly. 38
- **PAT:** Okay. Are you finished John? 40
- JOHN: Okay, so everyone's spoken. So the Board right now has defeated the motion that was on the table, I 42 think you can entertain any other motions that might be acceptable to Board now that you've heard the 44 discussion.
- HAL: Ben? Would you--? 46







2

BEN: No. I'm not going to offer a motion. I'm going to tell that unless there's more information out there
than what we've got, I can't support a variance. It's a pretty fundamental problem that I have with it. It turns out after listening to testimony tonight that there isn't a concrete plan for this, and we don't even know if this is
the right spot. Without a plan we can't grant a variance. So I'm not going to support one. I mean unless somebody comes up with some more information that says, "Yeah, this is the spot. This is where the building
is going to go." Because this was what was noticed, this is what the neighbors saw. This is the legal basis for it. If he comes in and builds it somewhere else then he and County are going to be opened to a challenge.

10

JAMES: I would suggest that we move on to the next item on the Board.

HAL: Okay. The next item is the garage. Comments? John.

12 14

JOHN: Okay. This is discussion, correct?

16

18

HAL: Yeah.

JOHN: Okay. Just making sure. Being architect myself I have never heard of building more than 19-feet for
 one fire truck. But I feel strongly that the staff offering 19-feet wide, I think that's pretty comfortable. And for
 safety, for welfare of the neighbors, etc., and the truck being 8-feet wide, I know he wants to use his personal
 vehicle as well in the garage for whatever purpose, but the purpose of the variance was for the fire truck. And

you know, he's saying he's using his—he never said that he was using his personal vehicle until today, and that isn't in any of the documentation. So opposed to 24 or 25 feet, but I do support the staff's recommendation for 19-feet wide the garage. And that is it!

26

HAL: Okay. Ben?

28

30

BEN: I'm going-I agree with John.

HAL: I agreed with John.

32

JAMES: I agreed with the staff recommendation.

34

HAL: Okay. Let's hear a motion. And let's make sure we get the garage. And is there a specific number attached to the garage.

BEN: Answer this for me. Where does the 19-feet show up? The 19-feet, I think that just without a variance isn't it?

40

GREG: That's correct, if I may. Greg Oxenfeld with the Land Use staff. The basis for the comments is that
staff had noted that the reason the why we couldn't support, or one of the reasons why we couldn't support the
garage, is we felt that a 19-foot wide garage could be constructed on the property and meet setbacks. So
effectively what you would be doing is denying the applicant's request for a variance to the 25-foot side yard
setback.





- 2 **HAL:** Greg, am I correct in that the number for the variance for the garage would be 02-6, no what it? No, that's the number of the building permit application. Okay I see.
- 4

BEN: These are all the same variance number.

6

8

GREG: Yes, they are. So as part of the motion you're identifying each one of the requests as part variance Docket #VAR-02-15. And so our action letter will have to call out each one of the building permits on how you have acted.

10

- HAL: Okay. Do I hear a motion for this?
- 12

VARIANCE FOR THE DETACHED GARAGE:

- 14 JAMES ORTEGA: Mr. Chairman. In the matter of 02-15 the Ells Variance specifically the unattached garage, I move that the Board uphold the recommendations of staff based on staff analysis and 16 recommendation.
- 16 recommendation.
- 18 HAL: And deny the variance?
- 20 JAMES: And deny the variance.
- 22 HAL: Is there a second for the motion?
- 24 JOHN DICKINSON: I second.
- 26 HAL: All those in favor of the variance—I mean the motion.
- 28 JAMES: I move for discussion at this time.

HAL: As soon as discussion is finished.

- **BEN:** I'll just add that the testimony here tonight indicated that the garage that could be built without a variance was entirely adequate for fire fighting equipment.
- 34

HAL: My feeling is the same that it seems to be of a more two-car garage than necessary for a fire truck. And I think he can could ways to accommodate a 19-foot garage.

- 38 **MICHAEL:** I would agree.
- 40 **HAL:** Any more discussion? Are we ready for a vote? All those in favor "aye."

42 BOA MEMBERS (John Dickinson, Ben Harding, Hal Osteen, James Ortega and Michael Poe): Aye.

44 **HAL:** Those opposed. *{Paused - Silence}* The motion carries. All right part—section Number 3 is back to the setbacks and the bay window, is that correct?





- BEN: And I have a question about this for staff. My recollection from last time was that there were sort of two different issues here. One had something to do with recognizing the earlier—recognizing the building that
 was done under the earlier variance. Right? Can you remind me? There were two parts one was the bay window--.
- 6

GREG: That's correct.

8

BEN: And the other was recognizing the part that had been built under the earlier variance that may have not been in somehow compliant with that variance or something.

12 **GREG:** Correct. The Board did official take action on the existing structure minus the addition, the 4-foot addition, to recognize basically what's on the ground.

14

BEN: So we did that last time?

16

- **PAT:** Gentlemen, if can refer to your Minutes from the last hearing you will find on the 4th page at the bottom a motion for the 1986 footprint which was approved by the Board 5 to 0. And then you will go on to page 5
- the motion for a variance of a bay window, which was then withdrawn by the applicant pending this hearing.
 - BEN: Okay. I haven't found it yet. *{Paused}* Oh, I was on the wrong page.

22

PAT: And then if you turn it over you see the rest.

24

BEN: Yeah. Okay. That's all. I understand. Okay, we did that.

HAL: Do have anything from staff about the bay window, other than ---.

28

30

26

GREG: Staff has no other additional information, or further analysis. We feel that the previous analysis addressed that particular issue.

32 **GRAHAM:** Graham Billingsley, Land Use Director. I thought it might worthwhile where the Improvement Location Survey is a bit ambiguous as to location where it is as opposed to a survey. And the language that is

on that is exactly what's on all them. Because what you do is you take a survey, and then using that survey you locate the improvements on the property. That's what an Improvement Location Certificate or Survey is, it's

not a survey of the property. It's a survey of the improvements on that property. It uses as a base the last known survey. And if what you had wanted was a new survey of the property that's not an Improvement

- 38 Location Survey is. It's an everyday issue in our office because people are always bringing in their improvement location surveys in, which is not a boundary survey.
- 40

BEN: Okay. Don't go away.

42

GRAHAM: Well, I can't go too far into this technical field, as I'm not a surveyor.

44

BEN: No, it's not a technical question.





2 GRAHAM: Okay.

- 4 HAL: You have a question, John?
- 6 BEN: There is a policy on the part of the Land Use about when you require a survey--.

8 GRAHAM: Yes.

- 10 **BEN:** On a setback variance, what is that policy? I can't remember it.
- 12 **GRAHAM:** Well, whenever it is within 10% of the setback. And we only require an improvement survey, not a new survey of the property. The assumption is that the original survey that we get is correct. It's

14 stamped by an engineer, and we're not in a position to dispute that, so that's taken as correct and then from that survey the improvements are surveyed. If there's an issue with the adjacent property owners that's not a

- 16 County issue, that's between the property owners.
- **BEN:** So what've required in the past, and these are the cases I recall. Is that for them to go out and survey where they are going to put their footings.
- 20

GRAHAM: Right.

22

BEN: And make sure--.

24

GRAHAM: Right. Based from the property line of the survey-the most recent survey of that property.

26

BEN: Okay. So now then you said, "The County's covered if we do that," but "if there's a problem with the survey that's the landowners problem to deal with." Is that what you said just then?

GRAHAM: That's right. I don't know if "the County's covered" is correct, because I don't know that we have any liability to start with. Our only issue—our regulations being met, and you know we assume that a

32 survey done by a licensed surveyor is correct. We can't make any other assumptions. The County Surveyor could call it in and questioned if he has some information that surveyor didn't know. And from time to time he

does that. And he's in our office everyday and he looks at surveys that are submitted. So you know that's a different issue, but once that survey is stamped and submitted, and properly filed, then that's it. If there's a

dispute with a neighbor then it usually a neighbor whose used a different surveyor and those two surveyors have to work that out, and then often goes to court and ends up in a quit claim of some sort to get a boundary

38 line established. But that's outside of our process and requirements. So what we would typically do in a situation like this is ask for exactly the survey that Mr. Ells submitted to you, not for a survey of the property,

40 but a survey of the improvements on that property based on last survey of the property.

BEN: Okay, and in the normal cases that we deal with where people here first before they started their footings, we'd say that you have to actually go out there—a surveyor has to place the stakes for footings that's the purpose.

46 **GRAHAM:** Yeah. Actually, we don't care about the footings we care about the foundation wall.





2

BEN: Yeah. Right. The foundation. Okay.

4

GRAHAM: Some places do care about the footings, as the guy that sits down next to Greg today, but it's the foundation wall that we require to be surveyed.

- **3 JOHN:** Okay. Graham. Let me remind you at the last meeting James and I withdrew our proposal because of the property surveyor prior to this December 4th meeting. The reason we asked for the property surveyor is
- because the bay window was so close the adjacent property line. So the Improvement Location Certificate was .3-inches from the property line. I would not have approved a variance for the location for improvements. If
- 12 you don't exact property line I wouldn't be approving a variance because of the last meeting we had a problem with where the property line where. So that's why withdrew our proposal.
- 14

GRAHAM: Yeah. I understand. And I wasn't other than commenting on that as far as the Land Use
 Department's concerned we accept that survey. If it's wrong that's a property issue between them and the Federal Government, I guess is the adjacent property owner. We are not in the business—we don't have the

18 expertise and we don't—we couldn't possibly take on the responsibility of disputing surveys. So it may put you in a position, which you're uncomfortable, and you should vote based on your level of comfort.

20

22

BEN: Well, that--.

GRAHAM: And if you feel that the surveyor do a new survey of property, you know that's something that you all may have asked.

26 JOHN: A property survey that's what you're saying that's different.

28 **GRAHAM:** I understand. All I was doing was explaining what an improvement survey was.

BEN: Well, I think those comments are helpful. I think mostly about the policies of the County. Mr. Ells I think he's recognized that he has introduced a fair amount of confusion about some of this stuff. And you

know we have sort of vague notions that a section corners off by what was it 80-feet or 8-feet, I can't recall, I mean this is a big bust.

34

GRAHAM: We've had some or discovered that are 200 or 300 feet off from where they originally sati

36

BEN: And has that precipitated a lot of boundary line adjustments?

38

GRAHAM: Absolutely. Constant. It's most of Greg's work—boundary line adjustments.

40 BEN: Well, it's good job security. *{Laughter}*

42

GRAHAM: The surveying capabilities of those people who are paid by the hour by Federal Government some hundred years ago, probably aren't up to the standard we use today, but that's the bases.

46 BEN: Okay.





2

JAMES: Do we want to allow the applicant to have any rebuttal so we can move on?

HAL: Absolutely. Mr. Ells.

6

8

4

ELLS: As you stated, and as I've stated, there's a whole lot of confusion here. That's why I hired two more survey companies and they came up and they surveyed what Glen True of Alpine Surveying originally surveyed. When Drexel came up last month they didn't find the corner markers, the rebar with the caps on it

10 that Drexel—which Glen True had put in. Drexel didn't find those. Drexel just took 5,422 feet or something and went to the section corner and that's how they came up with last month's improvement location. They

12 didn't come up. Last month's when I brought you the last one that was wrong because they didn't find the corner markers that Glen True put in. The rebar are there! RCMS found them, and then he pointed out to me

14 where they were. And I called Drexel back up and they went out resurveyed it again. And that's why this map is different from last month's, and this is what they certified to be true. But if you look really closely Drexel is

16 a couple of tenths more than RMCS as far as in front of my house. But I'm convinced that it's a survey. I mean three of those—I mean three different companies are agreeing within a couple of tenths of a foot. And

18 that's why I spent the money because I know this was a critical issue and I had to prove to you folks that my bay and the overhang was on my property because I can't be building on somebody else's property. And I

20 thought I was following the County guidelines and the rules already established as far as what I was supposed to do. And I did it the best I could!

22 **HAL:** Okay. Thank you. I feel pretty comfortable with the surveys that show that his building is on his property. For me the question comes down to whether or not I want to approve putting a bay window that

close to property line, and changing what was granted in 1986.

26 JAMES: We've already dealt with the 1986 issue.

HAL: Right, but what I mean is the 1986 issue that we agreed upon says that the house has a right to be where it is. That was that. Now for me the question is the bay window—the new bay window whether or not we give

- a variance for that bay window to stick out. Greg? Am I understanding that correctly that's what's going on now? Is it this question about the bay window because all agreed that house sits where it sits and that's, okay.
- 32

GREG: Right. That's been approved by the Board, so basically you're looking at that 4-foot bay window whether or not you find that it meets the criteria for a variance.

36 HAL: Right.

PAT: And that would not alter the footprint so it would not alter your previous vote on the 1986 approval.

40 HAL: Right, because it is a bay window.

42 PAT: Correct.

44 **HAL:** Comments?

46 JAMES: Well, discussion, I guess I sit where I sit with the same—not enough information is present to show

ORG101



- 2 whether it encroaches on somebody else's property or not. And so I would be inclined to deny the variance.
- 4 HAL: Okay.
- JOHN: Again I'm going back to the Minutes of last month I required a property survey that shows sealed certificate and a legal description of where his actual property is. Because the bay window is so close to the property line! You know could be off by any amount. So I wanted the right amount of the property line
- because you don't want the property owner to be in more trouble! So I would tend to deny the variance untilyou get a proper certificate stamped and sealed of the property boundary.
- 12 **BEN:** *{inaudible speaking away from microphone}* Good enough—that seems amazing.
- 14 **PAT:** Ben you need to speak into the microphone.
- 16 **BEN:** Oh, I see, I've got nothing to add at this point.
- 18 MICHAEL: I don't know yet.
- 20 HAL: Okay.
- 22 THOMPSON: May I?
- 24 HAL: Of course.
- **THOMPSON:** At this point just like the three conditions that staff has made you could make that another condition—a fourth condition of granting the variance. The concern I have is I'm not sure I understand what
- more you would have with a survey, than you have with a Location Certificate. But if that's what you want I assume that we can get that. But the proper way I would think would be to make that a fourth condition. Just
- 30 like the survey—I mean just like the right-of-way. Just make it a fourth condition of granting a variance.
- 32 HAL: Okay. Thank you.
- **JOHN:** But that's what we proposed at the last meeting, so clearly it's in the Minutes!
- **HAL:** I think I have a problem with giving a variance to the bay window simply because it comes so close to the property line. I think if the bay window did not exist and someone came in and wanted to put one there, I
- would have a difficult time supporting a variance to put a bay window in there. I have a question, if Mr. Ells were to request that this particular part of this variance be tabled until the next meeting, can we do that again to
- 40 give him time to decide if he wants do a survey?
- 42 **PAT:** You certainly could accept that proposal by Mr. Ells, but I would—you could do that upon his request but you would probably need everyone to agree on that.
- 44

HAL: Right.




- PAT: And you had the proposal out last month and people may not be all in agreement with that. And I would also note so far you're dealing with something you need to be looking hardship criteria also, which
 would be very important to the record on this particular portion of the docket. And if you're going to go ahead and consider that this evening I would request that you look at those.
- 6

8

HAL: Okay.

BAY WINDOW ADDITION:

- Ί0
- JAMES ORTEGA (BOA Member): Well, Mr. Chair, in order to move this thing forward, in the matter of Docket Variance 02-15 Ells Variance specifically the bay window addition I move that the Board deny the request based on, again, based on staff's analysis and recommendation.

14

JOHN DICKINSON (BOA Member): I second it.

16

HAL: It has been moved and seconded to deny the variance. Is there any more discussion before the vote is taken?

- 20 BEN: I want to address the issue of hardship for Pat's sake.
- 22 PAT: Thank you.
- 24 **BEN:** And this is the way that the Board has dealt with this in the past at least in my experience when somebody has already built something. One can make the argument that taking down this bay window is
- 26 hardship, and it's going to be difficult for Mr. Ells, but that hardship is a self-imposed hardship. The trade-off that we've always adopted, or at least in my experience since what I've done is personally try to forget that the
- applicant has already built something without a building permit. But at the same time forget that it's going to be a hardship for that thing to be taken down. It's hard to try to keep forgetting those things, but that's what
- 30 I've tried to do. But in terms of the hardship, it's an affirmative showing of hardship for not having a bay window that has to be done here. Not any hardship related taking out. And I don't know that there was ever
- 32 any evidence presented as to that, or any significant evidence. Nothing that really was compelling. Let me say compelling evidence about that particular kind of hardship. I hope that addresses what Pat was interested in for
- 34 the record.
- **HAL:** As I said my point-of-view was that if we had this come in and the bay window not existed, I could not have support a variance for the setback requirement for that. That's how I look at it.
- 38
- JAMES: No comments.
- 40

HAL: Any more discussion? Okay we're ready for a vote.

- 42
 - ELLS: {speaking away from microphone} Can I have a couple--.
- 44
- HAL: I'm sorry?



- 2 ELLS: Can I still have one comment left, or that's it?
- 4 HAL: I think in fairness to you, if it's agreeable to everyone here, we're still in the discussion section.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 6 PAT: I think procedurally—I think you closed--.
- 8 **HAL:** We have to reopen the public hearing.
- 10 **GRAHAM:** *(speaking away from microphone)* Unless you're asking the question.
- 12 HAL: Unless we're asking the question.
- 14 **GRAHAM:** You have to reopen the public hearing.
- 16 **JAMES:** I call the question to go ahead and vote.
- 18 HAL: Okay, he's calling the question for the vote.
- 20 **BEN:** Oh, are we voting on the question?
- 22 HAL: No that means that he's calling the question that we have to vote now, right?
- 24 **PAT:** He's requesting a vote.
- 26 HAL: He's requesting a vote, okay. All those in favor---.
- 28 **BEN:** Now the motion is to deny?
- 30 HAL: The motion is to deny. All those in favor of the motion "aye."

BOA MEMBERS (Ben Harding, John Dickinson, Hal Osteen, James Ortega and Michael Poe): Aye.

34 HAL: All those opposed? {Paused - Silence} The motion carries the variance is not granted.

ADJOURNED

ATTACHMENT ORG





The official record of this meeting is on tapes, available for public use at the Land Use Dept., 13th and Spruce, Boulder, CO (303) 441-3930.

З



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

PUBLIC HEARING BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

TACHMENT ORG

DATE:December 4, 2002TIME:4:00 P.M. (Afternoon Session)PLACE:Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse, Boulder

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the Board of Adjustment at the time and place specified above. All persons interested in the following item(s) are requested to attend such hearing and aid the Commission members in their consideration.

AFTERNOON SESSION -- 4:00 P.M.

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request for Approval of a Variance request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage, including a pump house structure; by Fred Ells; in accordance with the Boulder County Land Use Code. The proposed project would be located in the area zoned Forestry (F), at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W.

Detailed information regarding this item, including maps and legal descriptions, is available for public examination at the Boulder County Land Use Department, 13th and Spruce, Boulder, Colorado (303-441-3930).

Free Parking in the City of Boulder CAGID lots is available for Board of Adjustment hearing participants. See the staff at the hearing for city parking vouchers.

Persons needing special services provided under the Americans with Disabilities Act, please contact Peggy Jackson, ADA Coordinator, or the Boulder County Human Resources Office at (303-441-3508) at least 48 hours before the scheduled hearing.

Published: November 21, 2002 – Times-Call

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\MIN&AGN\BOA0212PNO.DOC

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG106

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Boulder County

Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA

ATTACHMENT ORG

December 4, 2002 4:00 P.M. (Afternoon Session)

Hearing Room, Third Floor, Boulder County Courthouse

AFTERNOON SESSION - 4:00 PM

I. CALL TO ORDER

- II. APPROVAL OF MEETING SUMMARY Meeting Summary for November 6, 2002.
- III. PUBLIC HEARING

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed
	addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for
-	water storage, including a pump house structure.
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicant:	Fred Ells
(Staff	Planner: Greg Oxenfeld)

IV. OTHER BUSINESS/ADJOURNMENT

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\MIN&AGN\BOA0212AGN.DOC

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG107

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Paul Danish County Commissioner



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Cotorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA ITEM

TACHMENT ORG

December 4, 2002 – 4:00 PM Hearing Room, Third Floor Boulder County Courthouse

PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Greg Oxenfeld

STAFF RECOMMENDATION RE:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for	
	water storage including a pump house structure.	
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W.	
Zoning:	Forestry (F)	
Applicant:	Fred Ells	

BACKGROUND:

The Board of Adjustment held a public hearing regarding this request on November 6, 2002. The Board did approve the footprint of the existing structure (except for the addition constructed without an approved building permit) as approved in the 1986 building permit. The applicant requested and the Board agreed to table the other variance requests for the proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage. The Board agreed to table the application to allow the applicant to provide additional information.

Subsequent to the hearing on November 6, 2002, the applicant submitted a building permit for a pump house structure (BP-02-1885) at the existing mine for use by the Sunshine Fire Protection District for access to the water in the mine. The variance requested is to approve 10-foot side yard setbacks (on both sides) where 25 feet is required. The pump house structure is proposed at the location of the mine shaft and will be approximately 195 square feet. The applicant also committed to provide additional information concerning the actual property line and exact location of the addition to the existing structure and proposed detached garage. The Board requested that the applicant submit a letter with survey confirming the actual boundary of the property. This new information will be provided at the December 4, 2002 hearing for the purposes of allowing the Board to make a final decision.

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG108

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner



VAR-02-15: Ells BOA – December 4, 2002 Page 2 of 3

REFERRALS:

A new referral was sent to adjacent property owners within 1,500 feet of the subject property, as well as to the County Transportation Department, Parks & Open Space Department, and Health Department for the pump house structure. One response (Kellogg e-mail) has been received as of the date of the writing of this recommendation (11/22/02), and he notes his support for the proposals. Any other responses that are received after the writing of this report will be provided to the Board during the public hearing.

CRITERIA ANALYSIS:

The Land Use Department staff has reviewed the proposal for the new pump house structure. To grant a variance for the pump house structure, the Board must find that the following criteria have been satisfied:

(a) There exist exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope:

The subject property is approximately 50 feet wide at the location of the mine shaft. Based on the fact that the parcel is exceptionally narrow and with the 25-foot side yard setback requirement, staff finds that a variance is necessary. The location of the pump house structure has been selected to allow the fire district access to the water.

(b) Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of the Code creates an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner;

There is no guarantee in the Land Use Code that the proposed pump house structure would be approved. However, location for a pump house structure is limited due to the location of the mine shaft.

(c) The hardship is not self-imposed;

n,

The applicant is aware of the setback requirements, but has done nothing to self-impose the existing physical conditions of the property.

(d) The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the uses of adjacent property as permitted under this code;

A response from Steven Harris (Osgood & Harris) on behalf of Susan Goldstein previously responded with a primary concern that Mr. Ells has been accessing his real property over the Grand View Lode without any permanent legal right to use the road. The variance, if granted, would not directly affect this issue, but since legal access is required before the issuance of any building permit, this matter should be resolved before the County issues another building permit.

(e) The variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of the Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan;



VAR-02-15: Ells BOA – December 4, 2002 Page 3 of 3

> This lot is within the Forestry Zoning District, and staff finds the proposed structure will only be approximately 195 square feet and will not change the character of the zoning district. The Land Use Code does provide for pump house structures, subject to review and approval in accordance with the provisions of the Land Use Code. Staff does not find that the proposed pump house structure could be altered in a manner that is in keeping with the provisions of the Land Use Code, Comprehensive Plan, and the character of the zoning district. However, staff notes that there is no guarantee in the Land Use Code that the proposed pump house structure would be otherwise approved.

(f) The variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

The proposed pump house structure will allow for access to water in an existing mine and will allow for improved fire protection for property owners within the Sunshine Fire Protection District which will provide for the protection and promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the present and future inhabitants of Boulder County.

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>:

Staff finds that the criteria can be met to grant the variance request for a pump house structure, as noted above. Therefore, the Land Use Department staff recommends that the Board of Adjustment <u>APPROVE</u> Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance, a Variance for a pump house structure for 10 feet from both side yards where 25 feet is required. Staff continues to maintain its original recommendation for denial of the other requests (addition and detached garage) for the reasons as previously stated in the staff recommendation dated November 6, 2002. Staff recommends that the application be subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The applicant shall provide evidence of legal access prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 2) A setback survey will be required to verify that the location of the structure is as approved by the Board of Adjustment on November 6, 2002 in Docket VAR-02-15. The setback survey verification form must be completed by a Colorado licensed Surveyor and provided to the Building Division prior to the request for foundation inspection with the County Building Division.
- 3) The proposed structures are subject to the provisions of the Land Use Code, which may include a Limited Impact Special Use (grading in excess of 500 cubic yards) or other process as required by the Land Use Code (as amended).



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

TACHMENT ORG

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

MEMO TO:Whom it may concernFROM:Greg Oxenfeld, Staff PlannerDATE:November 14, 2002RE:Docket VAR-02-15

The applicant has submitted additional information regarding a utility shed (10-foot side yard setback where 25 feet is required) for use at an existing mine by the fire district for water storage with regards to the following zoning variance request that has been submitted to the office of the Secretary to the Board of Adjustment for consideration at the next regular meeting:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed
addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage.
At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W
Forestry (F)
Fred Ells

We would appreciate any comments you may have concerning this request for a variance from the Boulder County Land Use Code. Please respond to this request via either a letter (mail to the Zoning Division in care of the above address), fax (303-441-4856), telephone (303-441-3930), or E-mail (gnolu@co.boulder.co.us) by <u>November 26, 2002</u> so that the Board of Adjustment may give full consideration to your recommendation. A lack of response will be assumed to indicate that you have "NO CONFLICT" with the request. If you have questions concerning this referral, please contact our office.

Should you wish to attend the public hearing to voice your comments or present additional information on the proposed variance, the hearing is tentatively scheduled for:

<u>Wednesday, December 4, 2002 at 4:00 PM</u> in the County Commissioners Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse, Boulder

If you plan to attend the hearing, please confirm the date and time by calling 303-441-3930 a few days before the scheduled hearing.

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\DOCKETS\VAR0215\15REF.DOC

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner



FAX # 720-565-1488

November 26, 2002

Mr. Fred Ells,

This letter is to confirm that the Improvement Location Certificate that I have prepared for the house located on the Dead Medicine Lode is based upon the Glenn True survey of said property and the survey of the adjoining White Crow Lode that I prepared. The results of my work confirm that your house and addition along with the overhang on the addition are within the property boundary of the Dead Medicine Lode.

David Waldner SSIONA-

Number of pages (invluding sever)

Elle Vor 02-15







From:Slarks & Associates <slarks@boulder.net>To:<gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>Date:11/25/02 5:51PMSubject:Fred Ells Variance

Re: Fred Ells Variance

To Whom it may concern,

We would like to express our concern for fire safety in the Sunshine Canyon area, and encourage the variance board to grant Mr. Ells any variance which would result in the addition of fire fighting conveniences, including access to Mr. Ells water supply and storage of fire fighting equipment.

We have contributed nearly \$7,000 to the local cistern fund, but the placement of cisterns has not been advantageous to us. The use of the exiting water supply located on Mr. Ells' property directly above us, would benefit us and our neighbors, as well as the entire surrounding Sunshine Canyon area.

We also feel that the modifications Mr. Ells has made to his home and property are a great improvement, and would also encourage the board to approve any variance which would allow Mr. Ells to complete his renovation work.

Barb & Al Slarks 6299 Sunshine Canyon Drive

CC: <sunrise@boulder.net>

	. ka aya	ATTACHMENT ORG
	,	
2		Board of Adjustment
4		Transcript for Public Hearing Regarding <u>Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance</u> November 6, 2002
6		{DAT Tape 1}
8	-	vember 6, 2002, the Boulder County Board of A djustment held a regular meeting, n. and adjourning at 7:02 p.m., in the Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse,
10	Boulder.	
12	Members Present:	Hal Osteen (Presiding Chair), John Dickinson, Ben Harding, James Ortega, and Michael Poe.
14	Staff Descents	Carbon Billingday, David Callabon, Cras Overfald, Todd Tysker, Bet Marne
16	Staff Present:	Graham Billingsley, David Callahan, Greg Oxenfeld, Todd Tucker, Pat Mayne (Assistant County Attorney), Claire Levy (Attorney), Barbara Andrews (Assistant County Attorney), and Martha Perez.
18	Interacted Othersu	10 15
20	Interested Others:	10 - 15
	Legal Interpreters:	Terry and Darlene (Professional Sign Language, Inc.) provided interpreting services

24

22

HAL OSTEEN (CHAIR - BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT): Okay, now we are on to the Ells, right? Next item on the agenda is Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance. And again we would like to hear from staff first.

for John Dickinson (BOA Member)

GREG OXENFELD, Staff Planner: Thank you. Greg Oxenfeld with the Land Use staff recommendation for Docket VAR-02-15. This is a request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage. This property

is located at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, just east of Gold Hill. The zoning is Forestry (F). And the

32 applicant is Fred Ells.

- 34 The applicant has submitted two building permits including a permit for an addition to the existing residence and a detached garage. Staff would note that the existing dwelling was constructed in 1986, basically been
- ongoing. A variance for an 8-foot side yard setback, where 25 feet is required was approved by the Board of Adjustment in June of 1986 prior to the issuance of that building permit. A recent Improvement Location
- 38 Certificate shows that the house was actually constructed just over 4 feet from the east property line at the northern end of the house. And the applicant has indicated that he desires to reconstruct a portion of the east
- side of the house, but did begin the project without a building permit. As part of the reconstruction project the applicant decided to include a bay window that encroaches further into the setback and the application is
- 42 basically requesting a zero-foot setback along the east side of the house. The Location Certificate also shows the existing dwelling is about eight feet from the west property line, however, the applicant constructed a deck
- 44 which is shown on the 1986 building permit on the west side of the house that is 6-foot wide and 5-feet high, therefore, the deck is in violation of approved 8-foot side yard setback approved by the Board of Adjustment in
- 46 1986. And the applicant is requesting that the Board recognize and approve a side-yard setback from the west boundary of 2.3-feet.
- 48

The applicant is also requesting to construct a detached garage that is part of the subject property, and this area

50 of the property is approximately 69-feet wide. The garage is proposed be 24 feet X 30 feet, and so therefore, the applicant is requesting 15-foot side yard setback from the both sides, where 25 feet is required. Staff would



2 note that the location for the garage does have some topographic issues, and the applicant will need to provide some fairly amount—substantial amount of fill material in order to have this as a leveled site. The application

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 4 materials for the variance includes a request for a zero-foot setback, and another variance necessary for the use the existing mine on the property that holds up to 1,000 gallons of water for use by the local fire district. The
- 6 applicant, however, has not provided any specific plans for staff or the Board of Adjustment to review as part of that request.
- 8

With regards to the referrals the County Health Department notes that they have issued a septic permit for the

- 10 property in 1994. We did receive a response from Steven Harrison on behalf of an adjoining landowner Susan Goldstein noting primary concern that Mr. Ells has been accessing his property over the Grandview Lode
- 12 without any permanent legal right to use the road. The letter also notes that Mr. Ells, or his agents, cut a significant number of trees on the Grandview Lode without her permission and has caused her to reconsider the
- 14 potential location of a residence to a location which will end up terminating the permission for Mr. Ells to cross the Grandview Lode because the new location will be on or near the existing road. Also noting the relaxation
- 16 of setbacks for the garage will affect her use of the property and possible new structures in the future. The letter does note that she has no problem with the addition to the main house however. Another neighbor, Joe
- 18 Schumacher, and a member of the fire protection district noted, that the addition looks nice, and that water supply at that mining claim with a turnaround would be desirable. The applicant also provided two other letters
- 20 from adjacent property owners noting support for the proposal.
- 22 With regards to criteria staff finds that with addition the applicant notes that there's a mineshaft and tailings pile in front of the house that is unsuitable for building. With regards to the garage structure, they note that the
- claim is only 69-feet wide, and that area has been selected so that the existing driveway can serve as an emergency turnaround area for the fire district. The applicant notes that the 1986 variance that was approved
- the criteria had stated that without the variance the lot would be unbuildable and that the applicant's investment would be lost. Currently, staff does not have this same opinion as there is no guarantee in the Land
- 28 Use Code that the proposed addition or garage would be approved.
- 30 Staff finds that the proposed addition and detached garage could be altered in a manner that is in keeping with the provisions of the previous variance approval, Land Use Code, and Comprehensive Plan while maintaining
- 32 the minimum established requirements for the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare for the citizens of the County.
- 34

The staff finds that all of the criteria cannot be met to grant the variance request as noted in the Staff Memorandum, and therefore, recommends that the Board of Adjustment <u>DENY</u> the application. However, staff would note that the existing structure (except for the addition constructed without an approved building

- 38 permit) did receive a building permit in 1986, and was constructed with a 4.2-foot setback from the east side and an 8.3-foot setback from the west side, additionally, the 1986 permit does show the deck on the west side.
- 40 And staff is noting that we can recommend that the Board of Adjustment recognize and approve these setback
- variances for the structure constructed in accordance with the 1986 building permit. Staff has also suggested
 other conditions, should the Board of Adjustment be inclined to approve other portions of the applicant's request.
- 44

Start of Slideshow:

46 This is a topographic map showing mining claims of the area. This is the site plan that was supplied by the



- applicant showing the proposed garage and the existing dwelling with the bay window, and showing the approximate location of the mineshaft. This is the Improvement Location Certificate, which does show the house with a 4.2-foot side yard setback. This is the entrance road for drive to the subject property off Sunshine
- Canyon. This looking south at the existing house, and this is looking southwest where you can see the proposed addition under construction. This is looking back then northerly where you can see bay window how that does encroached further into the setback area. This is looking south then at the existing drive back toward
- 8 the garage site and mining shaft. This looking--.
- **JOHN DICKINSON (Board of Adjustment Member):** If you can go back a little bit. Is that the access road?
- 12

14

JOHN: Going to where?

- 16
- GREG: Down towards where the mineshaft is, and where the garage would be located.

JOHN: Okay.

20

18

GREG: And this would be site where the proposed garage would be, just off of that drive that you where looking at. This is looking then, more northeast near the garage site. This is a photo of the mineshaft, you see that it's been closed off. I believe the applicant indicated that the State actually did that for health, safety

- reasons, but it does contain a substantial amount of water. So that concludes the staff recommendation. I would glad to answer any questions.
- 26

BEN HARDING (BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER): Whew! I have some questions.

28

HAL: Go for it!

30

BEN: I'm trying to make heads or tails out of what's going on here. So there's a picture in here, which says,
and let me find the page for you, but you may know exactly where it is. It says—it shows it per the 19—okay here we go, page 13, per 1986 building permit. You got it on page 13?

34

GREG: Yes.

BEN: Okay. So that structure that we see there, that room that sticks out with the greenhouse on top that was built based on the plans that were submitted in 1986?

40 **GREG:** Correct.

42 **BEN:** But it was built in the wrong place?

44 **GREG:** No. That was built where the applicant had thought meeting the 8-foot side yard setback. But the Improvement Location Certificate shows that it is actually closer.



GREG: This is the drive that proceeds further down passed the house to the south.



2	BEN: So why did that happen? Was there a poor survey that was offered in the variance request?
4	MALE SPEAKER {speaking away from microphone}: I think I can
6	GREG: In the '86 permit. And the County at that time did not require actual survey, like we do today, to confirm that the structures meet the setbacks that were proposed and approved.
8	BEN: You know if we can, let me deal with staff. And then you guys will have your chance.
10	HAL: Okay, we'll be there in a minute.
12 14	BEN: So the bottom line is that this part that sticks out here is, what did we say is 4.2-feet, from side yard, it was supposed to be 8.3?
16	GREG: 8-feet.
18	BEN: 8-feet, okay. And then the addition that you are talking about is to make that into a bay window?
20	GREG: Well, they've reconstructed that eastern portion.
22	BEN: The second floor part of it? When you say "reconstruct," you mean the whole thing was torn down and rebuilt?
24 26	GREG: That eastern portion basically was.
28	BEN: Okay. And in doing that, though, it went further out.
30	GREG: The applicant decided to add a bay window, which then encroached further out, the 4-feet further, so that's why they're requesting the zero-foot.
32	BEN: Okay.
34	GREG: It's actually two-tenths, according to what they believe, and in accordance with the Improvement Location Certificate, but to be safe they're requesting the zero-foot.
36 38	BEN: Okay. And on the other side the issue with the deck is that was shown in the variance request. It was part of the variance request in 1986.
40	GREG: It was not made clear in the variance request, however, it was in the building permit that was approved by the County.
42	BEN: Okay, I think I've got it.
44 46	HAL: Okay. Just so that I make sure what the County is saying, so in 1986, they did have a building permit to build this addition on the side, and to put the deck on the other side of the house.





2 **GREG:** It was for the dwelling unit.

4 **BEN:** It was for the whole house.

6 **HAL:** It was for the whole house, okay. So that did show on the permit, and consequently it was legal for them to build that at that time. Is that true, in terms of the building permit?

ATTACHMENT ORG

В

GREG: Yes. They built the structure in accordance with building permit issued by the County.

10

HAL: Okay.

12

14

BEN: I'm ready.

HAL: Any more questions? Okay, we're ready to hear from the applicant. Please state you name and address please.

18 **PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.**

BEN THOMPSON (Attorney for Mr. Ells): My name is Ben Thompson. I'm an attorney in Boulder. My address is 1629 Canyon Blvd. And Mr. Ells is present here too, today, and he has asked me to make short
 presentation to you. As a former city council member, mayor, and a member of a planning board like yours, I can understand the complexity here. So I think it probably would help if I deal with three separate issues. And

24 deal with each of them kind of separately.

- The first issue, Mr. Harding, asked about, "What happen here?" Well, let me explain what happen here. In 1986, the survey said there was 50-feet, and in 2002 the survey said there was 47.2-feet. If you'll notice from
- 28 it, the back end of this property, the opposite end of this bay window is 8-feet, except for the deck. And in the front, what happen is that the new survey showed loss of two and a half feet, a little more than two and a half
- 30 feet. And that's, I think, our explanation as to why the house is too far on one side. Part of what we're asking here for, in the first issue, is approval of the footprint of the house and the deck as was established by the
- building permit because of that surveying error, that clearly is a hardship. We clearly had nothing to do with that, and so the house was constructed, was built, and it's in the wrong place. What we're asking here for
- today in that issue is an extension for the bay window. You may recall in the pictures, this house was basically a box. Sitting on the box and down hill is a 25-foot BLM piece of parcel, and also the driveway access. And

³⁶ I'll talk about the driveway access in just a second. If four and a half feet for the bay window extension is granted, there still is the 25-foot BLM land in front us. There is a steep drop off here, mine tailings, and I think

the next property downhill is about 400 feet away. The house on that property cannot be seen from this house, and that house can't see this house. This house can't be seen from the road, Sunshine Drive, and so all we're

really asking in the first part of it is that extension for the bay window. And when you see, we're talking about a lot, first, that is forty-seven and a half feet wide. In 1986, the Planning Board found that it met all of the

42 criteria, and allowed a variance for the setback rule. And that's basically what we're here for, is a variance here. And let me explain why.

44

Remember the picture of a greenhouse up on top. What happened is that the greenhouse caused some condensation problems that weren't planned on. It was part of the original process. It caused mold, and it





- 2 caused carpenter ants, and the drywall, and if I may I have a picture of what happened is that the drywall fell.
- 4. HAL: Put it on that end please.
- 6 **THOMPSON:** The drywall fell and discovered the carpenter ants.
- 8 **HAL:** At this point let's stop and make sure that everyone on the Board, and on staff, is okay with this picture being introduced as an exhibit.

10

PAT MAYNE (Assistant County Attorney): Right. Could you show it to the staff first, and then --.

12

2 **HAL:** Okay and let the record show that it was shown to the staff first, and then the Board it for review now.

- 14 Go ahead.
- 16 **THOMPSON:** And what happened is that when the drywall fell that's when Mr. Ells discovered a large number of carpenter ants, a large amount of mold, and so he decided to repair this. The bay window part, if
- 18 you noticed in the picture where the stairway is, there's a great view here by the way. The view route that is covered there, and you can't see, what he decided to do was simply to built a bay window there. It didn't make
- the room that much bigger, but it did make the access from the stairway much better. Instead of one person having to go up to the top of the stairs and stop, and turn at a 90° angle to go in, he planned to move the
- stairway so that he would have better access and a better view.
- 24 PAT: Mr. Thompson, with your permission, may I mark this as Exhibit #1 for the Board.
- 26 **THOMPSON:** Certainly. Thank you. Now the second picture, and you mark it in the front or the back?
- 28 **PAT:** I mark it on the front.
- **THOMPSON:** Okay. With your permission this is the construction that was in process when Greg came out. And by the way he was invited in and asked to look at it on another issue. But what this shows is the walls
- have been removed and you can clearly see that the bay window sticks out, there is no increase in foundation. There's no---and you can clearly also see the stairway that he proposed moving over to the side.
- 34
- **PAT:** So then we're going to be marking this picture, Exhibit #2?
- 36
- **FALL** So then we le going to be marking this picture, Exhibit #2?
- **THOMPSON:** Yes, please. Now looking at Exhibit #2, that is the outer extreme, that is all he's asking for, is
 the outer extreme of the bay window. And what that would do is that it would put it close to the lot line, but remember there is a BLM 25-feet there, there's the driveway, and again this is not visible to any other neighbor
- 40 in any other direction. Now let me handle some objections. I think as far as I know there's only one objection for one neighbor, and she is here present here today, and I guess she can address it. Apparently, her objection,
- she's not objecting to this bay window. She's saying there's a right-of-way problem. Let me discuss for a second the right-of-way problem. We don't have any problem with making proof of the right-of-way a
- 44 condition of anything we're asking here for because this right-of-way has existed since the 1870s. There are reports from the Colorado Mining, an inspection that dates back to the 1870s. I have one here from 1938 that
- describes the road. The road is in good condition. In 1986 when he built this property there was no issue



about right-of-way. When the complaining person bought their property, the right-of-way was there. The road was being used. It hasn't been widened. It hasn't been changed. It's still there. When he financed the
property, the bank didn't have any problems with the right-of-way. The Title Company didn't have any problems with the right-of-way. There are aerial photographs by the County showing this road in use, well,

ATTACHMENT ORG

6 over 100 years. So we're not worried about the right-of-way issue.

8 He did cut some trees, and he has received a National Award from the Department of Interior for his mining reclamation and for his fire department actions. And he did remove some trees, and he'll have to deal with his

- 10 neighbor on those trees. They are not an issue here. The neighbor will not be able to build a house on this road, and deny access. It is the only access to this property. And I don't know that she's been advised that she
- 12 can build the road, or stop the use of it, but clearly she cannot. So the first issue, dealing with the first series, is, Number One, approval of the foot plan that now exists. This is what's being handed to you now is the
- 14 official records from the State of Colorado Mining Inspection, which shows the road in question in 1938 was in good condition, and was being used.
- 16
- HAL: Excuse me. Did staff see this?
- THOMPSON: Yes.
- 20

18

- HAL: Okay.
- 22

THOMPSON: And I guess we're going to mark this as a certified copy of it. I think there are two there.

24 They're the same thing—there's another one. And we understand these records go back to the 1870s, we just don't have all of them yet. Now so, the right-of-way is not an issue. The trees are not an issue. And the neighbor has indicated that if we paid her \$10,000 for her trees, she would withdraw her objection. So that's not an issue. So the two parts, Number One is we're asking you to approve the foot plan as staff has

recommended for what is there, the footprint, the foundation, the decks, all of that we need your approval.

30 Second part is we need a variance for the bay window, as you see there, that's all we're asking for. It really does not increase the square feet of this house very much. It makes it much more attractive. And we think it

meets all the criteria. The second part of what we're talking about here is an area where the property is wider. Mr. Ells wants to build a garage for the fire department. They have an old fire truck that they want to park up

there. And then, there's space for a turnaround, and the fire department has used this road, and these roads for right-of-way as well. But what's happen is staff has said, "You could do a 19-foot garage and they wouldn't

have any objection to that." But if you did a 19-foot wide garage you can't get the big fire truck in there. We need 24-foot wide garage. And that's what we've asked for. It would—the setbacks would be similar to

setbacks that have been approved previously, but we need that. Now, I know that the objecting person who's several hundred feet away has indicated that the garage is a concern to her. We aren't asking for the setback on

40 her side. We're asking for the setback on the other side. And remember again, she can't see this garage. She won't be able to see this garage. It's one-story. I do have fire department representatives who are here. They

42 would to say to you, and I can just tell you that Hank Ballard is here. He's an officer in the Sugarloaf Fire District, would say to that they want this garage so that they can park this truck there, and then in an event of a

44 wildfire it's there, and can be access to a wildfire much faster. So our only disagreement with staff here, I think on this issue is whether the garage should be 19-feet, or 24-feet. And we're requesting 24-feet. If you

don't give us the 24-feet, then we're sure that the garage is—that we can even do this. Again, as I understand





2 it, this is solely for the use of the fire department.

- 4 The third issue a little bit further on the property there is a mine and it's—if you saw the close door, if you open that close door you can see hundred thousand gallons of water just sitting there. It's not drinking water. It
- 6 wouldn't be good for irrigation purposes, but for wildfires it would be essential. And so, again, the fire department representatives are here, and what we have requested is basically the right to use 4-inch line
- 8 wherever they want it, and a 10 x 15 pump shed. Now Greg has recommended to us that we don't have enough specifics here tonight, and he's recommended until we have those specifics we're probably not—
- 10 probably shouldn't be asking you to do anything because it's too open-ended. So all we would like you to do on that part is to just continue it. We will present plans for the 10 x 15 one-story pump shed, and the 4-inch
- 12 line so that you'll be able to tell just exactly what we're asking for.
- 14 **PAT:** Mr. Thompson. I think that you know that this is a Board of limited jurisdiction.
- 16 **THOMPSON:** I know.
- 18 **PAT:** And they cannot grant variances for uses. They can only grant variances on setback distances, and anything else provided under Article 4.
- 20

THOMPSON: Well, and so, my request is that we just not act on that tonight. That we will present more plans for specific things. We're not asking for use. We just want to put a pipe there, and we want to put a 10 x 15 shed there—pump shed, and a couple of 500 gallon tanks. So that it a variance because the setback, the

- 24 way it's set, all we can do with this piece of property is run a fence line just down the middle.
- 26 **PAT:** So you're withdrawing your third variance request this evening? Or I mean, just tabling it?
- 28 THOMPSON: I'm not sure procedurally the best way to do this. Let me explain why. They need this as quick as they can for next spring. If we withdraw it I'm afraid the timeframe would be difficult to get this thing in operation.
- 32 **PAT:** We could—you see it all part in parceled of the current application pending.
- 34 **THOMPSON:** Can we table a part of it?
- 36 **PAT:** I think on your applicant's request we could?
- **THOMPSON:** That is our request that we table that part of it. And we will prepare plans and present that part of it, and we don't want it to hold up the other two.
- 40

PAT: Then that won't be addressed this evening by the Board.

42

THOMPSON: And so that question I have, if you'd like to have the fire department's representative talk about the need for this garage, and I'll be happy to present them. But I can tell you that just basically what they would say, and they're here.





- 2 **HAL:** Jim has suggested that we hear fire department at the same time that we hear third item on the agenda that's be put off, or do we do it all at once?
- 4

BEN: Do the garage and the pump station separately.

6

HAL: I think we should make sure we understand what you are saying. You want the pump shed and the line—let's make it clear here what three things looking at so that we really understand. The first one is the approval of the footprint and the bay window and that sort of thing. For the number two would the setbacks
for the garage. The garage is inextricably connected to #3 the pump shed and the line.

12 **THOMPSON:** Actually, no it's not.

- 14 HAL: Oh, it's not.
- 16 FRED ELLS (Applicant): May I speak. Yes, it is.
- 18 HAL: Would you state your name and address, please?
- 20 ELLS: Fred Ells. 6301 Sunshine Canyon. Yes, you're right. The fire truck is mine, and then I have several pumps. And I've already pumped out of that mine, and have it set up for me and neighbors a couple close ones,
- so that we can get water quickly. I mean there is 50 to 70 tanker loads in that mine. And next Spring I don't want to go, whew---just a moment.
- 24

26

HAL: Sure.

ELLS: Through the whole scary situation of being threatened by a forest fire again. So—gosh, I can't help it, I'm sorry.

- **HAL:** That's okay. I mean we're here to—you're here because you want to do something, and we're here to listen to that, and see if we can be of assistance.
- 32

ELLS: Instead of tabling it, is there a way where you can put some specifics? And I don't know how this is supposed to work out. But the mining claim is only 47.2-feet wide and if you take 25-foot setbacks and put those on there, you can't build anything. It's just a fence line. I mean---you can't put anything down in the

middle. You can't even have a 10 x 12 storage shed that you could use for a pump house to put a 300-gallon pump—300-gallon a minute pump that I've got from McGuckin's in the pump house so that I can pump on the

38 4-inch line down to County Road 205 and County Road 52. And so that we can run hose lines from the mine, and pump to tankers in case we have to. And I think the fire danger is just going to get worse and worse. And

40 this is an important aspect. So what I'm kind of asking for is just let me put a pump house, a 10 x 12, or smaller, pump house, single-story just for the pump, and then there's two 500-gallon tanks there that need to be

42 put in that boundary some place so that you can fill the tanks so those will prime the fire line so that you can gravity feed down to the lower fire hydrant that the fire department wants to put in. And then the reason for

the 24-foot garage is that I can't turn the fire truck around in a 19-foot garage. There's no possibility. And then the setbacks I requested on there you'll see is the mining claim is 69-feet wide, but from Location

46 Certificate, Drexel's says that there's a 4-foot variance. And so I don't want to go through a whole procedure





- 2 of building another garage, and then come back here and say "We screwed up on the setbacks, and on the Location Certificate because didn't ask for the right setbacks." So what I suggested on there, is if you build a
- 4 24-foot garage you still have 20-foot on both sides. 20 and 20 is 40, and another 24 is 64. And that makes it like 5-feet short so in case the survey is wrong. But if you reduce the setbacks, and leave them 25-feet on the
- 6 side that Ms. Goldstein has her mine, on the north side of the garage, you leave those alone. And you change the setbacks on the south side, so those are 5 or 10 feet, and move the garage to the south, it doesn't affect Ms.
- B Goldstein property. The neighbor to the south wants it there for fire protection, and then we can build a 24foot wide garage. And have all the fire equipment right there by the mine so that it's hooked up and ready to
- 10 go, and it's winterized so that if we need in the winter we can get at it too. And it's going to cost me a lot of money, but I need fire protection. And so I don't know the proper procedure to go about, you know, if we're
- 12 going to put a pipeline in, and we're going to have a couple tanks there and a little storage shed, how do you do that on this mining claim when you've got 25-foot setbacks? And can you reduce those to 10 or 5 feet, or zero
- 14 feet, so that wherever the fire department says to put them, we can put stuff in there and we don't cause a problem.
- 16

PAT: If I may interject here.

18

HAL: Please do. That was my next question.

20

PAT: I'm a little concerned that we're into also a variance for a use. That particular use hasn't been really defined at this point, but it's not a regular residential use. And so all of the testimony that you may present as to the need for the fire district to use this garage is something that may not go to the absolute jurisdiction that is

to this B oard. T hey could grant you setback variances for the size of the garage, but they can't in of themselves permit this use. It's not a regular residential use in Forestry. It looks to me, like it might be

something like an institutional more a use of community significance, which would require Special Review under the Land Use Code. So just permission to build this garage as a variance from this Board may not be

- sufficient for you to do that use.
- 30 **THOMPSON:** I understand. And we have to take it step by step, and this is the first step.

PAT: And I'm just clarifying for Board, that any testimony that they hear as to the use itself needs to really go to the size of what being proposed by you, rather than taking as any sanction for the use.

THOMPSON: Right.

36

34

PAT: Which is a separate process.

38

THOMPSON: And my purpose of doing this is that when you look at the criteria that you have to look at community impact is certainly something that should be considered. And whether or not the fire truck can be used until we have permission to build a garage that's mute, because if we can't build a garage then we can't

- 42 use the fire truck. And if we can't build a pump shed for the water, then we can't—I mean, it's not any good for us. So this is the first step. We know there's more to that.
- 44

PAT: So Mr. Thompson, isn't this second variance request also inextricably tied to the third one?





- 2 THOMPSON: Well, my client thinks so, but I don't.
- 4 **PAT:** And which of you is speaking?
- 6 {Laughter}
- 8 **THOMPSON:** He's in charge. So if he thinks they're tied together then--.
- 10 **PAT:** Because we can table all those things together until next month.
- 12 THOMPSON: I understand.
- 14 **ELLS:** Okay, and I can accept that. But we need to get this up and going before the spring. The reason that I'm doing this is that it's my fire truck, my fire equipment, and it has nothing to do with the ownership of the
- 16 firehouse. So it doesn't go to the next level. So if I have a garage that houses all my equipment, and I've talked to the fire department about this extensively, if houses my equipment, and they don't put any of their money
- 18 into it, and it's all my money, then it is a residential use. That it is just a garage with a fire truck with fire equipment.
- 20

PAT: Okay.

22

THOMPSON: I think you can see why he wins National awards. He figures out how to do something, and he's here tonight asking you to help him do that. That's where we are. Based on what he said, why don't we just table the second part and the third part for next month's meeting, and by that time we'll be to have some specific plans for you.

- **HAL:** Okay, Mr. Ells, it that all right with you to table the garage, and what might happen after that until next month so that you can provide more information? Okay.
- 30

THOMPSON: Is there a good chance that we can get this done by the spring then?

32

HAL: One of the problems here is that we are only responsible for a very, very small section of this. So I
 don't know. I would assume that you might have some luck in getting that done, but don't count on it from us.
 Because the only thing that we will deal with, if you decide to put off the second and third portions, we will

36 deal with the footprints, the bay window, and those kinds of things at this meeting. And then we would deal with setbacks for the garage and that sort thing at the next meeting when you have more information about

- 38 what you want to do.
- 40 ELLS: Can I say just one more thing?
- 42 HAL: Sure.
- 44 **ELLS:** If I build a garage with setbacks and it 24 x 30 long, and it has just my equipment, but then I'm telling you about its use for the water, so you have to do that, don't you?



HAL: Well, see, we don't—the water doesn't affect what we decide at all. We decide whether or not to give a variance for the setbacks that you're requesting to build a structure. And the way you've designed the structure
it violates the setback regulations. And you want us to give you a variance so that you can move that around and change that a bit. That's all we can deal with, just the setbacks.

ATTACHMENT ORG

6

ELLS: Can you just do that on the garage, just change the setback, so that's there a possibility of a 24 x 30, and then let the building department and the other departments do the other stuff. And then table the third part to next month?

10

HAL: Ben has a comment here.

12

BEN: I'm not going to vote to approve a setback for the garage until I can understand how it fits into the whole picture, okay. So that means we're either going to do—for me, and I don't know how the other people feel here, we're either going to do the whole water system together, or I'm going to vote against the garage.

16

18

ELLS: Okay.

BEN: Okay. So that's one vote, you can still get a variance with four. I'm trying to save you and us some time, because if you're not going to get your variance tonight you might as well come back in a month.

- 22 ELLS: Right, okay.
- 24 HAL: John?
- **JOHN:** I'm pretty knowledgeable about the use—the property use for different purposes. My advice to you, Mr. Ells, would be to check be sure that the fire truck is even allowed on the property and this particular type
- of use. I don't want to see you wasting your time, because may be it won't allowed—the truck on the property anyway so I'm just giving you something to check up on. And then Pat, I think you had something to say?

PAT: No.

32

30

JOHN: No. Okay.

34

HAL: Any other comments?

36

BEN: I have one more comment. I'd like to hear, when we do get back together, assuming we table this, or whenever we hear this, I would like hear the comments of the fire department, or association people, because I have some questions. So when—if we table it, I'd like to have, if want to make that argument and make

information available, I'd like to have somebody come and talk to the fire prevention aspect of it.

- 42 **ELLS:** He's here now do you want to take five minutes?
- 44 **BEN:** No. I would rather do it when we—because I have quite a few questions. I'm not sure I understand the whole thing.





- 2 HAL: Okay.
- 4 **THOMPSON:** The next meeting is in December?
- 6 **PAT:** It's the first Wednesday in December.
- 8 HAL: First Wednesday.
- 10 **THOMPSON:** When is it?
- 12 **PAT:** First Wednesday in December.
- 14 **THOMPSON:** So if I understand what my client is saying, is he's asking to continue the second and third option.

ATTACHMENT ORG

16

HAL: Is that the consensus of the Board understands this?

18

BEN: The second and third requests.

20

THOMPSON: Right.

22

HAL: So basically what you want to deal at this meeting today is the approval of the 86-foot fence and what goes on with the bay window and that sort of thing.

- 26 THOMPSON: Right. And also the deck is part of that footprint, I know you understand that.
- 28 HAL: Yes.
- **ELLS:** Can I make just one quick statement? The reason the deck is a problem is that it was existing from '86, but I put a hot tub in it, you know, four or five years ago, and I enclosed the structure. And so it has a
- 32 crawl space underneath, you know, to keep the hot tub warm. And I guess, that's a Code violation. And I didn't know that. And so that was part of the original house, but the deck was out there and it sets into the

34 setback—the 8-foot setback on the backside of the house. And then the survey is really what Glen True from Alpine Surveying, when he surveyed it, one of the BLM the corner section corner marker was off by 50 feet,

36 and so that jockeyed the mining claim and so Drexel Barrell just corrected that. And so what I want to get the house is up to compliance with all the Codes and everything so that it is clear to everybody exactly what is

- there, and that's what the ILC gives you.
- PAT: Mr. Ells? Uh, this is Pat Mayne. Just to clarify I thought that what your counsel was asking for on your behalf was approval of the footprint, which of course doesn't include the height of the deck. If that's still a building code violation, then that wouldn't be sanctioned by this variance.
- 44 **THOMPSON:** The deck, sometimes you wish you could ask your client not to say something, and I wish he hadn't said that because he's confusing us a little bit. The deck itself was in the building permit that was
- 46 applied for in 1986, the deck, and the footprint of the house. And staff has recommended that you now

- 2 approve that.
- 4 **PAT:** Right.

THOMPSON: And so that's Part A of our request. And it includes the deck and the hot tub is not an issue, and whether the hot tub violates any Codes is not an issue. The question is the footprint and the deck—
actually that's what we're asking for your approval is Part A. I'm going to quit using numbers. Part B is the bay window and the extension out beyond the footprint. Did I mess it up, or did I clarify it?

ATTACHMENT ORG

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

PAT: Thank you.

BEN: Now you've broken it down into four separate items.

THOMPSON: Two parts A and B.

BEN: Well, now we've got the garage and the pump house that we're trying to separate off of this thing.

HAL: No, those are already gone.

PAT: Already gone.

THOMPSON: We've tabled those.

24

BEN: We never voted.

26 **HAL:** Well, that's the thing. We do have to vote for this.

THOMPSON: We're asking to table this.

30 32

28

BEN: Yeah, I want to make sure when we do it, it's clear.

HAL: Well, that was one of the reasons that we want to get this all clear. This and Part A & B of you're number one on the agenda, you want to continue tonight.

36 **THOMPSON:** Right.

- **HAL:** Number 2 and number three, which would be the setbacks for the garage and whatever else would happen with the pump house you want to continue that to next month.
- 40

THOMPSON: Right.

42

44

HAL: Pat. Is it appropriate to take a vote of the Board to do that now-to table Parts 2 and 3?

PAT: I think you could do it just in the final vote. You could do it either now, or you could do it at the final vote.



HAL: Let's do it now, and get it out of the way. I'd like to make a motion that the Board for Parts 2 and 3 <u>CONTINUE</u> those until the next meeting, and separate them from Part 1, which is the approval of the footprint from 1986. Those in favor—oh, question?

ATTACHMENT ORG

6 JOHN: Yes. Greg has something.

6 GREG: Yes. Mister Chair, staff would request that we do get some specific plans as early as the beginning of next week so that we can send those out to the adjacent property owners for comment and consideration so that
 10 they can be prepared at the next Board of Adjustment hearing as well.

12 HAL: Okay.

- 14 **GREG:** So the request would be whether or not the can get those plans in time for us to have adequate review by staff, and for referrals, and adjacent property owners.
 - **THOMPSON:** We will do our best to get to him by the middle of the week.

HAL: Is that agreeable to Mr. Ells?

20

22

24

16

18

THOMPSON: I mean the garage plans are already there.

BEN: Yeah. I don't think that we can grant a variance without specific plans.

THOMPSON: Correct.

- 26 **BEN:** And we've got to notice the public.
- 28

30

32

HAL: Yeah.

BEN: So there's a certain set of requirements that have to be met.

THOMPSON: Right, I agree.

34

BEN: So, yeah, you've got to do your best, but if it doesn't happen it may slide a month because of the notice requirement.

THOMPSON: All we're talking about is a 4-inch pipeline and 15--*{inaudible two people speaking at once}* pump shed.

40

HAL: But see that's all between you.

42

BEN: Yeah, you guys work that out.

HAL: Are we in the boundaries here? Okay, those in favor?

46



- 2 **BEN:** We need a second? Did you get a second?
- 4 HAL: Oh.
- 6 JOHN: Second.
- 8 HAL: Okay, we've got a second. Those in favor "aye".
- 10 ALL FIVE BOA MEMBERS {Hal Osteen, John Dickinson, Ben Harding, Michael Poe and James Ortega}: "Aye."

ATTACHMENT ORG

12

HAL: Those opposed. *{Pause}* The "ayes" have it, and that part of the variance request is continued. Now back to Part A and Part B.

- 16 THOMPSON: Parts A and B work better, than 1 and 2. So that's where we are. First, let's talk about "A" as what staff has recommended, and we're asking you to go along with what staff's recommended, e.g., footprint, deck and existing structure. And then "B" is the bay window.
- 20 HAL: Okay.

22 **THOMPSON:** And I did want to mention one more thing. In the final pictures that you saw there was more construction than was here. He did ask for permission, and he did get permission to cover it over for weather

reasons. So he hasn't been building without permission after that point. And he's tried his best to follow every rule and every regulation. I just wanted to explain the difference in the pictures.

26

HAL: Okay. I have a question for staff. Don't go away—you can sit down if you want. I want to make sure
 that I understand what we're recommending—what the staff recommends that the Board approve in terms of
 the setback variance for the structure constructed in 1986. Could you do a quick little run through of that for

- 30 us so that we can get real clear about that?
- 32 **GREG:** Ok. And this is based on the 1986 building permit, and with the actual structure and in accordance now with the Improvement Location Certificate. We're suggesting that the Board of Adjustment approve

basically what's there, which is a 4.2-foot setback on the east side, and a 8.3-foot setback on the west side. And in addition to that the applicant is requesting the 4-foot extension for the bay window on the east side,

actually a 4.2-foot—an additional 4.2-foot so that it would be a zero-foot setback on east property line.

- **HAL:** Okay. So from my point-of-view what we consider now is—what I would consider is whether or not we grant zero lot line variance for the bay window. Is that—okay.
- 40

BEN: Well, we have to decide both of those things.

42

HAL: Yeah. We have to decide if we want to follow staff's recommendation about the other part. From the pictures and what I've have been able to see there's a road and a very steep hillside in the front of the house. Is that correct?





- 2 **THOMPSON:** That's correct.
- 4 **HAL:** That's right.
- 6 **THOMPSON:** That is the mine tailings right in front of that.
- 8 HAL: Okay. So if we were to support giving you a zero clearance on that side of the house that wouldn't—would that, the question is would that have any affect on any neighbors, or any traffic on that road, or anything
 10 that would ever happen.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 12 ELLS: That's my private road. And it goes—it comes into my house as he showed in the picture. Do you have a copy of the—*{inaudible spoke away from microphone.}*.
- 14

GREG: Could you use the microphone, please?

16

THOMPSON: The answer is, it won't affect traffic coming or going it's his road. And the bay window won't affect the road at all.

20 JOHN: It's a driveway basically then?

- 22 **THOMPSON:** It's a driveway. It was originally the mining road that accessed the mine, where all the water was. So a lot of what you saw was passed his house.
- 24

26

ELLS: *{Showing an exhibit to Board}* This will help.

- HAL: Did you see this? If we want to introduce something here, we need to make sure staff sees it.
- 28

PAT: What are these?

·30

- ELLS: This he saw when I submitted the plans. It's the Location Certificate map.
- 32

PAT: So this is part of the packet already?

34

ELLS: Yeah. I'm just making sure that it is easier to see there. But it shows the road in front of the house. And then also I've talked to BLM, and between my mining claim, where you saying the "zero-foot" setback, there's a 25-foot BLM lot right between me and the next mining claim, which is the Grandview. And Greg

received an email from Jane this morning that explains further on the BLM position where they don't have any problem with that. And if he would present that, that would clear that all up for you.

- 40
 - **PAT:** Could you reference where in the packet the Improvement Location Certificate is, as I don't see it.
- 42

44

HAL: Page 7.

PAT: Okay.

ELLS: Well, no, that isn't the Location Certificate. Those were the original maps on the 1986 permit. And I didn't see the Location Certificate in the packet, but I submitted to the packet. But it wasn't sent out with the rest of it.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 6 **PAT:** Okay.
- 8 **ELLS:** And that corrects the survey. And that's the most conservative. That Drexel Barrell says that the can guarantee that.

10

PAT: Greg, do you feel comfortable that you understand what's on this? This is also part of your recommendation based on this?

- 14 **GREG:** Yes, I do.
- 16 PAT: Okay.
- 18 HAL: Okay:
- 20 **BEN:** I've got a question. This improvement location doesn't seem to encompass the same property that is outlined in dark marker on Page 4—and Page 4, 5 and 6. Am I missing something here?
- 22

GREG: You're correct that that Certificate does not show the entire property. And I'm not sure why. But the property that is in for the building permit is the property that was approved through the Subdivision Exemption that approved by the County back in 1991.

26

ELLS: May I address that? There are three mining claims. There's the Dead Medicine, the Eldorado and the Atchison. And Drexel Barrell—I was under the gun to get this in before this hearing, so that we had an actual Location Certificate. So I knew absolutely what I was talking about. So they came out—I mean, a week ahead

of time, I'm amazed they came out. And they did a Location Certificate, and it shows exactly where the house
 is on the Dead Medicine mining claim. And then it shows how it relates to the Grandview in front of it, which
 is the next adjacent property owner. And it shows the BLM lot, 165, in between the two. And so that's what

they put on the Location Certificate.

34

PAT: So is this of the Dead Medicine lode, Mr. Ells?

36

ELLS: Yes it is.

38

PAT: Okay.

40

42

BEN: So are we dealing with three lots here? I'm asking staff. Three parcels?

GREG: No, it is one legal parcel altogether.

44

46



BEN: Okay.

- 2 **GREG:** That survey that you have does not show the entire property.
- 4 **BEN:** Okay. That's what I thought. I just wanted to make sure. Okay.
- 6 JOHN: I have a question. So the driveway is not on your property line?
- 8 **ELLS:** Half of the driveway is on my property, and the other half is on BLM, and then when you go 200-feet to the north it goes across the Grandview.

ATTACHMENT ORG

10

JOHN: Could you show me where BLM is on this document?

{Inaudible Conversation – Speaking Away from Microphone}

14

16

12

ELLS: -- and then it goes this way right to here to the garage and the fire department would be over here on the south. So there's like two roads that come together and a big turnaround spot here and stuff above that.

- 18 JOHN: Thank you.
- 20 HAL: Are there more questions here, before we go to the public for their comment?
- MICHAEL POE {Board of Adjustment Member}: I have a question for staff, I guess. In your analysis, in Section F, it says, "Staff finds that the proposed addition could be altered in a manner that is in keeping with the provisions of the previous variance approval." Could you elaborate on that a little bit?
- 26 **GREG:** That essentially means taking the bay window out so that it would be a flat wall.
- 28 MICHAEL: Okay.
- **JOHN:** Boy! I have question for Mr. Ells. I'm an architect myself for 15 years, but on page, what was it, the criteria analysis by the staff talks about (C) "The hardship is not self-imposed." The staff says that, "The

32 applicant was aware of the setback requirements at the time he began construction—reconstruction, on the east part of the house and began the project without a building permit." Why did you do that?

34

ELLS: Because in that picture when you saw, I had leakage and the rainwater ran in, and it ran the drywall and I had to pull the drywall off. And when I pulled the drywall off it was infested with those black carpenter ants, and I had to take the vacuum cleaner--.

38

JOHN: But the question is, "Why did you built it without a building permit?"

ELLS: I was stupid. I made a mistake. It was just the ants--.

42

40

JOHN: But that's caused a lot of problems.

44

ELLS: Right. The ants were so bad that they were coming into the rest of my house. And I sucked them up with a vacuum. And I sucked up two gallons of ants. And then, I have pets, so I didn't want infest—you





- 2 know, poisoned the whole area. So it was just easier to lift the greenhouse off, and then the whole structure under the greenhouse was structurally deteriorated. I mean there was no structure holding up the greenhouse.
- 4 So when I pulled it off, I was just going to rebuild the walls and put a shed roof. And then, it looked like a big square box, architecturally it looked terrible. And so I just cannily built a bay window out four feet because I
- 6 didn't think that was a problem because I was on the original foundation. I didn't build any new foundations, or anything. I just cannily inverted and made it a little bigger so that I would have a bigger area to walk around
- 8 the stairs to get upstairs. Instead of like a three-foot hallway, I'd have a bigger hallway to get upstairs. And I'd have more room, and the front of the house would look like the rest of the houses in the area architecturally, so
- 10 that it doesn't just look like a big square industrial box.
- **THOMPSON:** Mr. Dickinson, I'll tell you what he said to me the first time that he came to me. He said that he did not realize that a bay window would be such a problem. And Greg was invited to his house. He
- 14 showed him what he was doing, and the first time he realized that it was problem was when Greg told him. We would like you treat this as if the bay window was not there, and if it is your decision to tear it out, we'll
- 16 tear it out. We're asking for permission to do the bay window now, because he did not realize at the time that he needed permission to do the bay window. But he now realizes he does, and he's willing to pursue it.
- 18

JOHN: Okay.

20

HAL: If there are no more immediate questions, I'd like to open the public section of the hearing. Is everyone
ready to go on with that on the Board? Okay at this time we'd like to open the public section of this hearing.
Are there any people from public who wish to speak to the matter before the Board? Please come up to the
microphone. And please state your name and address.

26 PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

28 SPEAKERS:

- 30 SUSAN GOLDSTEIN: (Adjacent Property Owner 6319 Sunshine Canyon Drive) I'm Susan Goldstein. And I live in Denver. My mailing address or my home address?
- 32

HAL: Your home address.

GOLDSTEIN: 844 Humble. I'm not good in front of a lot of people so I'll do my best.

36

34

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Neither are we. And we're just a few people.

38

GOLDSTEIN: I'll give you a brief history because this is extremely complex mess that has been going off and on for a number a years. When I was young, I moved into a cabin up on Sunshine Canyon, which sat on the Grandview mining claim, and it was an improvement only on a mining claim. And over a period of—in the

- ⁴² '70s I ended up acquiring two little cabins that sat on the Grandview mining claim. The mining claim was owned in part by a family on the East coast, and in part by an organization in Denver that was an old folks
- 44 home. At some point in the '80s the people on the East coast tried to consolidate their ownership of their mining claim, which they found very difficult to do. And in the process tried to take my cabins away from me.
- 46 I was in an unusual situation because it was an improvement only on a mining claim. So when Fred began his





process of building, I owned the cabins, but other entities owned the land. I eventually—in the beginning of the process when the people in the East coast, whose name was Clymer, sued me to try to get me out of the
cabins. I offered to buy their land from them, or whatever interest they had in it. Eventually they sold whatever interest they had, and I went through a quiet title action.

6

So in 1986 when Fred began building, I had no authority whatsoever to deal with the issue of access over the
Grandview mine. But he did ask the owners of the mining claim for an easement at that point, and they didn't give him an easement. I finally got clear title in the early '90s and at that point I approached Fred and talked

10 about "let's resolve the easement issue," because he was crossing the Grandview to get to his mining claim. Which as I understand it, is long and skinny, and he could have built his own road to access his home, but he

12 went over a grown over area of land that had been a road to the mine. In the following years, Fred and I had conversations about issues that came up on numerous occasions. And I tried to be a cooperative neighbor in as

14 many ways as I could. He had stored maybe the fire truck that he is talking about on my property, and I had to ask him to remove it. There may have been a second truck. He used a plow of some sort to actually cut the

16 road that crosses the Grandview; made it wider and caused some erosion problems for me, without permission. And had stored a lot of building material on the driveway in front of his house, which I thought was probably

18 may land, but it turns out it may have been BLM.

The final straw happened this summer, apparently, during the fire season. Fred found himself very much afraid that his house was going to burn down and rather than call me and ask me for permission to thin, or remove
some trees on my property, he just clear cut, what appears to be the BLM land and some of my land. And I had been up Sunshine Canyon, I live in Denver, and I have a tenant in one of the cabins. And I'd been up

earlier in the summer, but hadn't walked the property. And went up Labor Day weekend with a friend and we decide to walk the property and there were giant piles of no longer standing trees that he had cut down, and at

that point I had had it. And told him that I wanted compensation for the trees, and that I wanted to deal with the easement issues, and we tried to work this out over the period of time between then and now, and

28 somewhere before—in early October he informed me that he had applied for some variances for his property and for a garage. And I again brought up the easement issue, and brought up the issue of being compensated

for the trees. So at this point, I think there is an access, a legal access issue, and where he cut the trees there was a legitimate reason to do that to protect his house from the fire, and I don't take an issue with that. But

doing it without my permission, and clear cutting is something that I think was absolutely wrong. And his response on "Why did you do it?" was the same as "Why did you build without the building permit?" "I was

34 stupid." I have tired to get this resolved, and I want to go on record that I am not against having that water be used by the fire department. As a result from where the trees were cut, I may or may not build on that property

36 myself, but I have a legal building site, and I have valuable piece of property that has been devalued because of the trees that have been removed. Because of the location, of where the trees were removed, which is below

Fred's house, what would have been a natural barrier for the location of potential home on my property is no longer there. So there's now a clear, visual point from his house into my mining claim that really shouldn't be

40 there. Which would lead me to think, if I decide to build the best building site is going to be very close to the area where the access that he's been using crosses the Grandview. Coming off of County Road 52—I think, do

42 you know? The main road that goes up to Gold Hill, there is a road that goes down to Four Mile Canyon, which is Road 205, I believe. And there was a straight shot back to the Grandview, which had been a road

44 years ago, and then a curved up section that goes up, which the road that you see that goes up in front of his house. Because the trees were cut in front of house, and to the, I believe, west, my potential building site has

now, in theory at least, been shifted to the west, which is closer to the County Road that goes up to Gold Hill.

ATTACHMENT ORG

Transcript for Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance November 6, 2002 Page 22

Which force me to put a house in the area where the road that he cut, which goes across the Grandview, is then connecting to the Dead Medicine. I'm amenable to try to make something work with Fred, but off and on over the years, I have had numerous problems. I went on a wild goose chase talking to the State Forest Service

because he told me that he was involved in a plan to be reimbursed by them for the fire mitigation. Well, he
wasn't dealing with them. A few weeks later he informed me that he didn't want me to call the Sunshine Fire
Department, or fire district, because he wanted to get reimbursed the money that he had spent for cutting the

8 trees on my property. And I wanted to get all the cut down trees removed, rather than having to deal with it myself. So it turns out that he was dealing with Fire Mitigation District, not the Forest Service. So I'd like to

10 think that the two of us could work out something where he doesn't make an issue of crossing the Grandview to get to his land, so that I would have a better potential building site, which I am now forced to use instead of

12 where I would have been. But this is such a complicated mess that I'm not quite sure where this will go. I didn't think I needed to bring an attorney today, so I didn't. But I want to be on record that I am not opposed

14 to having the water be used by the fire department. I think that the easement issue has be to dealt with because Fred has said on his own that he does not want to have a lot of exercises going practice runs going back and

16 forth in front of his house to go to the fire department. Today's the first I've heard that he owned a fire truck, and that he was going to be storing that in the garage. What I had been told is that if he had to make the garage

18 small—smaller that he could get two cars in it. He didn't say anything about storing the fire truck. It's problematic. I want to see him be able to close the front of his house back up because it's winter and the

decent weather for building is slipping by. I'm not a vengeful person, but I do like to see things made right before he has permission to go ahead and continue to—I would say, violate the rules that have been setup to
 make things work well between people. If I could take just a minute to look down my list to see if I have left anything out.

24

HAL: I have a quick question.

GOLDSTEIN: Uh-huh,

28

26

HAL: One of the—I think you were here, what he's decided to do is put off the issue of the garage and the fire stuff for the next meeting. What we're dealing with here now, in this particular section, in this particular variance is it basically comes down, for me, it comes down to the bay window.

32

30

GOLDSTEIN: Um-hum.

34

HAL: Staff has recommended because of a surveying error, which was not his fault that there are some
setback problems with his house, and the staff has suggested that we approve that. Do you have any feeling about the bay window?

38

GOLDSTEIN: I don't having feelings about the bay window. It's not—I don't think that the setback there is going to impact my setback, as the garage setback might, because of the proximity, because of the strip of BLM land. And so—"No." But as I understand the response of the staff that the applicant needs to provide

42 evidence of legal access prior to the issuance of any building permit. So I think that is something that we really do need to work out. And I don't know that the document that he introduced that says that there's a good road

to the mine from the Bureau of Mines is enough to establish that he had legal access to go over the Grandview.

46 HAL: Okay, that would all be involved in the next hearing for the variance about the garage.




2 GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

- JOHN: Question for you. Are saying that-I think I heard you earlier, that the BLM is probably yours? 4
- GOLDSTEIN: No. The BLM is between the Dead Medicine, which belongs to Fred, and the Grandview, 6 which belongs to me. So it's a 25-foot, as I understand it, it's a 25-foot wide parcel that is between the two properties. And the trees that were cut were cut both on it and on my land. 8
- JOHN: So the setback that Fred wants to build doesn't cross over to your property? It's not even close. 10
- GOLDSTEIN: The setback for the bay window as I understand it and I'm not good at reading those plans, I 12 believe that setback, first is from his own property line, and then, I believe, there's a 25-foot wide section of
- BLM land, and then there is the Grandview. And we could probably look at one of the maps he gave and he 14 could locate his house and show me, and show you at the same time.
- 16

JOHN: But there were several survey errors. You know, I want to make sure that you understand that clearly

- that the survey-I mean, we needed to make sure that survey was done right, you know, that it was not 50 feet 18 off like he just recently said had happened. So I want to make sure that you understand about the boundaries.
- 20

GOLDSTEIN: I think I understand. What I don't know—I don't know the County whatever you used that would then have an affect on my land. If there's a 25-foot section of BLM land and his bay window is going to 22 come closer to that, would that eventually impact my setbacks, if he's given a variance. And I didn't think it

- would. But I don't know how these things work as well as you do. 24
- JOHN: That's all. 26
- HAL: Anything else? 28
- GOLDSTEIN: I don't think so. I was going to take quick look, if I may, just to see if I--. 30
- 32 HAL: Sure.
- GOLDSTEIN: Okay. There was one thing that I did to address, which was that either Fred, or his attorney, 34 said that the road he uses hasn't been widened and when the equipment was brought in, I don't know if he

drove it or somebody else drove. It did widen the area going over the Grandview. I live there in the early '70s 36 and it was not used as a road from the '70s until the time Fred built his house. And I think that's all I need to tell you.

- 38
- HAL: Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anyone else from the public who would like to address this 40 matter this evening? Please come forward. And could you state your name and address, please?
- 42

RICHARD STARKS: (Adjacent Property Owner) Yes. My name is Al Starks. And my wife and I live at 6299 Sunshine Canyon Drive. We are neighbors of Mr. Ells, on the adjacent property. We have a home there. 44 And we're familiar with what he's doing there, and have no objection to it. And feel that because there is

BLM land front of and behind him, he's surrounded by BLM land, and we can't even actually see his house, 46





- 2 and we're one of the closest neighbors, and we have no objection to what's he is doing. And are pleased with esthetically with what's going on there.
- 4
- HAL: Okay. Thank you. Is there anyone else here that would like to speak to this matter?
- 6

MARK HEATH: (Adjacent Property Owner) Good afternoon. My name is Mark Heath. I'm an adjacent
property owner to Fred Ells. I'll keep it quick. In terms of the bay window and the footprint, this isn't a subdivision on the plains where the homes are only six feet apart. Mr. Ells nearest neighbor is 400-feet distant

- 10 with BLM holdings in between, and I'm a better part of a half mile away. We all see these a definite improvements to Mr. Ells, except that we can't see them. On the other point of the trees, I realize that's
- 12 probably not a matter before you to consider, but nobody's more concerned about wildfire mitigation up there than myself, except perhaps Mr. Ells. And the day, that I saw him up there, thinning and pruning, and taking

out the dead trees, I was very happy. I did not see any clear cutting, I saw a very judicious pruning and thinning. In fact, as one of his neighbors, I'm a little bit concern about the number of pine beetle infestations

16 on Ms. Goldstein's property, as well as mistletoe infestations. I don't know if you want introduce these as exhibits, but here are pine beetle kills with are plainly visible on her property, which we get to see every time,

18 which could spread onto our property. When I found out that Fred was cutting these down, I was pretty happy.

- 20 **HAL:** Okay, at this time, I don't think we need to introduce those because it not something that we can consider basically.
- 22

HEATH: Okay. Thank you.

24

HAL: Thank you. Is there anyone else? Seeing no one else, we hereby close the public part of the hearing, and back to Part A and Part B.

28 PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

30 JAMES: I have a quick question for staff. Back to you Greg, and it goes back to your recommendation. And as I understand it you're recommendation is that the Board approve the existing footprint of the house only. Is
32 that correct?

GREG: Prior to the construction of the bay window.

JAMES: That's correct. Okay.

- **GREG:** Also, I wanted to clarify based on the photos, and it's difficult to tell on the site plan submitted by the applicant, there's a slight overhang over the bay window. And I'm not real clear on whether or not that is the
- 40 actual structure that's being considered as far as the side yard setback, but we'd have to be clear in that with Condition #2 that we do have the survey to verify the location of the structure as approved by the Board of
- 42 Adjustment. Meaning that it is all within the boundary of the applicant's property.
- 44 **HAL:** Were you looking at the picture on Page 15, perhaps? Is that the one where the roof comes out down o over the second story.

46





2 **GREG:** Yes, that's it.

- 4 **HAL:** So you want to make sure—if we were to grant a variance for the bay window, the roof could not extend into the BLM property.
 - GREG: That's correct. That is considered as part of the zero-foot setback.

HAL: Okay.

10

6

8

ELLS: May I address that, Sir? Just one quick moment.

12

HAL: Sure.

14

ELLS: On the Location Certificate, you will see the bay window, and it's two-tenths of foot, which is three or
 four inches from the property line. So everything on the Location Certificate is on my property, including the
 bay window.

18

HAL: The question that I had from the picture on Page 15. If the front flat side of the bay window is that
close to the edge of the property, and you have a roof extension that comes out passed that there could be—and
there would be a problem of that roof extension going into the BLM—passed the property line.

22

ELLS: Well, I talked with Greg about this earlier, and on the original '86 my eaves stuck over into the 8-foot setback. Oh, but I see what you're saying, there is a question as far as--.

- HAL: Yes. Because if the front side, for example, the front wall of the bay window, is within two inches of the property line and the eave on the roof extends passed that, then you end up with a situation where that
 could protruded into the BLM property.
- **ELLS:** Okay. Well, okay. In talking with other staff, they said they don't consider the eaves. But I have asked that question because I thought the same thing. And is that true?

32

GREG: No. Well, for setbacks we can exclude sections of eaves, but we cannot approve any structure that would encroached onto another property.

- 36 ELLS: So even if it is just an eave.
- 38 **GREG:** We cannot.
- 40 **ELLS:** Okay. So then I would need to take the eave off.
- 42 **GREG:** If the Board of Adjustment approves the zero-foot setback you have to meet, or *{inaudible Two people speaking a once overlapped conversation}* the entire structure.

44

ELLS: Right. Or get something from BLM that says that's okay.

46 GREG: You would be required to go through what's called a subdivision exemption process in order to add



property to your property. And typically, the Board of Adjustment— or the Board of County Commissioners includes a condition that the area of land that is added cannot be used for building or setback purposes. So
 based on that precedent the likelihood of getting that approved--.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 6 ELLS: Is slim. I agree. Okay.
- 8 **HAL:** Okay. So if the Board decided to grant a variance for the bay window, would it be your advice to include language in there, or do we even have to include anything if that is already part of the--.
- 10

GREG: I've suggested it as a Condition #2, but I wanted to make sure that the applicant was aware of that what that means.

- 14 HAL: Okay.
- 16 **BEN:** Well, I think that the typically we either refer to a setback or we refer to the plans as drawn. You know to say that this is what we're approving, and we have to be clear that we're not approving any extension
- 18 beyond this window. And I don't think that #2 just says, "Verify as approved by the Board of Adjustment." I think it is up to us to approve it, if we do, the way we think it's right.
- 20

HAL: Well, it says, "The setback survey verification must be completed by..." and so the surveyor, Greg, is
it true that if a surveyor looks at that he—the surveyor would make sure that none of the building protruded? How does that work?

24

GREG: We would get an actual certification from surveyor stating what that structure setback is from the property line.

- **HAL:** Okay, alright. So we wouldn't—would it be necessary for the Board to include anything in a variance if we were to grant one?
- 30

GREG: I don't think it hurts to clarify as part of the condition the intent.

_

BEN: The question I have is that the way I understand, the best information that we've got now is that the face
of the bay window, the flat face of the bay window, is two-tenths of a foot from the boundary line. Is that the staff's understanding?

36

GREG: Well, it's hard to say for sure based on the site plan submitted by the applicant. So I believe he's prepared to answer that question.

- 40 **ELLS:** When Drexel Barrell surveyed this, there's a problem that was corrected on the corner section being 50 feet off.
- 42

BEN: Yeah, I understand all that. What I'm asking is what's the bottom line?

44

ELLS: Well, the bottom line is that for him to give me a Location Certificate and certified that everything was on the property he said right now it's 47.2 feet wide. There's another 2.8 feet that is my property that's in





front, but they can't find the surveying error. And so, I'm entitled to a 50-foot wide mining claim. And that's what—I mean if you go back into the Codes and everything all the way back when these mining claims were
 made they were made 50-foot wide. So when I talked to Drexel, and this was a hurry up procedure because we

- made they were made 50-foot wide. So when I talked to Drexel, and this was a hurry up procedure because we needed this Location Certificate for this hearing, he said, "I will certified that you have 47.2-feet. But until I can find the other 2.8-feet, that's all I'm going to do for you. But you property should be 50-foot wide." But
- those dang mining claims are all screwed up, up there. I mean, and they were done in 1875 and those guys didn't have GPS and everything else they needed to do the things. And so, I've talked with Greg about this
- 8 didn't have GPS and everything else they needed to do the things. And so, I've talked with Greg about this and my original survey shows that the mining claim that Glen True did, when he did the survey when I built it
- 10 shows that the mining claim was 52 to 54-foot wide. And so, there's—it is a big mess, but I want compliant with all the Codes and regulations, and conservative as far as with the ILC so that Drexel can certify that it's
- 12 two-tenths of a foot from the property line, what they can find right now. If they can find the other 2.8 feet then mining claim will be back at 50-foot which it is supposed to be. But it's a mess. And it's a very bad
- 14 situation.
- 16 **BEN:** Okay.
- **JOHN:** I'm a little confused. But as an architect looking at the plan, you have a survey that's been stamped. It's already been licensed here, no you don't—it's okay, it has a legal description, and shows the building line

and property line between 4.2 feet, on Page 19 of the packet. The Trues layout.

- 22 PAT: Trust.
- **JOHN:** It shows that building to the deck as 8 feet plus 5 feet 11 inches and that is the building itself, that didn't include the roof. If you include the roof that's probably another 18 inches. It seems to me that until we

26 have clear plan, and how the bay window is built, and how much of the setback is included in there right now, when I look at this you're already at—it looks two or three over—two or three feet over your property line. The

- 28 license is not clear.
- **HAL:** A question for staff. If the Board were to grant a variance for a bay window and did not in any way—if we granted a zero setback, would that require a new survey, or is this one the one that's here sufficient?
- 32

34

GREG: We would need a surveyor to actually sign a statement that they find that the structure fall within his property.

- **HAL:** Okay. And what would be the procedure if it was found that the present structure did not, I assume he would have to move it back and have to put inside his property line.
- 38

40

HAL: Okay.

42

THOMPSON: I agree.

GREG: That's correct.

44

BEN: I think John's right. And I don't think that the--.

46 JOHN: To me the measurements are all off. We need more clear, legal descriptions of what this all is—these





- 2 descriptions that need to be laid out.
- 4 **PAT:** I would remind everyone the public.
- 6 HAL: Please identify yourself.
- 8 **PAT:** Yeah. You also need to reopen the hearing—you're allowing people to keep talking and the public portion of the hearing was closed. So if you want to ask specific questions, you should do that.

ATTACHMENT ORG

10

HAL: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought I did that—the public part of the hearing is closed and the rehearing is open.Thought I did that.

- 14 **THOMPSON:** If I might, all we're asking for is not approval of the bay window that sits there. We're asking for the zero line variance that means he will have to prove to Greg that it is within that limitation.
- 16

HAL: That's what I'm trying--.

18

THOMPSON: So if it is wrong he will have to remove it.

20

HAL: That's my understanding of the procedure. Is that accurate? Okay. So in one sense whether it extends
six miles into the BLM property or not at all would have to be settled by a surveyor's statement about what is really going on. So we don't have to know what is there—what exactly is there now. Is that right?

24

GREG: Well, the applicant has represented it the best that he can base on the Location Improvement Certificate.

- **HAL:** Okay. Alright, so in that sense we don't have know the exact measurement right now because a licensed surveyor would have to prove it for him to get a building permit. Is that right?
- 30

GREG: Yes. Staff is suggesting that in Condition #2.

32

34

HAL: Okay, from my point of view that takes care of any problems that might arise from where the mining claim line is, or isn't, if it was a certified survey. Does it for you?

PAT: I would ask that if the Board does vote on a variance for the zero setback that you qualify that so that you don't become part of any boundary line dispute that may exist.

HAL: Okay.

40

38

BEN: Here's the concern I have. I mean after what John said and looking at this drawing, looking at the two drawings, I think there's a good chance that part of this thing is already built on the BLM property. And then, Mr. Ells has testified to the fact that this is a mess. I'm really hesitant to grant any variance

44 where we don't really have—particularly a zero lot line variance, where we don't have good information about what's going on. I mean this is a mess. We could be—I mean, we could just contribute to making a

46 bigger mess.





2

HAL: How so?

4

BEN: Well, how would somebody read it if we said it's a zero line variance, and he's actually built his
property—his property is over the line, I mean, what are his rights then. Can he bring the whole house back to the line? I mean, I don't--.

8

HAL: If we approve the 1980----if we follow staff's recommendation and improve—I mean, approve the setbacks for the structure constructed in 1986 that's accepting to the fact that there was a surveying error, and yeah, it's a mess. But the house is there, and so if we approve the setback variance for the house as it

12 was, that's one thing. The second part of it is he wants a variance to put the bay window in. But he has to prove that the bay window won't go on to anybody else's property before he'll be issued a building permit

14 to keep it there.

JOHN: Hal, if you look at the plans the survey itself is certified stamped and it shows clearly that the existing building is 4 feet—4.2 feet, which doesn't include the bay window over the line already.

18

HAL: So you think it's over the line already?

20

BEN: Well, it might be. And it's—now we have testimony that there's still uncertainties in the survey. I mean what happens, let me just ask this question. What happens if it turns out that the boundary is off, and we grant him a zero lot line variance, and it turns out that the boundary really is 5 feet further out? I mean

he could build out to that line. I mean if we don't know where the line is, we don't know what we're doing. Right?

26

HAL: There is that side of it, yeah. *{Laughter among the Board}* Let's make it a little more complicated. *{Laughter among the Board}*

30 BEN: I mean, it sounds like there's a property line dispute here. It sounds like they haven't figured out, I mean, one surveyor says it 54 feet wide, and one surveyor says it 47 feet 4 inches or whatever it is. Why are we going to jump into the middle of this?

HAL: Well, from my point of view I'm not sure we do because the house exists.

36 **BEN:** Yeah, but if we grant him a zero line variance, or setback variance, against a line that's not precise, we don't really know what the result is going to be.

38

JAMES: Well, Ben, I think it goes back to the—what the applicant, or the applicant's representative, has asked. That you actually separate it into two separate issues, point "A" – the existing footprint of the

existing house, and then the zero lot line of the bay window. Frankly, I'm on a different position on both of those. I can understand the need for the existing footprint, but the zero lot line, I don't think there's anough foots here to make a clear decision or it. So I much that here here the applicant whether the uncert to

enough facts here to make a clear decision on it. So I guess it's back to the applicant, whether they want to separate those two, or put them together.

46 **BEN:** Well, I don't know.

ORG145



2

ELLS: Can I make one statement?

HAL: Sure.

6

8

4

ELLS: And hope I can clarify. I'll get this clarified and hopefully we'll get this straighten out. Right now Drexel Barrell will survey that—they have certified that the mining claim is 57.2 feet wide. I mean, 47, I'm sorry, 47.2 feet wide. To the face of the bay window I have two-tenths of inch—two-tenths of a

10 foot. So I have three or four inches to the conservative survey line, which is 47.2. The actual survey line according to BLM and mining, and everybody else, is it's a 50-foot wide mining claim. There's 2.8 feet

- more that I'm entitled to that's on my deed that's part of property to make it a 50-foot wide mining claim. That's what was in '86, that's everything. And so the overhang that John sees in the architectural plan 2
- 14 feet. We're missing 2.8 feet so I could—you could rule on an eight-tenths of foot setback and should still be on my property.
- 16

HAL: Well, I'm going to make it more complicated. Which side of the property might that extra footage be?

20 ELLS: It's on the front side. Because the--.

22 **HAL:** You're absolutely sure of that?

- **ELLS:** Yeah. And Drexel will certify that because the back line I had that certified in by Glen True and Alpine Surveying in '86, and we staked that all. So we had a meticulous stake, right. And then I built an
- 8-foot setback to foundation, and I built the whole house, I mean, foundation, everything. And I had, as you will see on the Location Certificate, on one end it's 8½ feet, and then it's 9.3, so it's a little jockey, so
- the original line was just off that much. But the front, the most conservative estimate, according to Drexel Barrell, is 47.2 feet, so that left me two-tenths of a foot of having the bay window, except for the eaves,
- and I was unaware of the eaves. And the eaves could be cut off, or I can get another survey, another—you know, LIC, that certifies that it's 50-foot wide. And if it's 50-foot wide, then you can give me eight-tenths

32 of a foot setback.

THOMPSON: I think to make it easier, you could make a condition, understanding that if you approve it first, you got to go to Greg and he's got to prove to Greg that every bit of it is on his side. If can't prove

36 that, then he's got to cut it off. So the worse that could happen here is he'd have to cut the eaves off. That's the worse. The second condition you could make is that "not to exceed the existing structure." So

³⁸ I'd think of this as if it wasn't even there. He's asking you for permission to put a bay window to a zero lot line. He then got to go to Greg, and he's got to show Greg where it's going to be, and go through the

40 permit process,--.

- 42 HAL: And prove it, yeah.
- 44 **THOMPSON:** -- and if they say the bay window now as it exist is in the wrong place, he's got to take it off. That's as simple as it can be.
- 46 HAL: That is true. That is correct. If it is-if it violates his property line, he's got to take it off, right?





2

GREG: That would be correct.

4

HAL: Okay. {Laughter and long pause} I'm inclined to follow the staff recommendation and approve the setback variance for the structure constructed in 1986. I think that—I'm fine with that. I don't even have any problem with putting the bay window on as long as it doesn't violate any property line. How about

- 8 somebody else?
- **JAMES:** I would be in support of the staff recommendation, but not to exceed the existing footprint of the building.

12

14

BEN: You mean, existing footprint as it's--.

JAMES: As it was approved.

16

BEN: As it was approved in the 1986 footprint?

18

JAMES: '86 footprint, that's correct.

20

BEN: Okay. I think what would be good would be to separate this if we're going to offer motions. I'm going to suggest that we separate it into two motions, one, to deal with the existing footprint of the building, and a second to deal with the zero lot line variance.

24

HAL: Can we do that?

PAT: Yes.

28

26

HAL: Okay.

MOTION FOR THE 1986 FOOTPRINT OF THE RESIDENCE:

32

30

JAMES: Okay, Mr. Chairman, in the—I move that in the matter of Docket VAR-02-15 the Ells Variance based on staff analysis and the particular recommendation on the approval of a variance for the 1986 building permit location be approved as identified in the staff's document.

36

HAL: I second that. All those in favor "aye."

38 40

HAL: I'm sorry.

BEN: Wait. Wait. Wait.

42

BEN: I just want to make it clear that if there's a subsequent we're not---he's going to have to take whatever action is necessary to make sure even that footprint is on his property. If there's any doubt about that. Okay?







- 2 HAL: Okay. All those in favor "aye."
- 4 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS {John Dickinson, Ben Harding, Hal Osteen, James Ortega, and Michael Poe}: Aye.

6

8

HAL: All those opposed. *{Pause – no one responded.}* The "ayes" have it. That variance is granted. Now we're onto the bay window. *{Inaudible discussion}* Any more discussion, or ideas, about the variance for the bay window?

10

- BEN: I'm not going to support it.
- 12

14

HAL: Okay. We have two not supporting it.

- JOHN: Neither will I.
- 16

18

HAL: Well then, let's hear a motion to that.

MOTION FOR THE VARIANCE OF A BAY WINDOW LOCATION:

20

JAMES: Mr. Chairman, in the matter of Docket VAR-02-15 the Ells Variance in the request of a zero variance for a side lot line, I move that the Board deny the request.

- 24 **HAL:** Is there input, comments?
- 26 JOHN: Second.
- 28 HAL: And we have a second. Before we vote--.

30 DISCUSSION:

32 **BEN:** And I just want to say the reason I'm going to oppose this is because the evidence before the Board indicates that there's a tremendous amount of uncertainty about where this thing is placed, and where the lot

34 line are. There's some uncertainty about surveys. And I can't—we can't grant a variance with that kind of uncertain information.

36

THOMPSON: So Mr. Chairman, what I'd like to ask is to table it since we're already going to do the other one, and I can get a surveyor to do just exactly what he asked.

- 40 HAL: Ah yeah, we're stuck now because he have motion made and seconded it. Can we--.
- 42 JAMES: Well, if the two parties want to withdraw it, we can. I believe, right?
- 44 **PAT:** I think he could withdraw the present application, and resubmit prior to the next Board of Adjustment hearing.

46





- 2 **THOMPSON:** Or a motion to table it takes precedent.
- 4 **PAT:** No, I don't believe so, now that it's gone forward. I believe that procedurally the Board—there's already a motion before the Board. Excuse me, Graham?
- 6
- BEN: We've already dealt with part of it.
- 8
- PAT: Graham?

10

GRAHAM BILLINGSLEY: Graham Billingsley, Land Use Director. He missed the submittal date for the next--.

- 14 **PAT:** Oh, for next month.
- 16 **GRAHAM:** Unless the Board waived that tomorrow.
- 18 PAT: Oh, so it would be for January. Sorry.
- 20 **GRAHAM:** That was at 4:30 today.
- 22 PAT: I see.

BEN: We've already dealt with one. We already just passed one.

- 26 **THOMPSON:** I'm not asking for that one to be tabled.
- 28 **BEN:** Well, we separated them.
- PAT: Well, procedurally you all have a motion before you. The question is first do you want to entertain the applicant's request to withdraw. That's something you have to discuss because it's within you purview to or not to.
- 34 HAL: Okay.
- **PAT:** You could deny this today and he could still resubmit for another date with more information. You could also table it and let him go forward for next month.
- 38

HAL: Oh, so we do have the option of tabling?

40

PAT: He's requested two things. One is to withdraw. And one is table. And I would assume that his first request is to table and the second is to withdraw.

- 44 **THOMPSON:** Yes.
- 46 HAL: Well, I'd like to poll the Board about how people feel about doing more this with this.

ORG149



2 **JAMES:** Well, I agree with Ben that there are not enough facts for the zero clearance, and if that can be provided later then I'm okay with that.

HAL: Ben how about you?

6

4

BEN: Well, I just—it's a procedural issue for me. If he wants to—if we want to table it in the middle of a motion.

- 10 HAL: And wait for more information. A question about that. Do we--.
- 12 **PAT:** I believe that under Robert's Rules the person who proposed their motion would have to withdraw, and the second would also have to withdraw. And then you could consider the request to table by the applicant.

14

HAL: Okay.

16

JAMES: Mr. Chair, I would entertain withdrawing the motion.

18

PAT: And then the second would have to be withdrawn.

20

JOHN: I would withdraw the second.

22

HAL: Okay, so the Board can now entertain the idea of continuing this to next month to try to get more information. I want to make sure that everybody feels comfortable with this.

- **BEN:** I want to have a report from the surveyor. I really would like to have some explanation of what's going on here because it's really—you know, it's just a lot of arm waving as far as I'm concern.
- 28

THOMPSON: I will get that for you.

30

BEN: And it isn't clear which way the arms are waving. Whether they're going on the front face, or the back
face, or who surveyed what and when. So you know you're at risk—I'm not going to vote for it if there's not a good survey.

34

THOMPSON: I understand.

36

BEN: So bring good survey. Bring a report. Bring the surveyor. No more arm waving.

38

40

HAL: Okay, do we now make a motion?

PAT: To table the Section 1B of this docket.

42

HAL: Okay, I would like to make a motion to table Section 1B of this docket.

PAT: Is there a second?

46

44





- 2 JOHN: Second.
- 4 **HAL:** We have a second. All those in favor "aye."
- 6 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS {John Dickinson, Ben Harding, Hal Osteen, James Ortega, and Michael Poe}: Aye.

ATTACHMENT ORG

- 8
- HAL: Motion carries. We'll see you next month.

10

ADJOURNED

12

The official record of this meeting is on tapes, available for public use at the Land Use Dept., 13th and Spruce, Boulder, CO (303) 441-3930.

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\MIN&AGN\BOA02-11MIN.DOC

Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306



Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex

ACHMENT OR

2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

November 18, 2002

Fred Ells 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder CO 80302

Dear Mr. Pomerantz:

This letter certifies that a hearing of the Board of Adjustment, County of Boulder, State of Colorado, was duly called and held on Wednesday, November 6, 2002, in consideration of the following request:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed
• .	addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for
	water storage.
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, TIN, R71W
Zoning:	Forestry (F)

The Board of Adjustment of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado, <u>APPROVED</u> the request for a variance to recognize and approve the setback variance for the structure constructed in 1986. The Board <u>TABLED</u> the request for the proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage to <u>Wednesday</u>, <u>December 4</u>, 2002.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (303) 441-3930.

Sincerely,

GmUx

Greg Oxenfeld, Planner II Current Planning Division

cc: All Adjacent Property Owners

Jana L. Mendez
 County Commissioner

3152

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Paul Danish County Commissioner

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\DOCKETS\VAR0215\15BOA-ACT.DOC

TACHMENT VAR-02-15: EUS <u>BOA</u> 11/6/02 Ben Thompson, attainey - nated the survey shows. So feet ad the new survey shaves a loss of about 2's fast - and is requesting approval for the acisting structure and with the by window extending fulther into the settack nating Plere is a 25-fort wide BLM property adjacent to He east side of the have - He green house caused cardensation problems that resulted in capenter arts, mold causing the dry wall to fall -notes the bay window makes the stairway to have better. access * provided photos - labeled Exhibits 123 - have no problem with praiding evidence that the drive does privide legal access - does admit with cutting reighbor's thees; neighbor has a sted for \$10,000 for the tree renaral to delete He objection of the variance want to use the garage for storage of a fire truck ad need the space (24'wide) to accommodate the file truck; ad use a 10×15' stad at the site -> nat requesting this be appraid at this fine for 2-500galla taks FIED Ells - note he ains the fire truck and wat to use 4" lines ad pumphase to get the water; the poposal shald not affect the Coldstein property **ORG153**

ATTACHMENT ORG ¶≈. [®] Applicants would be agreeable to table the application for the 200: 30 request, but that the BOA act on the addition Fred Ells nates that a hot tob was added about 4 years ago ad he enclosed the area underneath the hot tob and is attempting to neet all building codes # BOA approved the request to "table" the garage ad pump shed -5-0 - staff rotes ad applicant cations that into will be schnitted by the middle of Hal Osteen -questioned use of the driveway Lexitweek Ben Thangson : Fred Ells - nated the use of the driveway - notes the driveway is on both BLM property and the Ells property Susan Coldstein, adjacent property owner - notes there are two do cabins on the Grand View love that she ams, but did nation the land-gat clear title in the early 1990's ad suggested adequate easerents be pravided acquired for the existing access; nated Fred Ells cut trees on BLM lad and her property; nates she has a legal building lat and the removal of thes caused an impact to her building site; does not support the use of water tim He nine for the Fire District RG154

ATTACHMENT ORG Susan Coldstein - does not have a problem with the bay window addition portion of the application due to the BLM land between her property and the Ells property; nates He drive that crosses over the Grad View SUNShine F.P.D. -nated Fiel Ells has done an appropriate tree clearing of the property and supports the variance Fiel Ells - notes the survey shows only a 47,t - foot strack and it shald be SO feet wide Ben Harding and John Dickinsa tel that part of the structure is an BLM lad Fied Ells - states that Drexel Barrell will certify that the structure (bay window) is within the 47t-foot wide property James Ortega - apprave foot print of structure as approved in He 1986 building permit Hal Osteen - 200 Approved 5-0 * making sure the existing structure is within the subject James Ortega - denial of the kay window portion bha Dickinson - 2nd G155

ATTACHMENT ORG Ben Thopson - request the BOA "table" to allow the information be provided Ben Harding-request a letter cafirning an adequate survey and boundary Table to Mar Approve 5-0 RG156



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

S

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA

ATTACHMENT ORG

NOVEMBER 6, 2002 4:00 P.M. (Afternoon Session) 7:00 P.M. (Evening Session)

Hearing Room, Third Floor, Boulder County Courthouse



- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. APPROVAL OF MEETING SUMMARY Meeting Summary for October 2, 2002.
- III. PUBLIC HEARING

Docket VAR-02-13: POMERANTZ Variance

Request: A Variance to the front yard setback, where 110 ft. is required from the centerline of South Boulder Road (arterial) and the side yard setback where 10 ft. is required.
 Location: At 6812 South Boulder Road, between Boulder and Louisville, in Section 11, T1S, R70W.
 Zoning: Estate Residential (ER)
 Applicant: Anthony R. Pomerantz (Staff Planner: Greg Oxenfeld)

Docket VAR-02-14: LYNCH Variance

Request:Approval of a Variance of 8+/- feet to the minimum required side yard setback of
25 ft. in the Forestry (F) zone.Location:At 205 Hummer Drive, in Section 5, T1S, R72WZoning:Forestry (F)Applicants:Joshua & Mary Beth Lynch
(Staff Planner: Todd Tucker)

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Paul Danish County Commissioner,



¥.



BOA Agenda November 6, 2002 Page 2

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

 Request:
 A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage.

 Location:
 At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W

 Zoning:
 Forestry (F)

 Applicant:
 Fred Ells

 (Staff Planner: Greg Oxenfeld)

Docket AP-02-04: POLLARD Appeal

Appeal of the Land Use Director's determination that fee simple ownership of the Boulder Creek Supply Canal does not interrupt contiguity of a land parcel; by Jennifer A. Pollard. (Land Use Director: Graham Billingsley)

Board of Adjustment Dinner

The Board of Adjustment dinner will be in the 3rd Floor Conference Room of the County Courthouse.

THE EVENING SESSION F. 100 PM.

Docket AP-02-03: SIERRA CLUB Appeal

Appeal of the Land Use Director's determination of September 5, 2002, that special use permit/certificate of designation Docket SU-88-21 is still in effect; by John Barth / Sierra Club. (Land Use Director: Graham Billingsley)

IV. OTHER BUSINESS/ADJOURNMENT

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\MIN&AGN\BOA0211AGN.DOC





Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AGENDA ITEM

November 6, 2002 – 4:00 PM Hearing Room, Third Floor Boulder County Courthouse

PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Greg Oxenfeld

STAFF RECOMMENDATION RE:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for
	water storage. At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W.
Location:	At 6501 Subshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, 1110, K/1 w.
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicant:	Fred Ells

BACKGROUND:

The applicant is requesting three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage. The subject property is located at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive and was created in 1991 through a boundary line adjustment (SE-91-40). The applicant has submitted two building permits, including Building Permit Applications #02-1510 (addition) and #02-1624 (garage). The existing dwelling was originally constructed in 1986 (BP-86-467) and is 2,592 square feet (including garage). A variance for an eight-foot side yard setback (where 25 feet is required) was approved by the Board of Adjustment in June 1986 prior to the issuance of the building permit. The subject property is 50 feet wide and the chose the building site on the Dead Medicine mining claim between two BLM properties.

A recent Improvement Location Certificate (ILC) dated 09/20/02 shows the house was actually constructed 4.2 feet from the east property line at the northern end of the house. The applicant has indicated that he desired to reconstruct the east portion of the house and began the project without a building permit. As part of the reconstruction project, the applicant decided to include a bay window that encroaches further into the setback and this application is requesting approval for a 0-foot setback along the east side of the house. The bay window portion of the house is actually 0.2 feet from the property line.

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG159

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner



VAR-02-15: Ells BOA – November 6, 2002 Page 2 of 5

The ILC also shows the existing dwelling is 8.3 feet from the west property line. However, the applicant constructed a deck (shown on the 1986 building permit) on the west side of the house that is six foot wide and five feet high. Therefore, the deck is in violation of the approved 8-foot side yard setback approved by the Board of Adjustment in 1986, and the applicant is requesting that the Board recognize and approve a side yard setback along the west boundary of 2.3 feet where 25 feet is required.

The applicant is also requesting to construct a detached garage on the El Dorado mining claim that is approximately 69 feet wide and is part of the subject property. The garage is proposed to be 24 feet by 30 feet (720 square feet) and is requesting a 15-foot side yard setback on both sides where 25 feet is required. The garage would be located approximately 100 feet to the southeast of the existing house. The location for the garage does have some topographic issues and the applicant will need to provide substantial fill material in order to have a level site.

The application materials also include a request for a 0-foot setback and any other variance necessary for the use of the existing mine on the property that holds approximately 80,000 to 100,000 gallons of water, for use by the local fire district. The applicant notes that over the last several years there have been discussions with the district about installing a four-inch line from the water reservoir to a fire hydrant that will be located down the hill on County Road 205. The applicant has indicated that they may need a structure at the mine for a pump station. However, no plans have been provided to date.

<u>REFERRALS</u>:

Referrals were sent to adjacent property owners within 1,500 feet of the subject property, as well as to the Transportation Department, Parks & Open Space Department, and Health Department. The County Health Department has noted that they issued a permit for a raised ripped base system in 1994 for an individual sewage disposal system (ISDS). They note if the total number of bedrooms exceeds three, an addition to the system will be required. They also noted required setbacks from the absorption field and that the ISDS must meet current regulations. A response from Steven Harris (Osgood & Harris) on behalf of Susan Goldstein has responded with a primary concern that Mr. Ells has been accessing his real property over the Grand View Lode without any permanent legal right to use the road. The letter also notes that Mr. Ells or his agents cut a significant number of trees on the Grand View Lode without permission, and has caused her to reconsider the potential location of a residence to a location that will end up terminating the permission for Mr. Ells to cross the Grand View Lode because the new location will be on or near the existing road. Ms. Goldstein is also worried that the relaxation of the setbacks for the garage will affect her peaceful use of her property in the future and asks that the variance be denied until her issues with Mr. Ells are resolved. The letter does note that she has no problem with the addition to the main house. Jay Schumacher has responded as a neighbor and member of the Sunshine Fire Protection District noting that the addition looks nice and the water supply at the Dead Medicine mining claim with a turn around would be desirable, and therefore supports the variance. One other referral from an adjacent property owner (Johnson) has been received noting support for the proposal. The applicant also provided letters from two other adjacent property owners (Frey, Slarks) also noting support for the proposal.

Any additional responses that are received after the writing of this report will be provided to the Board during the public hearing.

ORG160

.: _



VAR-02-13: Ells BOA – November 6, 2002 Page 3 of 5

CRITERIA ANALYSIS:

To grant a variance, the Board must find that the following criteria have been satisfied:

(a) There exist exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope:

The subject property is only 50 feet wide for the first 500 feet along the north where the Dead Medicine overlaps the El Dorado and the Atchison creating a wider parcel. The location of the house was approved through a previous Board of Adjustment review for a setback variance. With regards to the addition, the applicant notes that there is a mineshaft and tailings pile in front of the house that is unsuitable for building. With regards to the garage structure, the applicant notes the El Dorado mining claim is only 69 feet wide. The location of the garage has been selected so that the existing driveway can serve as an emergency turnaround area for the fire district. Staff notes that a garage of approximately 19 feet wide could be constructed to meet the 25-foot side yard setback requirement.

(b) Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of the Code creates an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner;

The applicant notes that the 1986 Variance stated that without a variance, this lot is unbuildable and the applicant's investment would be lost. Currently, staff does not have this same opinion as there is no guarantee in the Land Use Code that the proposed addition or garage would be approved.

(c) The hardship is not self-imposed;

The applicant was aware of the setback requirements at the time that he began to reconstruct the east portion of the house and began the project without a building permit.

(d) The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the uses of adjacent property as permitted under this code;

A response from Steven Harris (Osgood & Harris) on behalf of Susan Goldstein has responded with a primary concern that Mr. Ells has been accessing his real property over the Grand View Lode without any permanent legal right to use the road. The letter also notes that Mr. Ells or his agents cut a significant number of trees on the Grand View Lode without permission, and has caused her to reconsider the potential location of a residence to a location that will end up terminating the permission for Mr. Ells to cross the Grand View Lode because the new location will be on or near the existing road. Ms. Goldstein is also worried that the relaxation of the setbacks for the garage will affect her peaceful use of her property in the future and asks that the variance be denied until her issues with Mr. Ells are resolved. There are three letters from adjacent property owners noting support for the application. Staff has not received any other responses with regards to the referral. The rationale behind the 25-foot setback is to improve public safety. The applicant has noted that the nearest neighbor (Slarks) is approximately 300-400 feet down the hill and they have no problems with the proposed building permits. Also of note, the applicant is involved in a boundary line adjustment (SE-02-14) with the property on the north side of Sunshine Canyon Drive and one of the conditions is to resolve the current building permit issues with Ells.





VAR-02-15: Ells BOA – November 6, 2002 Page 4 of 5

(e) The variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of the Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan;

This lot is within the Forestry Zoning District. Article 4-101 of the Land Use Code establishes the character of this district and states that the purpose of the district is to efficiently use land to conserve forest resources, protect the natural environment, and preserve open areas. An aspect of this character is established through the implementation of specific setback distances. The Land Use Code does provide for additions and detached garages, subject to review and approval in accordance with the provisions of the Land Use Code. However, staff finds that the proposed addition and garage could be altered in a manner that is in keeping with the provisions of the previous variance approval, Land Use Code, Comprehensive Plan, and the character of the zoning district. Staff notes that there is no guarantee in the Land Use Code that the proposed addition or garage would be otherwise approved. According to the Assessor's records, the average square footage of improvements on parcels within 1,500 feet of this site is 2,374 square feet. The applicants are proposing a small addition that will bring the total house up to just over 2,600 square feet. However, the addition will be 0.2 feet from the east property line. The garage will be approximately 720 square feet.

(f) The variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

The Boulder County Comprehensive Plan provides the basis for the Land Use Code. The Land Use Code is enacted to protect and promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the present and future inhabitants of Boulder County and to guide future growth, development, and distribution of land uses within Boulder County (see Article 1-300 of the Land Use Code). The provisions of this Code shall be regarded as the minimum requirements for the protection of the public health, safety, and general welfare (see Article 1-900 of the Land Use Code). The applicants proposed encroachment into the side yard setbacks is not in keeping with the intent of the Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan. Staff finds that the proposed addition and detached garage could be altered in a manner that is in keeping with the provisions of the previous variance approval, Land Use Code, Comprehensive Plan while maintaining the minimum established requirements for the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare for the protection of public health, safety and use for the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare for the provisions of the previous variance approval. The existing home is setback approximately 4.2 feet from the east property line and the applicant is proposing to encroach four feet further into the setback.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff finds that all of the criteria cannot be met to grant this variance request, as noted above. Therefore, the Land Use Department staff recommends that the Board of Adjustment <u>**DENY**</u> Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance, a Variance for an addition to an existing dwelling of 0.2 feet from the east property line, where 25 feet is required; and a Variance for a garage of 15 feet from both side yards where 25 feet is required. Staff has no information to present for a structure at the existing mine for a water storage facility and therefore cannot recommend a blanket approval without an expiration date as requested by the applicant.





VAR-02-15: Ells BOA – November 6, 2002 Page 5 of 5

However, staff would note that the existing structure (except for the addition constructed without an approved building permit) did receive a building permit in 1986 and was constructed with a 4.2-foot setback on the east side and an 8.3-foot setback on the west side. Additionally, the 1986 building permit does show the six-foot wide deck on the west side and is only 2.3 feet from the west property line. Therefore, staff can recommend that the Board of Adjustment recognize and approve the setback variance for the structure constructed in 1986. Should the Board be inclined to approve any other portions of the applicant's request, staff recommends that the application be subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The applicant shall provide evidence of legal access prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 2) A setback survey will be required to verify that the location of the structure is as approved by the Board of Adjustment on November 6, 2002 in Docket VAR-02-15. The setback survey verification form must be completed by a Colorado licensed Surveyor and provided to the Building Division prior to the request for foundation inspection with the County Building Division.
- 3) The proposed structures are subject to the provisions of the Land Use Code, which may include a Limited Impact Special Use (grading in excess of 500 cubic yards) or other process as required by the Land Use Code (as amended).

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\Dockets\VAR0215\15BREC.doc









ORG167



ORG168







ATTACHMENT ORG

SCALE 1'=100'

ORIVE 1. L ام جه

AL IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE





ATTACHMENT ORG

arr guick

QEV-109.7

-<u>+</u>e

er quer

7

HOUSE

93.0

1060

de

εV

ELEV- 93.9

61.EY + 9.2

<u>ORG174</u>
BOULDER COUNTY LAUGUE CERATINENT BOULDER COUNTY LAUGUE CERATINENT BOULDER COUNTY LAUGUE CERATINENT PLANDUSE PORTS 17 Notifies Ceration Constraint Address APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION DEADLINE PHOT WECKESCAV OF INFOMUTH 4 20 PHOT WITH THE CONSTRAINT ADDRESS PROVIDE THE CONSTRAINT OF ADDRESSARD PROVIDE THE CONSTRAIN	Control of the series of	· .		ATT	ACHMENT	ORG		
BOULDER COUNTY LAUGU USE DEPARTMENT BOULDER COUNTY LAUGU USE DEPARTMENT BOULDER COUNTY LAUGU USE DEPARTMENT STORE DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION FORM APPLICATION DEPARTMENT COUNTY APPLICATION COUNTY APPLICATION COUNTY APPLICATION COUNTY COUNT	BOULDER COUNTY LAND USE DEPARTMENT DEPARTM	_						
APPLICATION FORM PAPPLICATION FORM PAPPLICATION FORM PAST PLOY OF ALL OF A 2 2002 PAST PLOY OF ALL OF A	APPLICATION FORM PPST VEORESCAP OF THE MONTH- 4.30 WILL DURING CONCENTRATION DEADLINE FIRST VEORESCAP OF THE MONTH- 4.30 WILL DURING CONCENTRATION DEADLINE FIRST VEORESCAP OF THE MONTH- 4.30 WILL DURING CONCENTRATION DEADLINE FIRST VEORESCAP OF THE MONTH- 4.30 WILL DURING CONCENTRATION DEADLINE FIRST VEORESCAP OF THE MONTH- 4.30 WILL DURING CONCENTRATION DEADLINE CONTINUES OFFICIAL UNIT OFFICIAL PROVIDE CONTINUES OFFICIAL U	Boulder	Sth Street / 13th & S P.O. Box 471 / B	pruce Streets / Courthous oulder, Colorado 80306		INT		AS FOR STAFF ONLY
APPLICATION DEADLINE PHOST VENSION OF LIMITED MONTH - 430 Design by to Emproce Rels. See Pen Review. POLICIT NUMBER ************************************	APPLICATION DEADLINE LAND USE FIRST FUENDING AS DEFINITION DESCRIPTION PROVINCE # 250 - # 5000 INDUCTIONS ADDRENGT AND PROVINCE # 250 - # 5000 INDUCTIONS ADDRENGT PROVINCE 0 EXECUTION ADDRENGT PROVINCE INDUCTIONS ADDRENGT PROVINCE 1 EXECUTION INDUCTIONS ADDRENGT PROVINCE 1 EXECUTI		APPI	ICATION FO	RM	2	l o o o	
PROJECT NUMBER PROJECT NUME • BOALVARANCE APPENDAL • DOALVARANCE APPENDAL • DOALVARANCE APPENDAL • DOALVARANCE APPENDAL • DOALVARANCE APPENDAL • O RESOLUTION • DOALVARANCE APPENDAL • O RESOLUTION • O RESOLUTIO	PROJECT HUMBER PROJEC		FIRST WED Does not aply 1	NESDAY OF THE MONT Concerning Examption Plats, Site Plan	H - 4:30 n Reviews,			
O EXEMPTION PLAT O FORDE NAME CHANNEE O FORDE NAME CHANNEE O FREELININGER PLAN O O THER O RESUBJONSION INFERIAT O SUBDIVISION NEWLAT O FREELININGER O FREELININGER O FREELININGER O THER CEATONIS: STREET ADDRESSIES SUDSALINE CLANS CLANS O TONIS HER EVEN CEATONIS: STREET ADDRESSIES SUDSALINE CLANS CLANS O TONIS HER EVEN CEATONIS: STREET ADDRESSIES SUDSALINE CLANS FOR DEASTREY NameEric UBDOVISION HAME NAME AA CLANS FOR DEASTREY NameEric UBDOVISION HAME NAME FOR DEASTREY NameEric 71 NameEric 71 UBDOVISION HAME NAME FOR DEASTREY NameEric 71 NameEric 71 UBDOVISION HAME NAME FOR DEASTREY NAMEERIC FOR DEASTREY NAMEERIC FOR DEASTREY NAMEERIC 71 UPPLICANTER DOVERN FARE STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS 71 FAR NAMEERIC	0 EXEMPTION FLAT 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 EXEMPTION FLAT 0 SECONARE CONTRACT 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 EXEMPTION FLAT 0 SECONARE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 EXEMPTION FLAT 0 SECONARE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE 0 O FRACE <td>PROJECT NUMBER</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td># 2.50</td> <td></td>	PROJECT NUMBER					# 2.50	
6301 SUNSHINE CYN SUBCINISION NAME N/A DT(S) BLOCKISJ DT(S) BLOCKISJ VERAIN ACRES ISECTIONIGI NERAIN ACRES IN ROPOSED WATER SUPERI POPOSED SENTER SUPERI WELL POPOSED SENTER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED SENTER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED WATER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED SENTER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED WATER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED SENTER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSED WATER SUPERI MERLIN POPOSE NUMBER STATE IPPOSE NUMBER </td <td>6301 SUNSAINE CYN UBDIVISION NAME N/A OTGS BLDEKIS GECTIONISI BERLINARE I.S.Z. DEDEKIS ENDERING MERLINARES I.S.Z. ROPOSED WITER SLOPK PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING PROFOSED WITER SLOPK WELL OPPLICANT PROFERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING PROFOSED WITER SLOPK MELL OPPLICANT PROFERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING DEVELUE APPLICANTS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE <t< td=""><td>O EXEMPTION PLAT O LIMITED IMPACT SPEC O LOCATION & EXTENT</td><td>CIAL USE</td><td>O ROAD NAME CHANGE O SITE PLAN REVIEW O SPECIAL USE/SSDP</td><td>O PRELIM O FINAL P O BOAD/E</td><td>INARY PLAN LAT ASEMENT VACATION</td><td>O OTHER:</td><td>ON OF APPROVAL</td></t<></td>	6301 SUNSAINE CYN UBDIVISION NAME N/A OTGS BLDEKIS GECTIONISI BERLINARE I.S.Z. DEDEKIS ENDERING MERLINARES I.S.Z. ROPOSED WITER SLOPK PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING PROFOSED WITER SLOPK WELL OPPLICANT PROFERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING PROFOSED WITER SLOPK MELL OPPLICANT PROFERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE PROFOSED SENAGE DISPOSING DEVELUE APPLICANTS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE STREET ADDRESS DEVELUE <t< td=""><td>O EXEMPTION PLAT O LIMITED IMPACT SPEC O LOCATION & EXTENT</td><td>CIAL USE</td><td>O ROAD NAME CHANGE O SITE PLAN REVIEW O SPECIAL USE/SSDP</td><td>O PRELIM O FINAL P O BOAD/E</td><td>INARY PLAN LAT ASEMENT VACATION</td><td>O OTHER:</td><td>ON OF APPROVAL</td></t<>	O EXEMPTION PLAT O LIMITED IMPACT SPEC O LOCATION & EXTENT	CIAL USE	O ROAD NAME CHANGE O SITE PLAN REVIEW O SPECIAL USE/SSDP	O PRELIM O FINAL P O BOAD/E	INARY PLAN LAT ASEMENT VACATION	O OTHER:	ON OF APPROVAL
DTG: EVDXXSI SECTIONISI TOWNSHIPS) IN RANGEISI DTG: EXAMPLES IN RANGEISI IN RANGEISI AREA IN ACRES I.S.Z. EXAMPLES IN READERSTING LISE OF PROPOSED INTS REPOSED WATER SUPPLY WELL PROPOSED SENAGE DISPOSITION SETTIC APPLICANTS STATE ISTATE SETING G.301 STATE APPLICANTS STATE ISTATE STATE G.301 RANUMER APPLICANT, PROPERTY OWNER STATE ISTATE ISTATE ISTATE ISTATE APPLICANT, PROPERTY OWNER STATE ISTATE	My/A BUCKIS SECTIONISI TOWNSHIPS /// MARGEISI 7/ MERA NARES 1.5.2 EXSTING ZONING EXSTING JOINTO EXSTING USE OF PROPERTY INJUNEER OF PROPOSED COTS 7/ MORGED WATER SUPPLY WELL SECTION FOR DESTING USE OF PROPERTY INJUNEER OF PROPOSED COTS MULLIANT PROPORTY OWNER EIIS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS INJUNEER MULLIANT PROPORTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INTERET ADDRESS INJUNEER MULLIANT PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INTERET ADDRESS INJUNEER MULLIANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INTERET ADDRESS INJUNEER MULLIANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INT INJUNEER INJUNEER MIT STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER INJUNEER INJUNEER GENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS INJUNEER INJUNEER INJUNEER GENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS INJUNEER INJUNEER INJUNEER GENT/CONSULTANT STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER INJUNEER INJUNEER GENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>SUNSHIN</td><td>е Су</td><td>N</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			SUNSHIN	е Су	N		
AREA IN ACRES I.S.Z. EXISTING USE OF PROPERTY IV/// IV//// IV//// IV////////	REA IN ACRES INSTITUC ZONING INSTITUC ZONING INSTITUC ZONING INSTITUC ZONING INSTITUC ZONING INSTITUCE CONTROL OF PROPERTY MOPOGED WATER SUPEX WELL PROPOSED SEWAGE DISPOSAL METHOD SEPTIC MPUCANT PROPERTY OWER FREE 1 STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS DPUCANT PROPERTY OWER FAIL STREET ADDRESS INSTITUT DPUCANT PROPERTY OWER STREET ADDRESS INSTITUT STREET ADDRESS DPUCANT PROPERTY OWER SAME INSTITUT INTER 2000 DPUCANT PROPERTY OWER SAME INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DPUCANT PROPERTY OWER STREET ADDRESS INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DPUCANT PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DPUCANT PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DEPUTOR NUMBER INTE 2000 PHONE NUMBER INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DEPUTOR NUMBER STREET ADDRESS INTER 2000 INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DEPUTOR NUMBER INTER 2000 INTER 2000 INTER 2000 INTER 2000 DEPUTOR NUMBER INTER 200000 INTER 200000 <		NA					
1,5,5,4 FOR EQSTREXY PROPOSED WATER SUPPLY WELL PROPOSED SERVICE DISPOSAL VEHIOD SERVICE STREET ADDRESS PAPPLICANT/FROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS BAPULCANT/FROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS BAPULCANT/FROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS BAPULCANT/FROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS	11.5.2 FOR ESSTRY NOPOSED WATER SUPPLY WELL WALL PPOPOSED SERVACE DISPOSAL METROD VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STATE 2P CODE VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STATE 2P CODE VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VPUICANTER OPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VPUICANTER OPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VT STATE 2P CODE VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VT STATE 2P CODE VPUICANTERPOPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS VT STATE 2P CODE VPONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER ITY STATE 2P CODE VT STATE 2P CODE VT STATE 2P CODE VT STATE 2P CODE VEXTORSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER CETTITICONSULTANT STATE 2P CODE CETTITICONSULTANT STATE	.0 ^T (S)	BLOCK(S)	SECT		TOWNSHIP(S)	N	RANGE(S)
WELL SEPTIC APPLICANTS STREET ADDRESS DEV DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUM DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUMER DEV BARNUMER STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STATE ZP CODE IPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS STATE ZP CODE IPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS STATE ZP CODE STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS STATE ZP CODE STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS STATE ZP CODE STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STATE STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER F	WELL SEPTIC IPPUCANTRROPERTY OWNER ETREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET STREET ADDRESS FAX NUMBER IPPUCANTRROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS FAX NUMBER IPPUCANTREV STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER () IPPUCANTROPERTY STATE ZP CODE FAX NUMBER IPPUCANTROPERTY STATE ZP CODE FAX NUMBER <td< td=""><td>1.52</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>FOREST</td><td></td><td>DPOSED LOTS</td></td<>	1.52				FOREST		DPOSED LOTS
APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER EIIS STREET ADDRESS Structure G301 Structure G301 Structure G301	UPPLICANT.PROPERTY OWNER EIIS STREET ADDRESS SWALL G. 201 SWALL SWALL G. 201 SWALL G. 201 SWALL SWALL<	PROPOSED WATER SUPPLY	WELL		PROPOSED SEV	AGE DISPOSAL METHOD	TIC	
FREI EIIS G301 Sumulation <	FREIN ETIS G.301 Sumulant FAX NUMBER Boullan STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER FAX NUMBER INTY STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER STREET ADDRESS INTY STATE 2P CODE PHONE NUMBER () IPPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS () IPPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS FAX NUMBER ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () IPPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS () () ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS () () ICTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () ICTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () ICTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () ICTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () ICTY STATE ZP CODE	$\mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$			APPLICANTS			
APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER INPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER INPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER INF STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER INGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consenting to an matter processed. I understand that public hearings or medures Junderstand that additional free approval. I understand that I am consenting to alow the	IPPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER IPPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS IPPONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () IST STREET ADDRESS () () ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () IST STREET ADDRESS () () () IST STREET ADDRESS () () () IST STREET ADDRESS () () () IST STREET ADDRESS () () () IST STREET ADDRESS () () () IST STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () IST STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER () () () IST STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () (FRE	1) E	115		6301	Sunshin	e Cyn
SAME PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER DITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS DITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER AGENT/CONSULTANT CITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER AGENT/CONSULTANT CITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STATE STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER STATE ZIP CODE ZIP CODE <p< td=""><td>SAME Internationation ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () STREET ADDRESS ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () INTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STATE () STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () Icertify that the information and axhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the bast of my knowledge. In filing the application 1 am acting with the knowledge and consent of those period sone and park to this application 1 understand that an equired by addited communes of the subject property are pariter to this application 1 understand that an equired by addited c</td><td>Boulder</td><td></td><td>2° code 80302</td><td>(303) 4</td><td></td><td>FAX NUMBER</td><td>~ 1</td></p<>	SAME Internationation ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () STREET ADDRESS ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () ITY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () INTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS STTY STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS STATE () STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () Icertify that the information and axhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the bast of my knowledge. In filing the application 1 am acting with the knowledge and consent of those period sone and park to this application 1 understand that an equired by addited communes of the subject property are pariter to this application 1 understand that an equired by addited c	Boulder		2° code 80302	(303) 4		FAX NUMBER	~ 1
APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER () APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS SITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () () AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () STREET ADDRESS () () AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () () () AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS () () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () () () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () () () CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () () () I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted ar	Implication / PROPERTY OWNER () Implication / PROPERTY OWNER STREET ADDRESS Implication / STATE ZP CODE Implication / State Fax NUMBER Implication / State Fax NUMBER Implication / State Fax NUMBER Implication / State The result of the splication / State Implication / State The result of the splication / State Implication / State The result of the splication / State	APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNE	SAME		i	STREET ADDRESS		
STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (_) (_) AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (_) (_) (_) AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (_) (_) (_) (_) AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (_) (_) (_) (_) AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS (_) CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (_) (_) (_) (_) (_) AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS (_) (_) CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER (_) I certify that the Information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know marks are guired by Boulder Communed. Inderstand that all materials required by Boulder Comare parties to this application or their desig	ITY STATE ZIP GODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (TTY	STATE	2P CODE		1	FAX NUMBER	
AGENT/CONSULTANT AGENT/CONSULTANT STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () AGENT/CONSULTANT STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER () AGENT/CONSULTANT CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all imaterials required by Boulder CC must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional fees I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at a second bio of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at a second bio of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at a second bio of approval. CATE	Image: State Image: State <td< td=""><td>APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNE</td><td>R</td><td><u></u></td><td><u></u></td><td>STREET ADDRESS</td><td>· ·</td><td></td></td<>	APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNE	R	<u></u>	<u></u>	STREET ADDRESS	· ·	
DTTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () NGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS DTTY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the known and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Comust be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional feese materials may be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that additional feese be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that additional feese be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that be read, school and park dedication be required as a condition of approval. I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this approval. Onte Teres X Inderstand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this approval. Inderstand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this approval. X Inderstand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this approval. Inderstand that I ampered to the subject property at reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. X Interstan	STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () IGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER Fax NUMBER () () () () ICERTIFICATION Fax number () I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are partiel sto this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Counters to the submitted profered to that additional fees on the submitted proferot to having this matter processed. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Counters to the persons who are owners of the subject property or are partiel sto this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Counters to the persons of this docket. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Counters to the persons of the subject property at an reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved In this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at an reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. SIGNATURE DATE PLEASE NOTE PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • AFFT1/12-3-B7/REV.5-28-06/REV.224-00/	ЧТI:	STATE	ZIP CODE			FAX NUMBER	
AGENT/CONSULTANT () AGENT/CONSULTANT STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () () CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are partles to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Go must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedication is be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedication I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at a reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. SIGNATURE DATE Y DATE PLEASE NOTE DATE	Image: State in the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowle and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Geo must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that addition after Geodetic are the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Geo must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that addition after Geodetic as a condition of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County State Involved in this application of the reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. OATE SIGNATURE OATE 9-30-200 Z PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • AFFT1/12-947/REV 5-8649/REV2-24-00/	AGENT/CONSULTANT				STREET ADDRESS		
CITY STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () () CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. Lunderstand that all materials required by Boulder Commust be submitted prior to having this matter processed. Lunderstand that public hearings or meetings may be required. Lunderstand that additional fees to equired as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. Lunderstand that the road, school and park dedication be required as a condition of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent DATE 9-30-200 Z SIGNATURE DATE PLEASE NOTE	STATE ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER () CERTIFICATION () Certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowle and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. Lunderstand that all materials required by Boulder Courses of the subject property or are parties to this application. Lunderstand that all materials required by Boulder Courses of the subject property or are parties to this docket. Lunderstand that all materials required that additional fees on their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at an reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. OATE 9-30-200 Z SIGNATURE DATE DATE DATE DATE V PLEASE NOTE EASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 528-96/REV2-24-00/		STATE	ZIP CODE		R	FAX NUMBER	
CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Commust be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional fees to find that and the road, school and park dedication be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedication be required as a condition of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at the reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. SIGNATURE DATE PLEASE NOTE	CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Courd must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional fees on haterials may be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedications be required as a condition of approval. I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application of their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at an reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. SIGNATURE DATE V DATE PLEASE NOTE PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • 0 PLEASE SEE BACK •	AGENT/CONSULTANT				STREET ADDRESS		
I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the know and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Commust be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional fees be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedication be required as a condition of approval. I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. DATE NIGNATURE NIGNATURE NIGNATURE NIGNATURE NIGNATURE PLEASE NOTE	I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowle and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are partles to this application. I understand that all materials required by Boulder Cours must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that additional fees on haterials may be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school and park dedications be required as a condition of approval. I understand that i am consenting to allow the County Staff Involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at an reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent. SIGNATURE ANTE PLEASE NOTE PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-06/REV2-24-00/	י אחג	STATE	ZIP CODE		· · ·	FAX NUMBER	
SIGNATURE DATE 9-30-2002 SIGNATURE DATE DATE DATE	AFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-96/REV2-24-00/	and consent of those pe must be submitted pr naterials may be required	ersons who are own for to having this m i as a result of cons	ers of the subject propert atter processed. I undersi iderations which may ans be requ w the County Staff Involve	d correct to the best of n y or are parties to this ag tand that public hearing: e in the processing of th lired as a condition of ag d in this application or the	plication, I understan s or meetings may be i is docket. I understan proval. jeir designees to enter	d that all materials n equired. I understar d that the road, scho	equired by Boulder Cour id that additional fees or iol and park dedications
DATE DATE DATE	DATE PLEASE NOTE PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS • • PLEASE SEE BACK • AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-96/REV.2-24-00/		11		e, waabat ootaining any		DATE 9-	30-2007
PLEASE NOTE	PLEASE NOTE PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-98/REV.2-24-00/ PLEASE SEE BACK •		y pv					
	PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS PLEASE SEE BACK AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-98/REV.2-24-00/	Δ						<u> </u>
PLEASE REFER TO THE REGULATIONS AND APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PACKAGE FOR COMPLETE APPLICATION REGULATIONS IN A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO	● PLEASE SEE BACK ● AFFT1/12-3-97/REV. 5-28-98/REV.2-24-00/	PLEASE	REFER TO THE RE	SULATIONS AND APPLICA		AGE FOR COMPLETE	APPLICATION REO	



October 1, 2002

*;***••

Boulder Land Use Department Board of Adjustment 2045 Spruce, Boulder, CO 80306

Re: 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Dear Board of Adjustment,

This letter is a request for the approval of three separate variances involving my property, which consists of three mining claims: Dead Medicine, El Dorado and Atchison, located at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

ATTACHMENT ORG

The first variance request is for 0 feet side setback on a proposed addition of a bay window structure. The original variance of 8 feet setback was approved by the BOA on June 30 of 1986.

As indicated in the original variance, the building site was chosen between two BLM properties, one in front and one in back of the house to remedy set back issues. Attached is an improvement location certificate which indicates that the improvements are located within the boundaries of the Dead Medicine mining claim. It also shows the BLM property to be approximately 25 feet between the Dead Medicine and the Grand View mining claims.

The reason for the 0 feet setback request is for a bay window which is shown to be .2 (two tenths) feet from the property line. Also, there is a six foot wide deck on the back south end of the house that is five feet high, with a crawl space that is within the eight foot setback. This deck was indicated on the original approved building permit in 1986.

If the variance is granted, it will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located and will be in keeping with the intent of the Boulder County comprehensive plan. Has a comprehensive plan changed since 1986 and is the following statement still true? The zoning resolution requires a minimum of 50 feet between buildings on adjacent properties in a forest district. If this is the criteria for the setback rules, then I think I demonstrated that the spirit of his rule is being followed.

The second variance request is for a 15 foot setback (both sides) for the proposed detached garage located on the El Dorado mining claim.

The third variance request is for 0 feet setback and any other variance necessary for the use of the existing mine, which holds approximately 80,000 to 100,000 gallons of water, for use by the local fire department. I would also like to request that this variance not have an expiration date, as long as the water is in use by the fire department.

Over the last several years there have been discussions with the fire departments on installing a 4 in. line from the water reservoir to a fire hydrants that will be located down the hill on County Road 205. At the present time there are several houses located on a road and there are plans for several more houses. I can't help but remind you how important fire protection is for those who live in the mountains.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please feel free to call me @ 303-442-5340.

Sincerely,

Ma Ma

Fred Ells



FRED ELLS

6301 Sunshine Canyon. Boulder, Co 80302 303 442-5340

Boulder County Board of adjustment hardship statement:

September 30, 2002

- 1. Explained how the following criteria for granting of varying have been satisfied.
 - a. There exist exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as an irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope.

ATTACHMENT ORG

(Quote from 1986 variance) Property is only 50 foot wide for the first 500 feet along the North where the Dead Medicine overlaps the El Dorado and the Atchison creating a wider parcel, but there are mine tailings and a very steep slope with no access.

The location of the house was chosen between two BLM lots, one in front and one in back of the house to help with this setback issue. Also there was an already existing mining road (now my driveway) that went to an exploratory hole that was approximately eight feet deep, which was further excavated and the house was built on this site. In front of the house is the mine shaft and tailings pile of the Grandview mining claim, which is unsuitable for building.

There are two north-south roads that connect right in front of my house (one leading to the south side of my house, and the other to the El Dorado mining claim). The total width is about 20 feet wide. The bay window is a few feet from the closest road (leading to south side of my house) so that fire access around the house is in no way diminished.

The El Dorado mining claim is only 69 feet wide. The location for the garage has been picked so that the driveway can serve as an emergency turnaround spot for the fire department. On the Dead Medicine mining claim there is a tunnel what holds approximately 100,000 gallons of water which is very important water source for the fire district and would be the largest reservoir in Sunshine Canyon.

b. Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of this code would create an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner

(Quote from 1986 variance) without a variance this lot is unbuildable and the applicants investment would be lost



- ATTACHMENT ORG
- c. The hardship is not the self-imposed.

These are mining claims and were created in the 1800s. The initial mining claims were fifty feet wide. Mining claims have caused considerable boundary line problems and are very hard to work with. When I purchased these mining claims in 1986 the County Board of Adjustment was very interested in helping develop residences in the mountains.

d. The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the use of adjacent property has permitted under this code.

The nearest neighbor to the east is Richard & Barb Slarks, approximately 300 to 400 feet down the hill. The Slarks have no problem with the proposed building permits as mentioned in their letter.

e. That the variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of this code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan; and

The 4' \times 8' bay window in no way will change the house from a single-family two-bedroom home. The garage with attached greenhouse is very typical in a mountain neighborhood.

f. That the variance, if granted, does not adversely affect that health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

The bay window is very important to enhance the architectural of the house and is typical of similar houses in the neighborhood. Without this design we have a two-story square box.

The greenhouse was removed from the front of my home due to an infestation of ants and mold. It was very unhealthy and was destroying my house.

fed Ells

ORG178

- 4



EAST SIDE OF DWELLING PER 1986 BLD. PERMIT



EAST SIDE OF DWELLING - CURRENT CONSTRUCTION





EAST SIDE OF DWELLING - CURRENT CONSTRUCTION





LOOKING EAST FROM NEW CONSTRUCTION

DATE RECEIVED + OFFICE USE ONLY			
County LAND USE 2040 14th Stree	et • 14th & Spruce • P.O. Box 4	DING SAFETY & INSPE	
	Ext. 3925 • Ward: 459-3435. Ext.	n1: 678-6060 Ext. 3925	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PROJECT ADDRESS		APARTMENT #	CITY ZIP CODE
6301 Sunching	_ yn	SECTION	TOWNSHIP RANGE
OWNERSHIP			N 7(
OWNERSNAME DAYPHONE	CONTRACTOR SNAW		DAY PHONE
ADDRESS FRED EIIS 303 44253 6301 Sunchur apr 44253	ADDRESS		NIGHT PHONE
CITY REAL STATE ZIP CODE			STATE ZIP CODE
DESCRIBETHE PROJECT Buy Window Rimokel BP-02-1510	Deta	ched gorage	ESTIMATED COST
UBP-02-151V TYPE OF PROJECT (Check One)	BP-02-1	76 Z 4 TYPE OF STRUCTUR	\$ 3E (Check One)
Image:		AND FOUR FAMILY DWEL MORE FAMILY DWELLIN HOME IE <u>D GARAGE</u> OR CARPORT TOR RELIGIOUS BUILDING RIAL BUILDING E STATION OR REPAIR GA	ELLING (Includes Townhouses) LING G TFOR A DWELLING G NRAGE IG G Building. etc.)
STRUCTURE DATA . EXISTING TO BE CONSTR HEIGHT OF STRUCTURE	BASEMENT	New construction & additions O	
NUMBER OF STORIES	 1ST FLOOR		<u>SQ FT</u> <u>SQ FT</u> SQ FT <u></u> SQ FT
NUMBER DF DWELLINGS	2ND FLOOR	<u> </u>	50 FT S0 FT
NUMBER OF BEDRODMS	ALL OTHER FLO	 DRS	SQ. FT. SQ. FT
NUMBER DF BATHROOMS	OTHER:	·	SQ. FT. SQ FT
PROPERTY SIZE:	OTHER:		50 FT 50 FT
FRONT SETBACK EXISTING SIDE SETBACK EXISTING SIDE SETBACK EXISTING REAR SETBACK EXIS	STING FT	TOTAL	
FRONT SETBACK PROPOSED SIDE SETBACK PROPOSED SIDE SETBACK PROPOSED REAR SETBACK PRO	GARAGE	DECK	GREENHOUSE
	FT RICAL SERVICE (V One)	GAS SERVICE One	SO FT. SO FT ACCESS (# Dre)
COMMUNITY WELL COMMUNITY	N REA	PUBLIC SERVICE CO. PROPANE NONE OTHER (Name)	DEXISTING DRIVEWAY
CE	RTIFICATION		
I hereby certify that the above information is correct and agree to construct thi and in strict compliance with all the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. Bu	is building in accordance wit Ilding Code. Electrical Code.	h the plot, building plans and and Health and Plumbing R	d specifications submitted herewith. egulations of the County of Boulder.
	DATE 10-1-	2002 TITLE	er/builder
OPC192	<u>_</u>		E115 1 7

		AT	TACHM	IENT	ORO	G					
	5 B o		AND USE DEPA 2045 13th Stre	RTMENT B	UILDING	SAFETY & I	NSPECTIC	N SERVICE		18	85 -
	ΓΥ `								-02-	10	
PROJECT STREET ADDRESS			ROJECT	LOCAT		BLOCK	SUBDIVISIO	N		······	
630	Same	hind	Cyn		 					1	
CITY/ZIP CODE			0				SECTION	TOW	NSHIP	RANGE	
	WNERSHIP		NE NUMBER	CONTRACTOR	C NAME	CO	NTRAC	TOR		-	
OWNERS NAME FRED	EIIS		12.534C	7	13 TOWL						
ADDRESS 6301 SUM	shine Cy		HONE NUMBER	ADDRESS					NGH	IT PHONE NU	MBER
CITY	E ZIP CODE	FAX NUN	BER	CITY		STA	NTE ZI	CODE	FAX	NUMBER	
Nour C	0 8030		ESCRIBE 1	HE PRO.	JECT				ES		cost
(At lin	to She	d -							\$	1660	00
Atilia			(3X	15					[•
TYPE OF PROJECT	(√ One)			TYPE	OFST	RUCTUR	E (√ 0	ne)			<u> </u>
(01) I New Structure (02) Addition To: (02) Addition To: (03) Remodel (04) Electrical (07) Demolition of Structure (09) Change of Use (09) Moved-In Structure		Hotel or N	Ily or Attached D Four Family Dwe Aotel Potter Religious Building, Manufi tation & Repair (Garage	Illing Building Building acturing Plant, Garage		nhouse)	(19) (10) (1	tore, Custon am, Storage obile/Manufa ublic Works, pol, Hot Tub,	Shed, Outbu actured Home Utility Buildin	e g	ure)
	EXISTING	TO BE CO	INSTRUCTED		cĉ	MPLETE	ONLY F	OR NEW	PROJEC		
HEIGHT OF STRUCTURE			.0	STF	UCTUR		·	NISHED		FINISHE	D
		FT. /		BASEME	л			SC	λFT.		SQ. FI
			\$	1ST STO	RY		1). FT.		SQ. FT
NUMBER OF BATHROOMS		C)	2ND STO	RY		† — —		а. FT.	- <u></u>	<u>50, F</u>
		`````````````````````````````````		3RD STO	RY	- <u></u>). FT.		
SETBACKS (Distance	e of Project to	Property Li	nes)	OTHER S	TORIES	·					<u>SQ. FT</u>
FRONT SETBACK EXISTING SIDE SETACK EXISTING			BACK EXISTING	GARAGE	~	Rok	<u> </u>). FT.		SQ. FT.
FT. FRONT SETBACK PROPOSED SIDE SETBACK PROPOSED	SIDE SETBACK PROPOS	SED REAR SET	ET ACK PROPOSED	·	N		<u> </u>		LFT.	<u>75</u>	SQ. FT
				DECK			SO.FT.	COVERED	· ·	00 4 40	<u>SQ. FT</u>
WATER SERVICE (/ One)	SEWER SERV	IUE (V UNE)	D PUBLIC SER	VICE COMPANY	√Une)	GAS SI	RVICE COMP		D EXISTING		ne)
Community well NVA Public:			UNITED POW ESTES PARK POUDRE VAL LONGMONT	rer.	1	D PROPANE NVA O OTHER:					
			CERTIF	ICATION		L				·····	
I hereby certify that the above in and in strict compliance with a	nformation is correct	and agree to con he Zoning Ordina	nstruct this build ance, Building C	ling in accord ode. Electrica	ance with I Code, a	i the plot, buil ind Health and	ding plans I Plumbing	and specific Regulations	ations submits of the Count	itted herev y of Bould	vith, der.
OWNER/AGENTS SIGNARORE	The				ATE //-	1-1	102	u OWW	. //	well.	1
~ (My 4	1/19				11	174	100			6 4 GREV. 12-27	-BP/CO/BB/W
ORG184											





Utility Shed

3-tab shingles 3/4 plywood roof sheeting trusts @ 24 oc 2 x 6 walls @ 16 oc 3/4 tung & grove ply floor 2 x 10 @ 16 oc joists T-1-11 siding



ORG185

E117



ORG186





ORĠ





ORG189



PROPOSED GARAGE





23

<u>E</u>123

. .

Property Description

	6301 Sun	shine Canyon	<u> </u>		
City:	Mountains	sinite canyon	ID:	0058634-01	
Neighborhood:				146108000074	
	Tr, Nbr 940 Gold H	lill Area		08-1N-71	
	Single Family		Tax Area:		
	One Story		Built:		
Legal Dsc:	Dead Medicine Ld 247 & Nely .18 Acs Acs M/Lsplit To ld Per Owner 1987 Provincial Science Scien	s Eldorado Ld 691g 32704 12/91 1d 320	old Hill. Tota 657 Combine	l 1.87	
	Square Footage		Rooms		
Level	Total	Finished	Total:	6	
Main:	1296	1296	Bedrooms:	3	
Above:	0	0	Baths-Full:	1	
Basement:	694	694	3/4:	0	
Other:	0	0	Half:	0	
Garage:	602	602	·		
	Deeds		Curren	t Value	
Deed #	Date	Fee	Actual:	447,600	
1149453-8:	12/18/91	0.80	Assessed:	40,960	
742229:	02/14/86	0.73	Mill Levy:	<u>60.908</u>	
	C)wner	······		
Name:	Ells Fred John				
Address:	6301 Sunshine Can	yon Dr			
City/St/Zip:	Boulder, CO 80302	2			

IENT ORG

Copyright © 1998 Boulder County Assessor. All Rights Reserved.

http://iasprod1.co.boulder.co.us/pls/asweb9i/asrweb9i.property_dsc?vROWID=005863401

10/8/02 E124 2 4

ORG192



board of adjurtment

ATTACHMENT ORG

p.o. box 471, boulder, colorado 80306 · 441-3928

1986 VARIANCE

June 30, 1986

The purpose of this letter is to certify that at a hearing of the Board of Adjustment of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado, duly called and held on Tuesday, June 24, 1986, at 4:00 PM the following action was taken:

The Board of Adjustment of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado, <u>APPROVED</u> the request for a variance described as follows:

Docket Number 617: Fred Ells

Requests a variance to Article 3, page 7, of the <u>Boulder County</u> <u>Zoning Resolution</u> to construct a single family residence with side yard setbacks of eight feet where 25 feet is required in the Forestry zoning district. The property is the Dead Medicine, Atchison and Eldorado mining claims in Section 8, TIN, R71W at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

All rights to permits authorized by the granting of any variance shall expire six (6) months from the time such variance is granted.

If you have any questions concerning any of the above, please feel free to contact me at any time at 441-3928.

Sincerely,

Stephen O. Ellis Secretary to theBoard

SOE:rm



APPLICATION FORM Analysis and a server and a ser		A`	TTACHMEN	T ORG	_	DOCKET NUMBER #	EPARTMENT USE UNLT	
Sect name Ells's (house) single family dwelling Ells and the sector of the sector	and use department		PPLICATION	FORM	.	DATE SUBMITTED		
Mr. Fred J. Ells State 5000 Butte 2101 Boulder Co 57800 Butte 2101 PERFY OWERS NAME State 210 CODE 1 442-5340 PERFY OWERS NAME State 210 CODE 1 442-5340 State State 210 CODE 1 442-5340 State State 210 CODE 1 442-5340 NUMPS NAME State 210 CODE 1 1 Benever State 210 CODE 1 1 Benever Co 80525 1 1 1 Application General Location N • Legal Description • Stite DATA 1 1 Application Type State State 1 1 Application Type State Tress State Tressstate Tress Name </td <td>JECT NAME</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>HEALTH DEPT. INT</td> <td><u></u></td> <td></td>	JECT NAME					HEALTH DEPT. INT	<u></u>	
Mr. Fred J. Ells 5000. Butte 4101 Boulder Co State 0000. Butte 1000. Butte Boulder Co State 0000. Butte 1000. Butte 1000. Butte Boulder Co State 0000. Butte 1000. Butte 10000. Butte 10000. Butte		sin	gle family dwel	ITING TSTREET ADDRES	55		<u> 1998 - 199</u>	2
Boulder Co STREET RUNKERS MAKE Co STREET RUNKERS MAKE State St	Mr. Fred J. El			501	<u>00 Butte</u>			
Statte STATE STATE <t< td=""><td></td><td>STAT</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>442-5340</td><td></td></t<>		STAT			1		442-5340	
V STATE JP CODE PLONE NUMBER INTERS NAME STREET ADDRESS I I INTERS NAME STREET ADDRESS I I SINEERIS NAME STREET ADDRESS I I BERN DENDERS GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA ADDRESS VERAL LOCATION GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA ADDRESS Image: Street Addression SECTION SECTION + Canyon Image: Street Addression SECTION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA ADDRESS SECTION + TOWER NUMER SECTION + TOWER NUMER SECTION TYPE MERAL SECON SECTION + SITE DATA ADDRESS SECTI	OPERTY OWNER'S NAME		<u>L0</u>	STREET ADDRES	5	<u></u>	<u></u>	
NUMER'S NAME STREET ADDRESS Y STATE SINEER'S NAME Procee E. O. Church 2925 East 17th Ave Y STATE Denver Co Become State Book State Book State State State Book State Book State State S		STAT	E	ZIP CODE		PHONE NUMBER	<u> </u>	[
Same STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER (3NIEERS NAME STATE 2P CODE (1 SINEERS NAME STATE ZP CODE PHONE NUMBER (1 3NIEERS NAME STATE 2P CODE (1 SINEERS NAME STATE 2P CODE (1 Denver Co 80525 () 832-9692 GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA NOTES 6327 Sunshine Canyon SUDOK Supportion Section 1000453 6327 Sunshine Canyon SUDOK Supportion Section 1000453 10004 2007 11 Application Section 15.8.22 Section 1000453 10004 10.8.3.16.21.22 10004543 Avaction Easement/Road 3rd Wed. \$200.00 1.6.8.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22 12.3.6.7.8.9.10.11.12.13.00.18.22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					(}		
V Istate IP Code Intole Number (GINE[PR INAME 5TREET ADDRESS 5TREET ADDRESS 1 OBJE(PR INAME 925 East 17th Ave 1 V 925 East 17th Ave 1 V GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA Nonexexet Application Supported 6322 Support Image: Supported application Supported application Supported application TYPE OF REQUEST TYPE OF REQUEST Townshift of an anuscham Application Easement/Road 3rd Wed. \$200.00 1.6.8.12.2 Road Name Change 3rd Wed. \$200.00 1.6.8.13a.16.21.22 BOA Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1.13d.22 BOA Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1.2.36.7.8.9.10.11.12.13abig.22 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+ store for commercial/INMINUM 1.2.46.7.8.10.11ab.12.13abig.22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+ store for commercial/INMINUM 1.2.36.7.8.9.10.11.12.13abig.42.2 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+ store fo				STREET ADDRESS	5			Gara
E. 0. Church 925 East 17th Ave Denver Co 80525 i 332-9692 Denver GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA ADDRESS Site above Sunshine on Gold hill road Site Core TYPE OF REOUEST APPLICATION TYPE MEMON SUMM TYPE OF REOUEST APPLICATION TYPE MEMON SUMM Submittal FEE* NUMERS ANT STORMENT WE AND SUMMERS NUMERS ANT STORMENT WE AND SUMMERS APPLICATION TYPE MEMON SUMMERS AND SUMMERS NUMERS ANT STORMENT WE AND SUMMERS ADD SUMMERS NUMERS ANT STORMENT WE AND SUMMERS ADD SUMMERS ANT SUMMERS NUMERS ANT SUMMERS		STAT	E	ZIP CODE		PHONE NUMBER		
NY Deniver Provide Numerical State Provide Numerical State Nerral LOCATION GENERAL LOCATION + LEGAL DESCRIPTION + SITE DATA ACORESS Nerral LOCATION Suborvision State State State Nerral LOCATION Suborvision State State State State Nerral LOCATION Suborvision State State State State Nerral LOCATION Suborvision State Sta		<u> </u>		STREET ADDRES	s	(
Denver Co 80525 832-9692 GENERAL LOCATION • LEGAL DESCRIPTION • SITE DATA NERAL LOCATION • LEGAL DESCRIPTION • SITE DATA Nerral LOCATION Surplice Surplice <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>5 East 1</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					<u>5 East 1</u>			
GENERAL LOCATION • LEGAL DESCRIPTION • SITE DATA ADDRESS ½ mile above Sunshine on Gold hill road 6322 Sunshine caryon TOWNSHIP BLOCK SUBDIVISION SECTION Repaired Stress TOWNSHIP Repaired Stress TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP TOWNSHIP		2141					122-9692	1
Image: space of the system Substrate of the system Section		AL LOCAT				DATA		24 24 24
T BLOCK SUBDIVISION TYPE OF REQUEST APPLICATION TYPE DEADLINE SUBMITTAL FEE? Market and the submer of the submer and the submer an		shine on	Gold hill road		AUUNESS		tino canvon	NO CL
APPLICATION TYPEMenotypeAppLiCATION TYPEMenotypeRoad Name Change3rd Wed.SubMITTAL FEE?Number StatisticsVacation Easement/Road3rd Wed.BOA Appeal of Administrative DecisionLast Tues.BOA Appeal of Administrative DecisionLast Tues.BOA Appeal of Administrative DecisionLast Tues.Subdivision Sketch Plan3rd Wed.Subdivision Preliminary Plan3rd Wed.Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)Subdivision Exemption (Final)Location & ExtentIst Wed.Subdivision & Exemption (Final)Location Exemption (Final)Location A ExtentOther:			<u></u>	<u>_ · · – · · – </u> ·	SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	71 8
APPLICATION TYPEMCATINESUBMITTAL FEE?Numerical Altr 5 To Dark which will Account and the applicationRoad Name Change3rd Wed.\$200.001,6,8,13a,16,21.22Vacation Easement/Road3rd Wed.\$200.001,6,8,13a,16,21.22BOA VarianceLast Tues.\$300.001,2,3,6,8,13c,12.22BOA Appeal of Administrative DecisionLast Tues.\$300.001,3d,6,8,11b,12,13ablg,22Special Use3rd Wed.\$600.00*1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13aelg,18,22Subdivision Sketch Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00 per unit for residential, \$5.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,2,4,6,7,8,10,11b,14,22Subdivision Preliminary Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential, \$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential, \$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential, \$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)3rd Wed.\$200.001,3,6,11ab,12,13g,22Subdivision Exemption (Final)1st Wed.Yubic Notice Costs Only1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22Other:1st Wed.Public Notice Costs Only1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22			TYPE OF REQU	EST				a a
Vacation Easement/Road 3rd Wed. \$200.00 1.6,8,13a,16,21,22 BOA Variance Last Tues. \$300.00 1.2,3,6,8,13cf,22 BOA Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1.13d,22 Boa Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1.36,8,11b,12,13ablg,22 Special Use 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ³ 1.2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13ablg,22 Subdivision Sketch Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$10.00 per unit for residential,\$5.00 per commercial/industrial, fee \$1,200.00 1.2,4,6,7,8,10,11ac,12,13abg,14,22 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per residential,\$15.00 per commercial/industrial, fee \$1,500.00 unit for acre for commercial/industrial, fee \$1,500.00 1.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per dare for commercial/industrial, framation fee \$1,500.00 1.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) 3rd Wed. \$300.00 1.3,6,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) 5200.00 1.3,6,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 1.3,6,8,11ab,12,13g,12 Subdivision Exemption (Final) 52	APPLICATION TYPE	MONTHLY DEADLINE				only intended to the line applicant	i in the collection of material	
BOA Variance Last Tues. \$300.00 1.2,3,6,8,13cf,22 BOA Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1,13d,22 Boa Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1,3d,22 Special Use 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ³ 1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13ablg,22 Subdivision Sketch Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$10.00 per unit for commercial/industrial, fee \$1,200.00 1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11ac,12,13alg,14,22 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for commercial/industrial, fee \$1,500.00 per acce for commercial/industrial, fee \$1,500.00 1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11b,14,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acce for commercial/industrial, fee \$1,500.00 1,2,5,7,8,10,11bd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$300.00 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13alg,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) \$200.00 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13alg,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1,3,6,6,11ab,12,13g,12 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22	Road Name Change	3rd Wed.	\$200.00		1,6,8,22			1. 1914 B
BOA Appeal of Administrative Decision Last Tues. \$300.00 1.13d.22 Rezoning 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ³ 1.3d,8.11b,12,13ablg.22 Special Use 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ⁴ 1.2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13ablg.22 Subdivision Sketch Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$10.00 per unit for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,200.00 1.2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11ac,12,13afg,14,22 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per accre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1.2,4,6,7,8,10,11b,14,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per accre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per accre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$200.00 1.3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) \$200.00 1.3,6,8,11ab,12,13g,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1.3,6,8,11ab,12,13g,12 Location & Extent 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1.3,6,		-	•		•			ĥ
Rezoning 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ⁹ 1,3,6,8,11b,12,13ablg,22 Special Use 3rd Wed. \$600.00 ⁴ 1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13aelg,18,22 Subdivision Sketch Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00 + \$10.00 per unit for residential,\$5.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,200.00 1,2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11ac,12,13alg,14,22 Subdivision Preliminary Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum tee \$1,500.00 1,2,4,6,7,8,10,11b,14,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1,2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00 + \$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) 3rd Wed. \$300.00 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1,3,6,11ab,12,13g,12 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22 Subdivision & Exemption (Final) 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22 Other: 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22	N Contraction of the second seco		•			301,22		
Subdivision Sketch Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$10.00 per residential,\$5.00 per commercial/industrial, fee \$1.200.00unit for 						b,12,13abfg,22		1.49
Subdivision Preliminary Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,200.001,2,4,6,7,8,10,11b,14,22Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22Resubdivision3rd Wed.\$200.001,3,6,11ab,12,13g,22Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)\$200.001,3,6,11ab,12,13g,22Subdivision Exemption (Final)\$200.001,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22Location & Extent1st Wed.Public Notice Costs Only1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22Other:3.6,7,8,13af,14,223.6,7,8,13af,14,22	Special Use	3rd Wed.	\$600.00 ⁴		1,2,3,6,7,8	1,9,10,11,12,13aef	g,18,22	1
Subdivision Final Plan3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22Resubdivision3rd Wed.\$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.001.3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary)\$200.001.3,6,11ab,12,13g,22Subdivision Exemption (Final)\$200.001.3,6,8,11ab,12,13gh,15,16,22Location & Extent1st Wed.Public Notice Costs Only1.3,6,7,8,13af,14,22Other:YesYesYes	Subdivision Sketch Plan	3rd Wed.	residential,\$5.00 pe commercial/industrial	er acre for		3,9,10,11ac,12,13a	ifg,14,22	A Second Second
Subdivision Final Plan 3rd Wed. \$600.00+\$25.00 per unit for residential,\$15.00 per acre for commercial/industrial, maximum fee \$1,500.00 1.2,5,7,8,10,11abd,12,14,15,17,18,19,20,22 Resubdivision 3rd Wed. \$300.00 1.3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) \$200.00 1.3,6,11ab,12,13g,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1.3,6,8,11ab,12,13gh,15,16,22 Location & Extent 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1.3,6,7,8,13af,14,22	J Subdivision Preliminary Plan	3rd Wed.	residential,\$15.00 p commercial/industrial	per acre for		1,10,116,14,22		a sa
Presubdivision 3rd Wed. \$300.00 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13afg,14,15,16,17,18,19,22 Subdivision Exemption (Preliminary) \$200.00 1,3,6,11ab,12,13g,22 Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1,3,6,8,11ab,12,13g,15,16,22 Location & Extent 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22 Other: 1 Other: 1	J Subdivision Final Plan	3rd Wed.	\$600.00+\$25.00 pe residential,\$15.00 p commercial/industrial	ier acre for	1,2,5,7,8,1	0,11abd,12,14,15,	,17,18,19,20,22	たたの書類の
Subdivision Exemption (Final) \$200.00 1,3,6,8,11ab,12,13gh,15,16,22 Location & Extent 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22 Other: 1 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22		3rd Wed.	\$300.00				g, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1	9,22
I Location & Extent 1st Wed. Public Notice Costs Only 1,3,6,7,8,13af,14,22			•			· •	20	1
] Other:		1st Wed.		Only		· • •	:2	1.5
المتعادين والمنافعة				·				NAME
	· Landini Application rige:	\$600.00 + \$5.00 pe					•	PROJE
 Application deadline on noon of the stated day Additional consultants tees and fees established by review agencies may be charged to the applicant. On multiple requests, applicant pays highest single fee. MEO Rezoning Application Fee: \$600.00 + \$6.00 per acre, maximum fee \$1,500.00. Landfill Application Fee: \$600.00 + \$6.00 per acre, maximum fee \$1,500.00. 	I certify that the information and exhibits I has knowledge and consent of those persons who established by Boulder County must be paid an that public hearings or meetings may be require regulations and proceedures adopted by Boul	ave submitted ar b are owners of the nd all materials re wired d further s	CERTIFICATIO re true and correct to the b the subject property or are equired by Boulder County stale that to the best of my	DN best of my know parties to this ap must be submitte	vledge. In filin pplication. I ur ed prior to havi is proposal su	g the application I and that the price of the second state of the	am acting with the proper filing fee, as essed. I understand	
CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that the proper filing tee, as established by Boulder County must be paid and all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I further state that to the best of my knowledge, this proposal substantially conforms to all standards, regulations and proceedures adopted by Boulder County.	SNATURE HOLE SALA		DATE 5-	5.86	TITLE	ownor		1
I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that the proper filing fee, as established by Boulder County must be paid and all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I further state that to the best of my knowledge, this proposal substantially conforms to all standards, regulations and proceedures adopted by Boulder County.	SNATURE MAR MAR	<u></u> .	DATE		TITLE			₽. ₫
CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that the proper filing fee, as established by Boulder County must be paid and all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that the processed of the subject property or are parties to this proposal substantially conforms to all standards, regulations and proceedures adopted by Boulder County. NATURE DATE DATE DATE OWNEr	I understand that additional tees or materials	may be required	as a result of consideration	ns which may ari	ise in the proc	essing of this docke	t. I understand that	ÚMBE
CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that the proper filing fee, as established by Boulder County must be paid and all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that the proper filing fee, as regulations and proceedures adopted by Boulder County. NATURE DATE TITLE OWNER INATURE						-		Z .
CERTIFICATION I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. In filing the application I am acting with the knowledge and consent of those persons who are owners of the subject property or are parties to this application. I understand that the proper filing fee, as established by Boulder County must be paid and all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I further state that to the best of my knowledge, this proposal substantially conforms to all standards, regulations and proceedings adopted by Boulder County. NATURE DATE DATE DATE OWNEr	road, school and park dedications may be req	quired.	SIGNATURE	<u></u>		<u> </u>		

Innd. use department DEVELOPMENT SUMMAR could or fit lith and (put r (lett) backer actings 00000-441-900 DEVELOPMENT SUMMAR could or fit lith and (put r (lett) backer actings 00000-441-900 FORM ROJECT NAME Ells's (house) single family dwelling	KET NUMBER
Ells's (house) single family dwelling	TYPE
PLICANT STD	A CONTRACTOR STATE
	NP5
OPERTY OWNER Mr. Fred J. Ells	IVPE
TYPE OF REQUEST	
VACATION I LOCATION & EXTENT I SUBDIVISION EXEMPTION REZONING I SUBDIVISION EXEMPTION I SUBDIVISION EXEMPTION	DIVISION SKETCH PLAN DIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAN DIVISION FINAL PLAT IBDIVISION (REPLAT)
TURE OF REQUEST OR (FOR BOA VARIANCE ONLY) STATEMENT OF HARDSHIP	
attach hardship_statement	
GENERAL LOCATION • LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
ADDRESS ADDRESS	
1/2 mile above Sunshine on Gold hill road 63??	Sunshine canyon
	71 IN 11 71
EA IN ACRES EXISTING ZONING PROPOSED ZONI	IG I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
1.52 Forestry Fo	restry
ACENT SINGLE FAMILY PERCENT MULTI FAMILY PERCENT OPEN (JR UNDEVELOPED
STING USE OF PROPERTY NUMBER OF EXISTING LOTS NUMBER OF PROI	POSED LOTS
nining & forestry one	
MBER OF EXISTING DWELLINGS PROPOSED DWELLINGS PROPOSED SOUR	RE FEET COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL
TER ONE ELECTRIC N	one
	Service
EPHONE GAS DITCHES CITY OR TOWN W	ITHIN 2 MILES
E RESPONSE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT PARCEL I.D. NUM	shine
Sunshine Bldr. Valley MS MPREHENSIVE PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION BOULDER COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ANALYSIS AREA ACRES OF AGRIC PRODUCTION	183 ULTURAL LAND REMOVED FROM
SUBDIVISION INFORMATION	
s s	
MILES OF NEW ROADS	ге 22
IOADS PUBLIC PRIVA	
ACRES DEDICATED TO ROADS	
BEGIN	ETE
	į
	• 7

Ο	R	G1	95	





2 8



ATTACHMENT ORG



st Office Bax 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

2040 14th Street • 14th & Spruce Streets • Administrative Services Building, 2nd Floor • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

To: Board of Adjustment From: Zoning Division Date: June 13, 1986

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Docket #617

BACKGROUND:

A building permit application, #86-467, was made by Fred Ells on May 19, 1986 for the construction of a single family residence which is a permitted use in the Forestry zoning district. The application for the premises designated as 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive was denied on 5-21-86 under Article 3, page 7, of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution because the proposed side yard setbacks would be 8 feet where 25 feet is required.

DISCUSSION:

- A. Evidence related to proper reasons for a variance:
 - 1. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or slope of the specific piece of property.

Property is only 50 feet wide for the first 500 feet on the north. Where the Dead Medicine overlaps the El Dorado and the Atchison, creating a wider parcel, there are mine tailings and a steep slope with questionable access.

B. Evidence related to whether the strict application of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardship upon the owner of such property.

Without a variance this lot is unbuildable and the applicant's investment will be lost.

- C. Evidence related to whether the requested variance can be granted without:
 - 1. Substantial detriment to the public.

At the present time there are no buildings on the adjacent properties. The zoning resolution requires a minimum of 50 feet between buildings on adjacent properties in the Forestry zoning district. There is adequate room on all the properties surrounding the Dead Medicine to place a building 50 feet or more from the applicant's proposed residence, although adjacent property owners would not have to build that far away.

Josephine W. Heath County Commissioner Ranald K. Stewart County Commissioner Herbert E. "Buz" Smith, Jr. County Commissioner





6-13-86

The owners of the Grand View have expressed concern about access. The applicant has asked the Grand View owners for an easement but that easement has not been granted at this time.

2. Substantial impairment of the intent and purpose of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution.

ATTACHMENT ORG

2.

The zoning resolution requires a 50 foot setback between buildings in the Forestry zoning district and that requirement can be met if the adjacent property owners wish to build 20 feet further back on their property. The requirement that the Board of Adjustment only grant variances based on a hardship with the land is fulfilled by the fact that the subject property is only 50 feet wide. Therefore, the staff feels the intent of the zoning resolution is not substantially impaired.

RECOMMENDATION:

ORG198

Due to the extreme narrowness of the property; due to the fact that if the applicant is denied the variance he will be denied reasonable use of his property; due to the fact that dwellings on adjacent properties will be able to be built 50' or more from the subject property; then, the staff recommends <u>APPROVAL</u> of the variance provided the access problem can be worked out and there are no further objections from adjacent property owners.

DOCKET NUMBER

BOULDER COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT HARDSHIP STATEMENT

ATTACHMENT ORG

(Please complete the following: either A.1, B, and C or A.2, B, and C)

- A. Evidence related to proper reasons for a variance:
 - 1. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or slope of the specific piece of property. ____ The property 's dimensions are 50' x 1500' which

is exceptionally narrow .

DRG199

2. Exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of such piece of property.

for set backs ī. Where Thenhe enor room. This Jund with No allas Ar mine Aler alla have anos

B. Evidence related to whether the strict application of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardship upon the owner of such property. If the present setbacks of 25' from the sides of property.

are maintained this would be a unbuildable lot . The land would be

unuseable , which I feel is exceptional and undue hardship .

C. Evidence related to whether the requested variance can be granted without:

- Substantial detriment to the public. <u>A building site has been</u> selected that would minimize the impact to adjacent propertys.
- 2. Substantial impairment of the intent and purpose of the Boulder County Zoning Resolution. The proposed site is surrounded on two side by

B.L.M. land should maintain the 50' or more setback between dwellings.



A REAL PROPERTY OF A READ PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	86-467	00101	ing pen	nit appli	CULION	DATE 5-	19-96
EI		RED		CONTRACTOR	Ells_	1	
DALSS 50	00. Bu	The # 101		ADDRESS	came		
7	sel	PHONE 44	2 5340	CITY	The second	PHONE	
		STRUCTURE	部的原因。	1.		TURE DATA	
COMMERC	CIAL	N N	EW 397	\$ 87,061	10_	1294	*
RESIDEN	CE		DDITION 94.	2 2			
CARACE	UNDER G	854 DR	EMODEL J96.0	2. BA	145 - 1	OCCUPANCY Z	-3
	BEENHOUSE.		LECTRICAL	FINISHED	1	TYPE OF CONST.	I-N
	·			DATA			
「小市地域」	建設時間間的	eLoc			SUBDIVISIO	244 F 18 F 19 18	14
CTION	8	10.	45HIP /	N	*A*4C1	71	
DALSS OF PROPO	6301	S We fr	with Carry	n Dr.		8	
1.5 i	- me 5.2	12081	F /		FRIMTAGE		
ET BACKS:	25	- 100 + SIDE	8	Side	81	REAR	5 100+
	· · · ·		ACCES	S DATA		1. A.	
DIRECT	FRONTAGE ON	PUBLIC ROAD	Road Name or Number	A Carton		2000	
VIA PRIV	ATE EASEMENT	TO PUBLIC RO	AD (Attach Dee	d)	1017	315, 3711	13
JUSS EXIS	STING DRIVEWA	Y			IN	MAY 1 9-1-8	6
and the second se	CTION OF NEW	DRIVEWAY		1 Ash	14	WW (1 7 -120	
OTHER	askains Pan	ed Acce	# 070	6		BOULDER COUL	ITY
		利益常识的	WATER SUP	PLY SOURCE	C . Second		
MUNICIPA	LITY, DISTRIC	T, OR ASSOCIA	TION (Attach w	ritten certificatio	-	to supply wate	er)
		e. :!fication from	State Engineer)	# 1438	74	-	
OTHER	Describel			1.24			
SANITAT	ON APPROVAL	FROM HEALTH	DEPARTMENT (Attach copy)	senti	1	
CARLES COL			PLOT PLAN	(Attach Copy)		. · · · ·	
	ALL THE F	OLLOWING DAT	A TO BE SHOWN	TO SCALE AND	PROPERLY D	MENSIONED	
an Analise	INVI FURTHER			CAL DESCRIPTIO		CORDED AND R	ECEPTION NO.
				e required if suf		is shown on plo	t plan.)
. BUILDING	DING CONTOUR	TTERNS AND RE		TURES.			
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE	DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA		QUIRED STRUC	TURES.			
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE	DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA	TTERNS AND RE	QUIRED STRUC	「「 その見たれたう」			~ 7
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here	DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T	TTERNS AND REACH	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct	CATION and agree to cor	istruct this b		
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot	C LOCATION E DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T by certify that t, building plan	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specificati	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct ons submitted he ectrical Code, an	CATION and agree to cor erewith, and in s ind Health and Plu	nstruct this b trict compliance	e with all the	provisions
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot	C LOCATION E DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T by certify that t, building plan	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specificati	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct ons submitted he ectrical Code, an	CATION and agree to cor crewith, and in s	nstruct this b trict compliand imbling Regula	e with all the	provisions
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot	C LOCATION E DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T by certify that t, building plan	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specificati	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct ons submitted he actrical Code, an	CATION and agree to cor erewith, and in s ind Health and Plu	nstruct this b trict compliand imbling Regula	tions of the Cou	provisions
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot	DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T by certify that t, building plan g Ordinance, Bi	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specificati	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct ons submitted he actrical Code, an	CATION and agree to cor- erewith, and in s and Health and Plu X	nstruct this b trict compliand imbling Regula	tions of the Cou	provisions unty of Boulder.
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot of the Zoning	C LOCATION & DING CONTOUR DRAINAGE PA N OF SEPTIC T by certify that t, building plan g Ordinance, Bi	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specification uilding Code, Electronic	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIFI mation is correct ons submitted he ectrical Gode, an RECORD	CATION and agree to cor erewith, and in s nd Health and Plu X REVIEW	nstruct this b trict compliand imbling Regula orn	DATE	DEPT,
2. BUILDING 3. LOT GRA 4. SURFACE 5. LOCATIO: Here with the plot of the Zoning	BY	TTERNS AND RE ANK AND LEACH the above inform s and specification uilding Code, Ele DATE	OUIRED STRUC 1 FIELD. CERTIF mation is correct ons submitted he ectrical Gode, an RECORD DEPT.	CATION and agree to cor erewith, and in s nd Health and Plu X REVIEW	nstruct this b trict compliand imbling Regula orn	ringent	DEPT,

TELEVISION DE LA

and the second 200

協

8 ä,

1

AFFLICATION AND MOREDHEAT FOR TEDPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY

PENUT NO. SIL-CA67 1/10/90 TAN C The underlighed requests and agrees that pursuant to Chapter 3. Section 307(d) of the Uniform Building Code of Boulder County, a Temperary Certificate of Occupancy beliasued at this time which is prior to the issumce of a final Certificate of Occupancy the following location:

			MIL
Orive		ION	EXNCE.
Canvon		SUBDIVISION	NI
6301 Sunshine Canvon Drive	** *		TOMOSHIP
		BLOCK	8
AINWESS		101	SECTION .

request to have the above building complete and in compliance with all building codes. The undersigned covenant and agree as a condition to the approval of the above recolutions and regulations and ready for final Muspection within 180 days, cr an extended time period as may be approved by the Chief Building Official. In consideration of the granting of the above consent, the undersigned hereby

undersigned hereby. for themselves, their heirs, personal representatives, administrators, successors and asigns, agrive to save, bold harmiess and indemnify Boulder County. Its officers, agents, employees and officials; of and from any and all loss, cost, espense, charge or attorney's fees which they, or any of them, wight suffer, sustain or incur on account of arising out of or relating to any damage to property or injury waive any cause of action against either boulder County or any of its efficers and employees because of any defect in the construction or installation of gas or electrical equipment, or for any defect in construction of the above mentioned building or building, or for any conscipto to any utilities which may cause any damage what-seever to the premise, any start premises, or any person or persons. Further, the

by death to person or persons resulting from such temporary occupancy. The purces to pay this day a \$15.00 re-inspection fee as prescribed in Section 305(h) of the Uniform building Cade of Boulder Causty and recognizes that Soulder Causty will not reinspect said premises unless accompanied by the owner or Mis/her agent.

Fred F11s OWNER

STATE OF COLORADO) 55: COMMTY OF MULLINER) 55:

Soula 1111 ALLACY The Agregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 20 day of Butary Nubile dia. My Commission Expires: 2/14/9.3

WAX Chief milding Official Will y carbod cargo to the Y

Gary R. Coodell

3

E134

4

1101 2050

Adress

Corrections to be made and itees to be completed before final inspection and issuance of final Certificais of Occupancy:

NAME AND ADDRESS

29.44

but is currently delayed pending the acquisition of an service line. This bust be done as soon as possible, case, permanent installation must be completed within Mutation - complete installation of permanent electrical 6 rouths or no later than June 10, 1990. -install permanent hearth to replace temporary hearth easement from an adjoining property owner. In any

protection.

-complete remaining exterior and interior trim, kutchen MINCINE CONEX Cabinets and finishing of sunroom. Sunroom is not authorized for use until it has been completed. inspected and approved.

-complete final backfill and grading around exterior of structure.

-complete exterior deck from living room and rear door. ANNALMA Access to deck to remain blocked until it has been completed, inspected and approved.

-install permanent handrails and guardrails to replace temorary rails.

-extend all temperature-pressure relief valve lines as required.

HEOWICAL CODE :

Poxes to remain cover-plated or in an otherwise safe condition tutil -install remaining light fixtures. fixtures installed.

TONING AND MISCELLANEOUS:

Waterproofing of foundation wall to be completed.













October 25, 2002

Ref: LU-02-065

Greg Oxenfeld, Staff Planner Boulder County Land Use

RE: Docket VAR-02-15: Review for Ells Variance

Dear Greg:

The materials for the above referenced proposal have been reviewed. It is understood the proposal is for a variance for a setback for an addition, a garage and for use of a mine for water storage by the fire department. The property is located at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive in S8 T1N R71W. Based on our file review we have the following comments to offer:

Drinking Water

Drinking water is supplied by a private well.

Wastewater Disposal

This Department issued a permit for the installation of a raised ripped base bed system on 8/19/87 for an individual sewage disposal system (ISDS) adequate for a 3-bedroom house, which was approved on 6/29/88, for 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

This Department issued a permit for the installation of a raised ripped base bed system on 9/27/94 for an individual sewage disposal system (ISDS) adequate for a 3-bedroom house, which was approved on 11/8/95, for 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive. If the total number of bedrooms in the house exceeds 3, an addition to the ISDS will be necessary and a permit from this Department will be required. The building addition must be no closer than twenty (20) feet from the absorption field and five (5) feet from the septic tank.

The garage must be located a minimum of 20 feet from the absorption field. If sinks or toilets are installed in the garage, wastewater must be disposed of in an approved individual sewage disposal system (ISDS) and an addition permit from this Department will be required. The ISDS must meet current regulations. Heavy equipment should be restricted from the surface of the absorption field during construction of the barn to avoid soil compaction which could cause premature absorption field malfunction.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (303) 441-1157.

Sincerely,

Iris Sherman-Boemker Environmental Health Specialist

Cc: Fred Ells, owners



٨



TACHMENT ORG

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2336 CANYON DOULEVARD, SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80302

VOICE 303-442-0165

WEB SITE www.oshlaw.com

FAX TRANSMISSION

RUSSELL K. OSGOOD STEVEN E. HARRIS SCOTT R. OSGOOD

russ@oshlaw.com sieve@oshlaw.com scott@oshlaw.com

FAX 303-442-6115

October 25, 2002

Boulder County Land Use Department Greg Oxenfeld 2045 13th Spruce St. Boulder, CO 80302

Re: Docket VAR-02-15: Ells Variance

Dear Mr. Oxenfeld:

On behalf of Susan Goldstein, owner of the Grand View Lode and who is a neighbor of Fred Ells, Ms. Goldstein objects to the requested variance. Her primary concern is that Mr. Ells has been accessing his real property over the Grandview Lode without any permanent legal right to use the road. Mr. Ells' right to cross the Grand View Lode has only been through the permission of Ms. Goldstein.

This past summer, Mr. Ells or his agents are believed to have entered the Grand Vew Lode and cut a significant number of trees without Ms. Goldstein's permission. This unauthorized cutting removed the natural barrier and buffers between Mr. Ells' house the location where Ms. Goldstein considered to be an ideal building site. This has caused her to reconsider the potential location of a residence to a location which will end up terminating the permission for Mr. Ells to cross the Grand View Lode because the new location will be on or near the existing road. This blocking of the road will have a significant impact on Mr. Ells' ability to access his property to continue construction or to provide any access to the proposed water retention area. Additionally, Ms. Goldstein is worried that the relaxation of the setbacks for the garage will affect her peaceful use of her property in the future. She therefore asks that you deny the variance as requested until the above issues are resolved. She has no problem with the addition to the main house and does not wish to impede the speedy completion of that portion of the application for variance.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call.

P.002/003

TD:303 671 5946

, .÷

.

FAX to Boulder County Land Use Department Greg Oxenfeld October 25, 2002 Page: 2

Very truly yours,

teren E bler

Steven E. Harris, for OSGOOD & HARRIS, LLC

cc: Client Fred Ells
Docket # var-02-15

Dear Mr. Oxenfeld,

I again wish to register my support to Fred Ells and all of his variance requests. I think his proposed improvements will not only enhance his property, thereby helping the overall look of the neighborhood, but the proposed cistern will be a great resource to the local fire department.

I don't pretend to understand the history of the dispute between Fred Ells and his immediate neighbor, Susan Goldstein, but I don't understand her argument that his activities will negatively impact her property. If anything, the proposed improvements should actually help her property values in the event that she either sells or develops her property.

Philosophically, I also feel that the stringent setback requirements are an unfair burden on those mountain property owners with 50 foot wide lots. I have a 50 foot wide lot in Louisville, which admittedly, is in a city where more density is expected. However, unlike my house is Louisville, where there are houses on the other side of a fence, there is far greater distance between Fred Ells' house and any potential house that could be built next to him. It seems to me that enforcing strict setback requirements on 50 foot wide lots in the mountains is somewhat arbitrary.

Anyway, I hope you will be merciful with Fred.

Joe Kellogg Nearby Property Owner on County Road 85.

ORG211

Page 1

From:"sunrise" <sunrise@boulder.net>To:"Greg Oxenfeld" <gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>Date:11/8/02 8:43AMSubject:Var 02-15 3rd var fire truck

ck

Hello Greg,

I once again would like to thank you. you have a tough job and I'm not particularly happy to go through the process but this is what makes Bolder one of the best places in the country to the too live.

as far as the variance that we are working on I have a few questions on the third part which was to use the mine for fire protection.

to put in pipelines do I need a variance?

to construct a pumphouse 4 feet by 8 feet or smaller, do 1 need a variance?

and for place those tanks at or near the mine does that need a variance ?

after talking with the fire department board, I am very much considering withdrawing the third variance and letting the fire departments get all the necessary permits and variances and special use permits!

also I thought I e-mail you a picture of my firetruck which has a hot tub in it. I used to rent it out for parties and parades, this picture is from the St. Patrick's Day parade back in 1980 in front of potters.

thanks again Craig, hope have a good weekend and I will give you a decision before. Wednesday

high most likely will withdrawal the third variance

I am quite aware that if I do decide to continue on the third variants that I will have plans into you buy then.

thanks

.....

CC:

"fred ells" <ironman@boulder.net>



From:	Greg Oxenfeld
То:	sunrise
Date:	11/8/02 3:58PM
Subject:	Re: Var 02-15 3rd var fire truck

truck

Fred,

Even though the structure is less than 120 square feet, it will still need to meet setbacks. We can accept a building permit for the structure even though it is less than 120 square feet. Only the Board of Adjustment (BOA) can approve the variance, and you must submit a building permit in order to get the request before the BOA. So, if you want this part of your request heard before the BOA on December 4th, you will need to submit the building permit no later than Wednesday (11/13) of next week. The Land Use Code states that an underground water storage container with a capacity of over 5,000 gallons, operated by a Fire District for fire protection is allowed by Limited Impact Special Review, unless waived by the Land Use Director.

-Greg

>>> "sunrise" <sunrise@boulder.net> 02/21/98 10:29PM >>> Hello Greg,

I once again would like to thank you. you have a tough job and I'm not particularly happy to go through the process but this is what makes Bolder one of the best places in the country to the too live.

as far as the variance that we are working on I have a few questions on the third part which was to use the mine for fire protection.

to put in pipelines do I need a variance?

to construct a pumphouse 4 feet by 8 feet or smaller, do I need a variance?

and for place those tanks at or near the mine does that need a variance ?

after talking with the fire department board, I am very much considering withdrawing the third variance and letting the fire departments get all the necessary permits and variances and special use permits!

also I thought I e-mail you a picture of my firetruck which has a hot tub in it. I used to rent it out for parties and parades, this picture is from the St. Patrick's Day parade back in 1980 in front of potters.

thanks again Craig, hope have a good weekend and I will give you a decision before Wednesday

high most likely will withdrawal the third variance

I am guite aware that if I do decide to continue on the third variants that I will have plans into you buy then.

thanks

CC:

fred ells



From:	<jan_fackrell@co.blm.gov></jan_fackrell@co.blm.gov>
To:	<gnolu@co.boulder.co.us></gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>
Date:	11/6/02 11:41AM
Subject:	Docket Variance 02-115, Ells Variance

Mr. Oxenfeld,

I received a call from Fred Ells this morning regarding a parcel of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in section 8, T. 1 N., R. 71 W. The parcel, lot 165, lies between the Dead Medicine and Grandview lodes and is approximately 0.12 acres in size. The parcel is a strip of land about 25 feet wide running between the two claims.

Ells Variance

Mr. Ells and I discussed several issues regarding this BLM parcel:

1. Could Mr. Ells purchase the parcel from BLM? 1 let him know that this is not an action we would consider at this time. These lands are scheduled to be included in a land exchange with Boulder County at some time in the future. Absent that, we couldn't pursue a sale for several years. I let him know that if the parcel is acquired by Boulder County, that it may consider subsequent sale to an adjacent landowner, as the parcel is exceedingly small. Sale to Mr. Ells would provide a buffer to his private parcel, the Dead Medicine lode, and assist Mr. Ells with his setback concerns.

2. Does BLM require any type of setback from it's property line? The answer is no. We require that the BLM land not be utilized for private uses without authorization. This would include auxiliary features to a sidewalks, flowerbeds, sheds, swingsets, etc. It is highly unlikely that BLM would consider authorizing any of these auxiliary uses.

3. Would the BLM consider authorizing access across lot 165 on an existing road for the purpose of allowing the Sunshine Fire Protection District to utilize water for fire suppression? This water is in a mining tunnel on the Dead Medicine lode. Mr. Ells stated that the road is existing, 12-feet wide, has a slope of approximately 2%, and is in good repair. Based on this information, and providing that we don't find anything irregular during a site exam, it is safe to say that we would authorize access across the BLM parcel to the SFPD for this use.

Please feel free to call if you have any questions.

Jan Fackrell, Realty Specialist Royal Gorge Field Office 3170 E. Main St. Canon City, CO 81212 719-269-8525 Fax: 719-269-8599

CC:

<Sunrise@boulder.net>

ORG214

E146

From:	<jeschulll@aol.com></jeschulll@aol.com>
To:	<gnolu@co.boulder.co.us></gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>
Date:	10/28/02 6:14AM
Subject:	Fred Ells home, docket VAR-02-15:ELLS variance

As a member of the board of the Sunshine Fire Protection District, I want to thank you for your long years of service to the district. My best memory was what you did for us on the Olde Stage road fire. You were out there 48 hours straight and we were all facing shifting winds during two dark nights. As the final hours of the effort approached, you were still running back and forth from Left Hand Creek and keeping our portaponds full. I was about three hundred feet up the hill, totally dependent on the water you were hauling to save not only the stuctures, but also the people fighting the fire. I could tell it was you in the dark because the sound from your tanker told me we had at least one expert driver up there. I guess those years of your being the mountain school bus driver paid off.

Now to the matter at hand. Your mining claim, the Dead Medicine is the largest water source in our fire district. At present we cannot safely access it because our trucks can't turn around anywhere near it. If you are allowed to implement the grading and driveway plans which you showed to me, we have an entirely different situation. We can run a truck in and turn around close enough to the water source to make the 30,000 to 100,000 gallons of water available to fight fires. Since we recently spent \$45,00 overall to put in two 15,000 gallon tanks a mile further up the hill, you can imagine how pleased I would be to have another equivalent or larger water source at your location. It will benefit the entire fire district immensely at very low cost for the plumbing. I will formally present this matter at the next board meeting (the second Tuesday of November). I will put forth a motion that this project be allowed to proceed as guickly as possible. If you need to have this motion passed sooner, I can present it to the board by e-mail. I can tell you right now that the board has previously approved working with you to develop this incrediblely valuable water source, but was stymied by the issue of safe (escape route) access. If the county allows you to proceed with your plans, we are ready to go. Best Regards, Jay Schumacher, board member, SFPD.

Other board members to be polled are: Pavel Bouska, Chairman Bill Bender, Treasurer Dave Wheeler, board member Mary Mesch, Board member Page 1

From:<JESchulll@aol.com>To:<gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>Date:10/28/02 6:12AMSubject:Fred Ells home,docket VAR-02015:ELLS variance

As a long time resident (1974) of the neighborhood I remember meeting Fred in about 1986 when he was building his house. It was very innovative and he showed fine craftsmanship in its consturction. Apparently, problems developed with the greenhouse on the south side of the house and Fred repaired the problem by removing the greenhouse and replacing it with a robust structure more suitable to the high winds and snowloads of the neighborhood. It looks nice and is very well constructed. His house is one of the few that isn't visible from CR52 or anywhere else for that matter, unlike the newer huge trophy homes which portude everywhere around here now. Fred is an excellent truck driver, heavy equipment operator, and carpenter. He is also a machinist and has a college engineering background. Our neighborhood is now overrun with people who are completely helpless under adverse conditions and it is neighbors like Fred who keep the college professors, computer geeks, and lawyers out of trouble when they call on him for help. Best Regards, Jay Schumacher. October 19, 2002

Zoning Division - Land Use Department Boulder County P. O. Box 471 Boulder, CO 80306



RE: Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

To Whom It May Concern:

I am one of Mr. Ells closest neighbors. Fred is doing a great job of remodeling the front of his house and improving his property. This change will add a lot to the neighborhood.

ATTACHMENT ORG

In addition, it is very important to continue to allow the Sunshine Fire Department access to the water stored in one of Fred's mine shafts. Without this water, it is doubtful that any of the houses in the neighborhood could survive any serious fire threat. Fred's creation of a fire department turnaround in his driveway adds to the likelihood of a positive outcome if the department tanker would need to be refilled several times in short order.

All of us in the neighborhood are very thankful that Fred has been kind and made his water available.

Because of these positive changes, it is important that Fred be allowed to complete his projects.

. Therefore, I respectfully request that you grant Fred the requested variances.

I can be reached at (918) 519-5133 during the day or evenings at (303) 413-9171 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Billy D. Johnson

B. D. (Bill) Johnson 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive, Boulder, Colorado 80302 Telephone: (303) 413-9171 Email: bill@groveok.com



Judith Frey 6191 Sunshine Canyon Dr. Boulder, CO 80302

Boulder County Land Use Dept. Building Division 2045 13th Street Boulder, CO 80302

September 25, 2002

To Whom It May Concern:

ORG218

I would like to express my support of my neighbor Fred Ells being allowed to complete his house as the project is currently underway. The new addition on the front represents a considerable improvement, in my opinion, over the flimsylooking greenhouse structure which was there, and strikes me as being a necessary part of completing his house in finished form. In addition, the new bay window is quite visually appealing, and removing any part of it would, in effect, ruin the bay window design.

Fred is also cleaning up his yard, which needed to be done. Incidentally, the house is not visible from Sunshine Canyon Road as one drives by.

Thank you for considering Fred's request to complete this much-needed improvement.

Sincerely,

Judith A. Frey

E150

September 25, 2002

Boulder County Land Use Department Boulder, CO

Re: Fred Ells variance request 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302

To Whom it may concern,

We have reviewed plans submitted by Mr. Ells' for construction improvements on his home at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive. We are Mr. Ell's nearest neighbor and it is our understanding that Mr. Ells requires a variance for a 4 ft. set-back encroachment on a bay window structure, and a 15 ft. setback in leiu of a 25 ft. set-back for a garage structure.

ATTACHMENT ORG

This letter is to inform you that we <u>do not</u> have any problem or conflict with Mr. Ells' request. We feel that this variance would in no way be a detriment to surrounding properties, including ours.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to call us @ 303-449-0818.

Sincerely,

Richard & Barbara Slarks 6299 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302

Greg Oxenfeld - Fred Ells and docket var-02-15

From:	"Kellogg, Joe" <joe.kellogg@ic.frcc.cccoes.edu></joe.kellogg@ic.frcc.cccoes.edu>
To:	"gnolu@co.boulder.co.us" <gnolu@co.boulder.co.us></gnolu@co.boulder.co.us>
Date:	11/4/02 2:40PM
Subject:	Fred Ells and docket var-02-15

NRG

Dear Mr. Oxenfeld,

I am writing in support of Fred Ells and his application for variances on his land on Sunshine Canyon Drive. I own property down county Road 85, which is common access for Fred Ells, and several other homeowners. I would like to respectfully disagree with the recommendations you and/or your staff made in regards to his petition. I personally think the addition and the garage would not adversely affect anyone, but would, in fact, enhance the neighborhood. I especially support his proposal to provide a cistern to the Sunshine fire department. Considering the fire danger in the mountains, I feel quite strongly that anything we can do to prepare for fire is something that should take highest priority.

Sincerely,

Joe Kellogg



DCT-25-2002 15:49 FROM:

. . · · ·



P.001/003

OSGOOD & HARRIS

LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2336 CANYON BOULEVARD, SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80302

WEB SITE www.oshlaw.com

FAX 303-442-6115

RUSSELL K. OSGOOD STEVEN E. HARRIS SCOTT R. OSGOOD

VOICE 303-442-0165

October 25, 2002

russ@oshlaw.com steve@oshlaw.com scott@oshlaw.com

TRANSMISSION IFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

Please deliver the following pages to:

Name: Boulder County Land Use Department Greg Oxenfeld 2045 13th Spruce St. Boulder, CO 80302

FAX#: 303-441-4856

FROM: Steven E. Harris Number of pages including cover: 3 TIME: 4:38pm Mountain time zone Mountain Time Zone PLEASE CALL 442-0165 IF THE FAX TRANSMISSION IS INCOMPLETE OR ILLEGIBLE.

Notes:

ORG221

E153

			ATTAC	HMENT ORC	G		
	~ -						
ASP UL DUSS	534	Suffix 01	Parcel No	146108000074	Post Office Bo	x 49it > 9duldMrTColorad	lesotsos 172
tas Arean (in) Mici II (mairs San		SHINE CANYON					
Supercontention	HR, NBR 9	40 GOLD HILL A	Lanc	I Use De	pann	neni	
		Sub Block 2045 13th	183 Street • 13th	S. CRUITBAUSEN & Spruce Streets Bould	hex 1er, Colorado 8	30302 • (303) 441-3930 7 & NELY .18 ACS E	
Legal Des. colicon	LD 691 GC	ICINE LD 183 1. ID HILL. TOTAL	1.87 ACS I	M/L SPLIT TO ID 3	2704 12/91	LID 326 57 COMBIN	ED HERE
2	PER OWNE	ER 198 7 PROPE		SS: 006301 SUN			
	ELLS FREE) ТОНИ					
In Care Of	C201 CUN		~ ~				
		SHINE CANYON					
Land Class Code		, CO 80302-9774 Bldg Class Code					
Rooms		Design Code		Year Built 19 8	97		
Bedrooms	•	Half Baths		3/4 Baths 0	07	Full Baths 1	
First Fi Fin Sqft	-	·	•	First	Fl Tot Sqft 1	296	
Above Fin Sqft					ve Tot Sqft 0		
Bsmnt Fin Sqft	-		,	Bsmr	nt Tot Sqft 69	94	
Garage Fin Sqft	602			Garag	ge Tot Sqft 🛛 6(02	
Other Fin Sqft	0			Othe	er Tot Sqft 🏾 🛛		
Land Act Val	\$ 338, 80	00		Ble	dg Act Val 🛛 💲	108, 800	
	\$ 31,000			Blo	dg Asd Val 🛛 💲	9, 960	
Deed1 No 114	9453-8	Deed1 D	t 18-DEC	. 91 C	Deed1 Fee 🛛 💲	.80	
Deed2 No 742	229	Deed2 [^{)t} 14-FEB-	86 D	Deed2 Fee 🛛 💲	.73	
Deed3 No		Deed3 D	Dt	C	Deed3 Fee 🛛 💲	.00	
Deed4 No		Deed4 [Dt	C	Deed4 Fee \$.00	

BP-02-5037 additin. 02-1510 remodel 02-1624 garage

.

.



	ND. 989	•
HE 401149453 12/18/91 02:52 PA REAL ESTATE RECORDS HE F1707 CHARLOTTE HOUSTON SOULDER CNTY CD RECORDER -		
WAREANTY DEED		
THIS DEED, Nadratis 16th day of December . 19 91 .		
FRED JOHN ELLS		
of the Country of Boulder . Sume of Colomado, generation and DEC 18 1991		
EUCH M. KISSELL		
shore by address is 1296 Vista Del Ciana, Camarillo, CA		
	150	
of Or Country of State of Country of State of Country of Country of State of Country of State of Country of State of Sta		
die annipt und sufficieurs of which is hereby ackaminiges, ha 6 granned, hergeined, and und conversed, and by these parsents do CAS grain, hangain, sell, conver, and conferm, anto the granner(s). Is 1.6 keine and antiper forward, all the confermation of the second		
innervenence. If any, situate lying and being in the Conney of Bou Later , Sume of Colorada. described as follows:		
All of the portion of the Eldorado Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 691 lying Southwesterly of a line extending Northwesterly and Southeasterly from Corner No. I of the Dead Medicine Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 183 as measured at right		
angles from Line 1-2 of said Eldorado Lode, EXCEPT all of those portions of said portion of the Eldorado Lode lying within the Sunshine Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey		
No. 244, the More Punch Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 393 and the Gold Bar Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 611, all in the Gold Hill Mining District and located in theSW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 8, in the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 17.		
and in the NE1/4 of the SE 1/4 of Section 18, all in Township 1 North, Pange 71 West of the 6th P.N., County of Boulder, State of Colorado.		
THIS DET IS GIVES TO DEPLEMENT BOARD OF COURTY COMMISSIONRES APPROVAL OF DOCKET		
SO. SL-vil-40 OS DECEMBER 12, 1991 Also haven by cent and combar an		
TOGETTERS with all and surgely the hereforeness and appendixments threats belonging, or in anywher approximity, and the environ and the optimum, committee and reactions and profile theread, and all the entries right, they independently between of		
the granters, either to hav or equity, cf. 10 and 10 the share harginest president, with the heredizativem and approximative. TO MAVE AND TO MOLD the said proximes above harginized cut dependent with the approximation, and the grantering, and emigra forever. And the proximate, for first and first first hard and provided experimentatives, do C-8 provided grant.		
bargain, and approve to and onto the granitation). A 1-9 bairs and analysis, day as the bairs of the consecting and definery of these presents. Both 1-9 well winted at the pressions above conveyed, but if great state, perform, absolute such indefinitely counts of indervious c. In two, in far tangle, and bas 5 great state, but present and and having to great, tangent, tangent, tangent, such and and the state of success and form as		
thread, and the time as her and the free 16 frates and allor grant, begins, when here, maximum, and the international and for 1991 Lazes and subsequent years and except		
for all mineral rights		
The granness dations and will WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND the store dargement premises in the gain and presentie possession		
al die gewonntse. In 1.45 keine wet entigen, igzbere of wet overy general and delte bedally divining die obere ar wet part die od. N. WITNESS WHE LEGS, die generaties by S. overweis this devis on the day set forth street.		
Files star tils		
STATE OF CONSERVO Comp of Box Lines		
The foregoing internant an attornation of the start 161b Aurod December . 1991		
My commission express VBC A		
Harris Signa In Charles		
To an Derther, alert - City and .		

PØ3



13:41

Retord: 00	1149455 12	/18/91 02:	52 PM RE	AL ESTAT	RECORDS			
Recepti F1	707 CHARLO	TTE HAURIS	N BOULDER	CUNIT CU	RECURPEN			
		WARRANTY	DEED	· .	1			
THIS	EED, Made this	16th dayof	December	, 19 5	n		(* 14	
between								
PRED J	ohn ells		of the	-	•	FAE HERE		
County of	Boulder		,	वेदार्थक, हाराजवा(१) ह	nd	DEC 18	1991	
FRED J	HOR BLLS					Exem		
whose lega	ladoren is 6301	Sunshine Ca	anyon Drive	, Boulder,	CO 80302			
					L		⁵	
							((1.0
of the WEINE	Cour SSETH, That the gra	nty of Boulds		•	e of Colorado, grante	z(5):		
INO CONS	IDERATION	LOT LINE AD	JUSTMENT OF	NLY		ana an	-224400	
grant, barg	ión, sell, convey, and c	confirm, units the gran			d atalgas forever, at	the real property. It		
described					Boulder	·	((5 Jac
Northea	that portion sterly of Li	ine 4-1 and	Line 4-1 ex	crended Nori	thwesterly (of the Shado	JW [[
U.S. M	.S. Mineral meral Survey	Survey No. V No. 691 1v	279; and al ing Northea	ll of that posterly of a	portion of a	the Eldorade	b Lode,	
and Sou	theasterly f No. 183, as	rom Corner	No. 1 of ea	id Dead Med	dicine Lode.	U.S. Mines	al	
(EXCEPT	those portio	ms of said	portion of	the Eldorad	do Lode lyin	ig within so	id 🛛	
No. 244	dicine Lode, , said Shado	w Lode and	the Boulder	Valley Lo	de. U.S. Min	neral Survey	No.	
18, Town	l in the Gol ship l North	.0 Hill Tini:), Range 71 (ng District West of the	e oth P.M.,	ed in the Si County of a	/ 1/4 of 540 Woulder, Sta	tion ite of	
Colorad	D. Ed is given "	TO IMPLEMENT	T BOARD OF	COUNTY COM	TERTÓNERS /	PPROVAL OF	DOCKET	
NO. SE-	91-40 on Dec	ember 12, 19	991					
TOGET	ILER with oil and sing	ular the hereditamen	is and apportment	es shereto betongio	g, or in anywise appe	maining, and the re-	remion and	
56 .	vessinder end remain 6), cliber in law or equ						ensever of	6.2
	E AND TO HOLD d former. And the gran			-	ipiaricalinees, sittle G personal representati		- 1	
bargain, and he i	l agree to and with th LG well writer	e graduce(s). h1 d of the promises abo			line of the cascaling Acc. absolute and its			
11	r simple, and has S nd that the same are	good right, fi	all power and autho	rity to grant, bargai	in, and and convey d	is nome in manher s	nd form as	
	of whitever bind or A						1	
of the grante		brint and assign	ns, againss all and m	rery person of perso	materrially claiming	-	. 1	
(N WITH	ess where of the	M Maria	e executed this deed	i on the date set for	th above.		1	
FRED	JOHN FLLS	av jour	<u> </u>	· 				
				<u></u>				
{{	STATE (OF COLORADO	`	<u>`</u>	·			
li -				L L			ll.	
	County o g instruments was actu 100403 - 51 1 C) ang of	Docember	.19	91 ,	
0 7 PACL	JOHN ELLS	AN AN	N PUEL					M
	um capitres V13	56 1202		litess my hond and i	Isse leizifia		1	
My controls			CHELLEM	V	1 1 1 1 1	ashe.		5

PØ4

, i i	15:41 RECORDING THAT THE RECORDING	ND.92
Rotafi	01149456 12/18/91 02:52 PM REAL ESTATE RECORDS	j
Recept	THE PARTY CO RECORDER	
	WARBANTY DEED	
п	HS DEED, Made this 16th day of December .19 91.	
	+ezo	
F	RED JOHN ELLS of the • SHIT SUCHEDING SE	
	unty of Boulder .Suse of Colonado, grantan(s) and DEC 18 1991	
fi	D JOHN ELLS	
-	meteoristic 6301 Sumehine Canyon Drive, Boulder, CO 80302	
) of a	e Countres Boulder State of Catanada, proments)	0J
у ЮК	MINESSETM, They the granings, for and in considerning of the same of CONSIDERATION - LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT ONLY	
	escept and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, he 9 granted, bargained, and and conveyed, and by these presents def 9 n. bargain, will, convey, and confirm, easy the granted). If 20 being and assigns forever, all the real property, together with	
imp	novements. If any, simular, lying and being in the County of Boulder , State of Calorado.	
1	E EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY THS REFERENCE.	
}		
1		
DOC	S DEED IS GIVEN TO IMPLEMENT BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS APPROVAL OF KET NO. SE-91-40 on december 12, 1991	
í		
etto	known by street and reproduct as:	
	DEFINES with all and singular the beneditaments and appurtuent aces thereto beforeing, or in anywhar appointsining, and the reversion and internet weather and remainders, rends, hustes and prefits theread, and sit, the restate, right, this, interest, cloim and demand weathere of	
Deg	ramonal, either to law or equity, of, in and to the above bargelest, memians, with the hereditanzenis and oppurenzaces.	
and a	using in the end of the grantes (a), for him set f his noise and personal representations do eg correct, grant, in, and agree to end with the grantes(a), his him and program that at the time of the endealing and delivery of these presents.	
ll i	18 16 well reject of the premises above conveyed, he 6 eyes, some perfect, absolute and indefeasible estate of inheritance, a, in fire simple, and ha 6 good right, fall power and some or to grant, tompin, shill and convey the same in manaer and form as	
sion	stints of whitever sind or neuror server, deept	
ļ		
14	The gramor(s) shall and with WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND the above-bargained premises in the quirt and percendie possession	
"	granter(s). h16 being and assigns, against alt and every person or persons leavially claiming the whole or any part thereof. WITNESS WHEREOF, the granter(s) to S executed this deed on the date set forth above.	
	they John allo	
	D_JOHN_SLLS	
li i	STATE OF COLORADO	
	County of Boulder formation of the second and the s	
	PRED JOHN ELLS	
by	Via Shara	
by	ommulasion copiers 113194	
by	Via Shara	

005

OKG226

• :

ORG227

RECORDING DIVISION HARS

PØ6

EXHIBIT "A"

All of the Dead Medicine Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 183; all of that portion of the Atchison Lode, U.S. Mineral Servey No. 247 lying Northeasterly of Line 4-1 and Line 4-1 extended Northwesterly of the Shadow Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 279; and all of that portion of the Eldorado Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 691 lying Northeasterly of a line extending Northwesterly and Southeasterly from Corner No. 1 of said Dead Medicine Lode as measured at right angles from line 1-2 of said Eldorado Lode, EXCEPT those portions of said portion of the Eldorado Lode lying within said Dead Medicine Lode, said Atchison Lode, the Sumphine Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 244, said Shadow Lode and the Boulder Valley Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 592, all in the Gold Hill Mining District and Located in the SW 1/4 of Section 8, Township 1 North, Range 71 West of the Sch P.K., County of Boulder, State of Colorado.

E159

001149457 12/18/91 02:35 FT 1707 CHARLOTTE HOUSTON BOU	REAL ESTATE RECORDS		-1	
WARRANTY				
THIS DEED, Made this 16th day of between	December 19 91.			
HUGH M. KISSELL	of the *			
Country of	. Stars of Colorado, grantor(s) and	DEC 1 8 1991		
HUGH M. KISSELL		Eremal		
whose legal address is 1296 Vista: Del	l Cima, Camarillo, CA			
	· · ·	· ·		į
of the Country of	, State of Colored	a granice(s).	.5	l
WITNESSETH, The use granter(s), for and is co NO CONSIDERATION - LOT LINE AL the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknow	JUSTNENT ONLY	nan an an a san a san a DOILARS ,~		Í
grant, bargain, sell, convey, and confirm, unto the gra improvements, if any, tituate, lying and being in the	nacess), h1s beins and assigna (or	ever, all the real property, together with		
described as follow: All of U.S. Government Lots 12	9 130 and 137 located in th	e NW 1/4 of the NW 1/4		ļ.
of Section 17, Township 1 Nort portion of the Atchison Lode,	th, Range 71 West of the 6th	P.M.; all of that	1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 -	ł
the Northwesterly line of the Mineral Survey No. 244; and al	Southeasterly 25.00 feet of	the Sunshine Lode, U.S.		
of seid Sunshine Lode lying No Mineral Survey No. 15051, all	rtheseterly of Line 4-1 of t	he Sailor Lode, U.S.		
the SW:1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Se Section 17, and in the NE 1/4	ction 8 and in the NW 1/4 of	the NW 1/4 of said		ł
North, Range 71 West of the 6t	h P.M., County of Boulder, S	tate of Colorado.		i
THIS DEED IS GIVEN TO IMPLEMEN		ERS APPROVAL OF DOCKET		[
NO. 52-91-40 OF DECEMBER 12, 1	33]			
revenions, revaluder and revaluders, reau, issues a		rresi, claim and demand whatsperer of		
De gramonts), rither to have or equity, of, in and to the TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the sold premium abo and assigns former. And the gramonts), for him	we bargelined and described with the appurteneous			
bargain, and agree to and with the grontents), his		nscaling and delivery of these presents.		
in law, in fee simple, and to g good right, f aforetaid, and that the sense are free and clear from a	full power and authority to grant, hargain, self and o	onvey the same in manner and form as		:
 restrictions of whetever kind or nature solver, except 				
	OREVER DEFEND in above benjalned premise as, against all and every person or persons leveluity i			
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the grantar(s) ha				
NUCH N. KISSELL by RODNEY FEL2		Harpey in fac M		
attorney_in_fact				
STATE OF CULORADO	1.			
County of Boulder The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before an	subia 16th devol Decen	iber . 19 91 .		
by RODNEY FELZIEN as attorn	dp fact for HUGH M. KISSELL			
My commission expires 1134 ROCH	SLIE () There is and official scale	mos		
	ISTED / T	Maan Adda		

,

	RDER d-/
WABRANTY DEED	
THIS DEED, Medication 16th day of December , 19 91.	
RUCE M. KISSELL	
Ward y of State of Colorado, greator(s) and	
NGH W. KISSELL	DEC 1 8 1991
dess legal address is 1296 Vista Del Cima, Camarillo, CA	E Berne L
	6
f the County of State of Colorido, granier(s); WITNESSETH, That the grantor(s), for and is consideration of the sum of O CONSIDERATION - LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT ONLY	
the resident and mathematics of which is hereby acknowledged, ha 5 granted, beggined, add and conveyed, and b fami, bargain, and, convey, and confirm, units the granteets), h16 here and assigns forwart, with the n mprovements, if any, situate, lying and being in the Country of Boulder members as follows:	
EE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY THIS R	REFERENCE.
io known by suren and nomber as:	
TOGETHER with all and singular the hereditansmis and appurtenances thereto belonging, or in converte appendix netraions, remainder and remainders, rema, insues and profile thereof, and all the est', right, title, inserver, chirm at a grantor(a), either in two or equity, of, is and to the above bargained provider, with the', untertances, unto the gra- ter grantor(a), either in two or equity, of, is and to the above bargained provider, with the', untertances, unto the gra- ter grantor(a), either in two or equity, of, is and to the above bargained and described with the', untertances, unto the gra- ter and assigns forever. And the granter(s), for him set f his heirs an corrowal representatives, argain, and agree to and with the granter(s). his heirs and assigns, that at the line of the extending and he is one or or statistic of the premises above conveyed, ha is good, sore, part it, absolute and indictan law, in the simple, and has S good right, foil power and statistive to grant, bargain, sofa.; little, taxes, assessing attentions of ohardver hind or source society, except	and demand whomaever of diances. answers), h1.5. terms , do 29 covenant, grant. delivery of these presents. wibble ensue of the - mace, ms in mancer and & m as
The granteris) shall and will WAP.RAKT AN" > POREVER DEFEND the above-bargested overhiers in the quiet the granteris). his hairs and easigns, egainst all and overy person or persons is will by the mine the will NETNESS WHEREOF, the granteries has been excepted this deed on the date set forth above.	
HUCH M. RISSELL BY RODNEY POLZIEN as actorney in fact	
STATE OF COLORADO	
County of Boulder	_19 91 J
RODNEY FELZIEN as actorney in fact for HUGH M. KISSELL	
RODNEY FELZIEN as attorney in fact for HUGH M. KISSELL	hi Dama

10/16/02

15:41

RECORDING DIVISION 485E

NO.909 **D**Ø9

PERIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All of U.S. Government Lot 131 located in the BW 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Section 17, Township I North; Range 71 West of the 6th P.M.; all of the Black Swan Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 245; all of the Sunshine Lode, U.S. Nineral Survey No. 244, EXCEPT all of that portion of the Southessterly 25.00 feet of said Sunshine Lode lying Northeasterly of line 4-1 of the Sailor Lode, V.S. Mineral Survey No. 15051; and EXCEPT all of that portion of said Sunshine Lode lying within all of that portion of the Atchison Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 247 lying Worthessterly of Line 4-1 and Line 4-1 extended Northwesterly of the Shadow Lods, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 279; all of that portion of the Atchiso Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 247 Lying Northeasterly of the Northwesterly line of the Southeasterly 25.00 feet of said Sunshine Lode and Lying Southwesterly of Line 4-1 and line 4-1 extended Northwesterly of said Shadow Lode; and all of that portion of the Eldorado Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 691 lying Southwesterly of a line excending Borthvesterly and Southeasterly from Corner No. 1 of the Dead Medicine Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 183 Be measured at right angles from Line 1-2 of said Eldoredo Lode, EXCEPT all of those portions of said portion of the Eldorado Lode lying within said Sunshine Lode, the More Punch Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 393 and the Gold Bar Lode, U.S. Mineral Survey No. 611, all in the Gold Will Mining District and located in the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 8, in the NW 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of said Section 17 and in the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 Section Statements of Section 18, all in Township 1 North, Range 71 West of the 6th P.M., County of Bouldar, State of Colorado.

	F1395 CHARLOTTE HOUSTON BOULDER CNTY CO RECORDER Bororder.	ā.	
	Viljng RTAMP		
	THIN DEFU. Mode this 14th day of FODTUREY	7.7.74	
	19 86. hetween VIRGINIA R. CAULWELL and		
l	nthe Countrol and Statu of	1. m	
ll	Colurado, of the first part, and		
ļ	FRED J. ELLS		
	when legal address is 5000 Butte, \$101, Boulder, Colorado 80301	4	
	uf the County of BOULGER unit State of Colorado, of the second part: WITNERSETH, That the asid party of the first purt, for and is consideration of the sum of Seven Thous TWO Hundred Fifty and no/100(\$7, 250,00)	ts, by In.	
	See legal description attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.		
	also known as street and number N.A.		
	centre, right, title, interest, claim and demand whatsocier of the said party of the first part, either in law or equity, of a not to the showe bargained premises, with the hereditaments and appurtenances. TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises above bargained and described, with the supertenances, unto the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns forever. And the said party of the first part, for himself, his heir executors, and addinators, does covenant, grant, bargain, and agree to and with the said party of the second part, bis heirs and assigns, that at the time of the unsenling and delivery of these presents, he is well select of the present simult right, full power and lowful authority to grant, bargain, self and convey the saim in manner and form afterwald, and that the same are free and clear from all former and more grans, bargains, anles, liens, tax assessments and encumbraness of whetever kind or nature seaver. CUFFENT Feal property taxes which, by reason of adjustment, Grantee arsumes and agrees to Fay and except easements, restrictions, reservations, covenants, and rights-of-way of record or as may be apparent on the ground,	ia ra, en 35 14 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	
	und the aboved hargoined premises in the quiet and poserable passasion of the said party of the second part, b heles and assigns against all and every person or persons lowfully claiming or to claim the whole or any part there the said party of the first part shall and will WARRANT AND FOREVER DEPEND. The singular number sha include the plural, the plural the singular, and the use of any gender above papileable to all genders. IN WITNERN WIEREDF, the sold party of the first part has become on this hand and seal the day and year fire above written.	а. И	
	NINA KUHN and ISEAL		
	VIRGINIA K. CAULWELL, INFAL		
	LET F. MTATEOF COLORAINI John M. Fannan, their agent and John M. Fannan, their agent and A. County of Boulder		
	Hold A & A day of February day of February day of February		
Ļ	and Virginia K. Caulwell.		
	"Sty fulling under 1 19- 57 Malan & Mission my hand and atticked real		

.•

10/16/02

EXHIBIT A

LUGAL DESCRIPTION

FOR DEED BETWEEN NINA KUHN and VIRGINIA K. CAULWELL, and FRED J. ELLS

THE DEAD MEDICINE LODE Mining Claim, Mineral Survey No. 183, THE ATCHISON LUDE Mining Claim, Mineral Survey No. 247, and THL ELDORADO LODE Mining Claim, Mineral Survey No. 691, all located in the Gold Mill Mining District, and embracing portions of Section 8, 17 and 18, Township 1 North, Mange 71 West of the 6th P.M., EKCEPT those portions of the Eldorado Lode Mining Claim Jying within the Dead Medicine (Mineral Survey No. 183), the Sumshine (Mineral survey Bo. 244), the (Mineral Survey No. 183), the Sumshine (Mineral Survey No. 279), Atchison (Mineral Survey No. 247), the Shadow (Mineral Survey No. 279), the More Punch (Mineral Survey No. 393), the Boulder Valley (Minerel Survey No. 592) and the Gold Bar (Mineral Survey No. 611) Lode Mining Claims, as excepted and excluded by United States Patent recorded August 7, 1985 on Film 1366 as Reception No. 704831, all in the County of Boulder, State of Colorado.

nksure

RESOLUTION 2002-118

ATTACHMENT ORG

A RESOLUTION CONDITIONALLY APPROVING BOULDER COUNTY LAND USE DOCKET {#SE-02-14 ("JOHNSON/ELLS BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT"): A REQUEST FOR A SUBDIVISION EXEMPTION FOR A BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT BETWEEN TWO PARCELS OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6300 AND 6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DRIVE, EAST OF GOLD HILL, IN SECTION 8, T1N, R71W

WHEREAS, Billy Don and Pamela B. Johnson, and Fred J. Ells ("Applicants"), have requested an exemption from the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations, pursuant to Article 9 of the Boulder County Land Use Code ("the Land Use Code"), to adjust the boundaries between their two parcels of property which are located as generally described in the caption to this Resolution, above, in the Forestry Zoning District in unincorporated Boulder County; and

WHEREAS, the request would eliminate the boundaries of the Dead Medicine lode (Ells parcel) as it crosses the White Crow lode (Johnson parcel), by adding 0.2 acre of the intersecting portion of the Dead Medicine lode to the White Crow lode, and merging that 0.2-acre portion into the White Crow lode (a 4.83-acre parcel); and

WHEREAS, the Applicants' request is to recognize the 4.83-acre White Crow lode, which contains an existing, 4,902 square-foot residence for which the County issued a building permit in 1993, as a separate legal building lot, with boundaries adjusted to eliminate the overlapping area of the Dead Medicine lode; and

WHEREAS, the Applicants recognize that the White Crow lode was combined with the vacant, substandard Young American lode and Little Giant #2 lode, by regulation under the Land Use Code in 1998, after construction of the residence on the White Crow lode; and

WHEREAS, the Applicants expressly recognize and understand that if the White Crow lode with its existing residence is recognized as a separate legal building lot, on its own, pursuant to this request, then it is necessary to make clear in any approval herein granted that the Young American lode and the Little Giant #2 lode are not legal building lots; and

WHEREAS, the Dead Medicine lode contains a 2,592 square-foot residence constructed in 1987; and

WHEREAS, no new legal building lots will be created by the Applicants' request; and

1



1

WHEREAS, the above-described request was processed and reviewed as Boulder County Land Use Docket #SE-02-14 ("the Docket"), all as further described in the Boulder County Land Use Department Planning Staff's Memorandum and written recommendation to the Board dated September 3, 2002, with its attachments ("the Staff Recommendation"); and

ATTACHMENT ORG

WHEREAS, on September 3, 2002, the Board held a duly-noticed public hearing on the Docket ("the Public Hearing"), at which time the Board considered the Staff Recommendation, and the documents and testimony presented by the Boulder County Land Use Department Planning Staff, the County Attorney's Office, representatives of the Applicants, and a member of the public; and

WHEREAS, based on the Public Hearing, the Board finds that the Docket meets the criteria for a boundary line adjustment under Article 9 of the Land Use Code, subject to the conditions stated below, and, therefore, finds that the Docket does not fall within the purposes of the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations, and can be approved pursuant to Article 9 of the Land Use Code, subject to the conditions stated below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Docket is hereby approved, on the basis and terms set forth in this Resolution, above, and subject to the following conditions:

1. The approval of this Docket does not have the legal effect of a building lot determination/approval as to the Young American lode and Little Giant #2 lode, which are considered not to be legal building lots, and which shall not have their building lot status changed in any way as a result of this approval. A deed restriction shall be placed on these two parcels as part of the post-approval recordation requirements for the Docket, making clear that the parcels are not legal building lots and have not been converted into legal building lots by virtue of this approval.

2. With the exception of the construction currently existing on the White Crow lode, the adjusted area of land may not be used for building or setback purposes.

3. The adjusted parcels shall continue to be governed by all applicable provisions of the Land Use Code, County Building Code, and County Health Department (such as individual sewage disposal (ISDS)) regulations. In addition, the owner of the Dead Medicine lode shall apply for and receive all necessary permits and approvals for the addition to the existing dwelling, prior to recordation of the subdivision exemption documents for the Docket.

2



4. Prior to recordation, the Applicants shall comply with all applicable post-approval requirements as stated in this approval, above, and in Article 3-206 of the Land Use Code, which requirements shall be completed within one year after the date of adoption of this Resolution (see Article 9-300 of the Code), unless an extension of time is granted as allowed under Article 9-300(B) of the Land Use Code.

ATTACHMENT ORG

A motion to approve the Docket, as stated above, was made by Commissioner Stewart, seconded by Commissioner Danish, and passed by a 3-0 vote.

ADOPTED this <u>lot</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2002, nunc protunc the 3rd day of September, 2002.



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF_BOULDER COUNTY:

Mendez, Chair Paul D. Danish, Vice Chair Ronald K. Stewart, Commissioner

ATTEST:

)RG235

lerk to the



E167





ATTACHMENT ORG

A RESOLUTION APPROVING BOULDER COUNTY LAND USE DOCKET #SE-91-40 ("ELLS/BATTANY/KISSELL BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT"): AN EXEMPTION FROM THE BOULDER COUNTY SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS FOR A BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 4.5 MILES WEBT OF BOULDER, BETWEEN SUNSHINE DRIVE AND GOLD RUN ROAD IN SECTION 17, T1N, R71W.

WHEREAS, Patrick Hammerle ("Applicant") has requested an exemption from the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations for certain boundary line adjustments on the property described in the caption to this Resolution, above ("the Subject Property"), which is located in the Forestry Zoning District in unincorporated Boulder County, the purpose of which request is to move the boundaries creating three parcels of approximately 8.25, 1.52, and 8.47 acres from three existing parcels of 6.47, 5.27, and 6.5 acres; and

WHEREAS, all three existing parcels are eligible for building lot designation, with an existing dwelling on one parcel (the 1.52acre parcel), and the other two parcels being physically buildable, although this boundary line adjustment request would allow the other two dwellings to be constructed with the least amount of disruption to the sites; and

WHEREAS, the above-described request was processed and reviewed as Boulder County Land Use Docket #SE-91-40 ("the Docket"), all as further described in the Boulder County Land Use Department Planning Staff's Memorandum and written recommendation to the Boulder County Board of County Commissioners ("the Board") dated December 12, 1991, with its attachments ("the Staff Recommendation"); and

WHEREAS, on December 12, 1991, the Board held a duly-noticed public meeting on the Docket ("the Public Meeting"), at which time the Board considered the Staff Recommendation, and the documents and testimony presented by the Boulder County Land Use Department Planning Staff, with an attorney for the Applicant being present but choosing not to speak; and

WHEREAS, based on the Public Meeting, the Board finds that the Docket meets the applicable criteria of § 8-1001 of the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations (Subsections (1) and (2)), and, therefore, does not fall within the purposes of the Subdivision Regulations, and can be approved, subject to the usual post-approval requirements of § 8-901 of the Subdivision Regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Docket is hereby approved, on the basis and terms set forth in this Resolution, above.

ATTACHMENT ORG -

A motion to approve the Docket, as stated above, was made by Commissioner Hume, seconded by Commissioner Page, and passed by a 2-0 vote, with Commissioner Stewart being excused.

ADOPTED this $\frac{14^{+1}}{1991}$ day of May, 1992, nunc pro tune the 12th day of December, 1991.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF BOULDER COUNTY:

Ronald K. Stewart, Chair (EXCUSED)

Chair Homei lice ade

HIC

Sandy Hume

ATTEST:

ť

schnedt to the Board Clerk

ATTACHMENT ORG	
#0 2 12/18/91 02:52 PM RECESTATE RECORDS	·
EXEMPTION FROM BOULDER COUNTY SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS	-
DOCKET # <u>SE-91-40 EUS BLA</u> DATE 12/12/91	
APPLICANT <u>PATRICK HAMMERLE</u>	<u> </u>
8,25,	
REQUEST TO CREATE PARCELS OF 1.52, AND 8,47 ACRES, OUT OF	
<u></u> PARCEL(S), TOTALING ACRES,	4
LOCATED IN THE \pm , S 12 , T $1N$, R 71 .	
 BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT O PARCEL DIVISION (COMMUNITY FACILITY) (OTHER) O LEASE RECOGNITION (COMMUNITY FACILITY) (OTHER) 	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY (SEE ANTACHED DEEDS)	
COMMISSIONER HUME MOVED,	
COMMISSIONER PAGE SECONDED TO:	
 APPROVE CONDITIONAL APPROVE (CONDITION:)
THE ABOVE REQUEST. THE MOTION WAS PASSED:	
• UNANIMOUSLY (STEWART ABSENT) O BY MAJORITY	
A Day of Day of Day	
CHAIRMAN BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COLUMN OF BOULDER, STATE OF COLORADO	
COUNT ATTEST:	
Hattallen M. Cook DEPUTY COUNTY CLERK	

ORG239

.

..-

		CNTY COREC		
WARRA	NTY DEED	<u></u>	ب چن محمد مع جز میں مع	s. 1 ₁ ,
	ay of December	, 19 91,		
eiween	yor pecember	, 17 J.T.)		
RED JOHN ELLS		•	STATE BUCHNENTARY FER	•.
County of Boulder	of the State of Colo	* rado, granior(s) and	DEC 1 8 1991	
RED JOHN ELLS			Exempt	
those legal address is 6301 Sunshi	ne Canvon Drive	Boulder CO 80	1302	
	ie oanjon brive,	1001der, 00 0		5
				.!! .
f the County of B	oulder	, State of Colo	rado, grantee(s):	
WITNESSETH, That the grantor(s), for a O CONSIDERATION - LOT LI			DOLLARS	s'
he receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby rant, bargain, sell, convey, and confirm, unto	acknowledged, ha S gr	anted, bargained, sold and	l conveyed, and by these presents do es s forever, all the real property, together with	:
mprovements, if any, situate, lying and being		•	ilder , State of Colorado	
escribed as follows: 11 of that portion of the	e Atchison Lode,	U.S. Mineral S	urvey No. 247 lying	.' .:
ortheasterly of Line 4-1 ode, U.S. Mineral Survey	No. 279; and all	of that porti	on of the Eldorado Lode,	4 •
.S. Mineral Survey No. 6 nd Southeasterly from Co	91 lying Northeas	terly of a lin	e extending Northwester	ly į
urvey No. 183, as measure KCEPT those portions of a	ed at right angle	s from Line 1-	2 of said Eldorado Lode,	, ,
ad Medicine Lode, said	Atchison Lode, th	e Sunshine Lod	e, U.S. Mineral Shrvey	.!
o. 244, said Shadow Lode 92, all in the Gold Hill	and the Boulder Mining District	Valley Lode, U and located in	S. Mineral Survey No. the SW 1/4 of Section	,:
, Township 1 North, Range	e 71 West of the	6th P.M., Cour	ty of Boulder, State of	
HIS DEED IS GIVEN TO IMP1 S. SE-91-40 on December is the known by street and number as:		COUNTY COMMISSI	ONERS APPROVAL OF DOCKET	F
TOGETHER with all and singular the ber	editaments and appurtenance	, s there to belonging, or in :	inywise appertaining, and the reversion and	1 .
eversions, remainder and remainders, rents, he grantor(s), either in law or equity, of, in a	-	-		r :
TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said pren	nises above bargained and des	cribed with the appurtent	nces, unto the grantee(s), his heirs	15
nd assigns forever. And the grantor(s), for argain, and agree to and with the grantee(s)		signs, that at the time of	I representatives, do e.g. covenant, grant, the ensealing and delivery of these presents,	
			solute and indefeasible estate of inheritance. and convey the same in manner and form as	
foresaid, and that the same are free and cle estrictions of whatever kind or nature soeve		grants, bargains, sales, li	ens, laxes, assessments, encumbrances, and	1
	,			ij
		•		분
			mises in the quiet and peaceable possession	
of the grantee(s), his heirs IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the grantor(s)	-		fully claiming the whole or any part thereof. /c.	
Elul John is	Chi .			
FRED JOHN SILLS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				-
STATE OF COLOR	ADO	. 1		
County of Bo	oulder	53.		
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged by FRED JOHN ELLS	before me this 16th	day of	December ,19 91	• .
My commission expires V(364	NRY PUE			•
viy commission expires いうぞく ど	With With	hess my hand and official	Seal.	
		Collenser	Notary Publ	lie -
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4 11.11 EU/ 4			

URG240

...



Post Office Box 471 • Soulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

2040 14th Street • 14th & Spruce Streets • Administrative Services Building, 2nd Roor • Boulder, Colorodo 80302 • (303) 441-3930

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

TACHMENT ORG

AGENDA ITEM

December 12, 1991 -- 2:00 PM Hearing Room, Third Floor Boulder County Courthouse

FROM: Rosi Koopmann, Staff Planner

PUBLIC MEETING

RE:

Docket SE-91-40 ELLS/BATTANY/KISSELL Boundary Line Adjustment

Request: Final Subdivision Exemption to move boundary lines among three parcels.

Location: Approximately 4.5 miles west of Boulder, between Sunshine Dr. and Gold Run Rd. in Section 17, T1N, R71W.

Zoning: Forestry Applicant(s): Patrick Hammerle

DISCUSSION

The applicant is proposing to move the boundaries creating three parcels of approximately 8.25, 1.52, and 8.47 acres from three parcels of 6.47, 5.27, and 6.5 acres. All three existing parcels are eligible for building lot designation having been created prior to 1978 when the minimum lot area requirement was 5 acres.

One parcel has an existing dwelling constructed in 1987 with a building permit. The other two parcels are physically buildable, but this boundary line adjustment would allow the two dwellings to be constructed with the least amount of disruption to the sites. All three parcels access off either Gold Run Rd. or Sunshine Dr. with access permits from County Public Works.

Staff finds that this request meets the exemption criteria as specified in Section 8-1001 of the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations.

RECOMMENDATION

Therefore, the Land Use staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners <u>APPROVE</u> Docket SE-91-40 Ells/Battany/Kissell BLA subject to the usual post-approval requirements of Section 8-901 of the Boulder County Subdivision Regulations.

Sandy Hume County Commissioner Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Homer Page County Commissioner



DEVELOPM		LICAT	ION FC		DOCKET NUMBER	
Boulder Land Use Departme					DATE SUBMITTED	<u> </u>
P.O. BOX 471 + 14TH & SPRUCE STREETS + BOUL	DER, COLORADO 20	<u></u>	<u></u>		11-20 HEALTH DEPARTME	
lls/Battany - Kissell Conso	<u>lidation</u>	BLA				-
APPLICANTSNAME			STREET ADDRESS		to 107	
Patrick J. Hammerle, Agent	STATE		1911 11t	n st. s	PHONENUMBER	
Boulder	C0		80302			42-3180
PROPERTYOWNERSNAME Fred Ells and Haydee Battany		•	STREET ADDRESS	Fred: 6	301 Sunshi Simpson L	ne Canyon afayette, CO
CITY	STATE		ZIPCODE	209	PHONENUMBER	
Boulder	CO		STREET ADDRESS		303 ,44	2-5340
Rodney Felzien, Attorney			595 Can	yon <u>Blvd</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	CO		80302		еноне NUMBER	0.6610
Boulder NGINEERING CONSULTANT			STREET AODRESS			
James L. Pusch P.E.	STATE		345 Ever	rgreen A	Ve .	<u> </u>
Boulder	co		80304		303 4	47-0410
GENERAL L	OCATION	LEGAL DES	CRIPTION	ADDRESS	TA	ه الهاد تعبيره ماي م
6301 Sunshine Canvon, Boulde	r				old Run	
OT BLOCK SUBDIVISION				SECTION	TOWNSHIP	PANGE
and the second and a second state of the second		PEOFREQU	IEST	17	<u> 1N</u>	71W
Subdivision Sketch Plan - Simple (7 Lots of Subdivision Sketch Plan - Complex (More Subdivision Plan - Preliminary Subdivision Plan - Preliminary Subdivision Plan - Final Resubdivision Exemption - Preliminary Subdivision Exemption - Preliminary Subdivision Exemption - Final Reconing Special Use Special Use - Open Subsurface Mining or Special Use - Group Care Facility Vacation Easement Road Road Name Change Board of Adjustment Variance Board of Review Location and Extent Review Location and Extent Review Request for Extension of Approval Flood Plain Development Permit Special Use Monitoring Expired Plan Review Historic Zone Permit Review Historic Zone Permit Review	than 7 Lots) — Landfill — tive Decision —		1,800.00 1,000.00 1,500.00 350.00 350.00 1,700.00 1,700.00 2,700.00 300.00 300.00 300.00 2,700.00 600.00 300.00 300.00 500.00 500.00 150.00 350.00	- 1.2.3.6.7.8.5 - 1 2.4.6.7.8.5 - 1 2.4.6.7.8.5 - 1.2.5.7.8.10 - 1.3.6.11ab. - 1.3.6.8.11ab. - 1.3.6.8.11ab. - 1.2.3.6.7.8.5 - 1.6.8.22 - 1.2.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.6.8.22 - 1.2.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.6.8.22 - 1.2.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.6.8.22 - 1.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.8.22 - 1.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.8.22 - 1.13d.22 - 1.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.8.22 - 1.13d.22 - 1.3.6.7.8.13a - 1.8.22 - 1.7.22 - See Regula	9.10.11ac.12.13af 10.11b.14.22 .11abd.12.14.15. .3.9.10.11.12.13af 12.13g.22 0.12.13gh.15.16.2 12.13abfg.22 9.10.11.12.13aefg 0.10.11.12.13aefg 0.10.11.12.13aefg 0.10.11.12.13aefg 0.21.22 af.14.22 ef.22 af.14.22 8.22 tions - Article 17 Review) tions - Article 10 ng & Material Co	g, 14.22 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 g, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 2 2 18, 22 18, 22 8 8 9 B.C. Z.R. 9 B.C. Z.R. 25ts
Other	day				ICENT ONLY INDREST STOCK	0 ' C #
] Other	shed by revew agence align exceeds the aven f waver or rebate is re- billed are true and	rage, additional charges equested the BOC.C. w ERTIFICATION correct to the best	may be required by the all consider a request a DN. of my knowledge. It	n filing the app oderstand that wing this mature it of consider roval. TITLE * Real Et TITLE *	dication I am acting	e, as established by derstand that public ise in the processing

ATTACHMENT ORG

....

.

.

SUB SIGN EXEMPTION INFORMATION

A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A		EXISTING PARCE	EL(S)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DESCRIPTION	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	FIVE
ACREAGE	6.47 acres	~5.27 3.75 acres	6.5 acres		
FRONTAGE	Govt. Lots 129,130,131,132	Eldorado and AtchisonLodes	Sunshine/Bla Swan Lodes	ск	
DATE ACQUIRED	1987	+ DEAD MEDICINE 1985	1983		
OWNER		Fred Ells	Haydee Battan	y	
DATE CREATED	Prior to 1972 (Govt. Lots)	Patent # 691	Patent # 244	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
EXISTING	_0	1	0		
DATE CONSTRUCTED					
SETBACKS FRONT/REAR					
SETBACKS SIDE/SIDE					
			EL(S)	요즘 왜 주말을 했다.	なたアニスの課題を認
ACREAGE	a.) 8.25 acres	~ 1.52 3 5.) 8.47	8.47		
FRONTAGE		·			
SETBACKS FRONT/REAR					
SETBACKS SIDE/SIDE			<u> </u>		
OWNER	Hugh Kissell		KISSELL		
PARCEL		PROPERTY OWN	INTREET AD		
Fred Ells			6301	Sunshine Canyon	
1 CITY Boulder	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STATE CO		P CODE	NE NUMBER
NAME			STREET AD		
2 Same as #1 above	<u> </u>				
		STATE		4	
3 Haydee- Battany-	HUAH KISSE	(L	STREET AD	eress 	
Lafayette		STATE CO			031665-7760
NAME			STREET AD	DRESS	
4 CITY		STATE	LZ	P CODE	
NANE	·		STREET AD	DRESS	
5 ciry		STATE	z	P-HQ _ P-HQ	NE NUMBER
PEMARKS				<u>l'</u>	
			•		
			,		. –
					ъ.
<u></u>	·	<u>. </u>	. ·		······································

	· • • •	ATTAC	CHMENT OR	G _		•		
			•					
	nd ure department	DEVELO	PMENT SUM FORM	MAR		-91-9		-
OJECT NAME			•	EXE. TYPE			-	
Ls/Ba	<u>ttany - Kissell Con</u>	solidation	BLA	·,	S.U. TYPE		···· ,	
Patrick	J. Hammerle, Licen	sed Real Estat	e Agent					
PEATY OWNE	nting Fred Ells and	Haydee Battan	y		D.U. TYPE			
		TYP				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	er e	
	IAME CHANGE	SPECIAL US	SE	·	SUBDIVI	SION SKETC	H PLAN	
I VACATI					SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLA			
			ON EXEMPTION		SUBDIVISION FINAL PLAT RESUBDIVISION (REPLAT)			
	RIANCE/APPEAL						(LAT)	_
	y line adjustment t		several smaller	parcels o	lown to	2 buildi	ng parcel	s
Note:	the 2 buildable lot	s have already	had parcel acc	ess review	. See	attached	Develop-	
<u>nent Ap</u>	plication Form.							
							. <u> </u>	
. •		, -	· ra		-			
	e de la companya de la	SENERAL LOCAT	TON . LEGAL DES	CRIPTION		ta sa isang	, and the	
ERAL LOCATI	ON .			ADDRE				٦
.) 63	01 Sunshine Canyon, Islock (Suspivision	<u>Boulder and 2</u>	<u>.) 554 Gold Ru</u>		ECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	-
		•	· ,		17	1N	71W	
A IN ACRES		EXISTING ZONING		1	SED ZONING			٦
47 act	FAMILY	forestry	+		NO Change PERCENT OPEN OR UNDEVELOPED			
1/a	י אימורי ז	none	······································	1	100%			
TING USE OF	PROPERTY	NUMBER OF EXISTIN	NGLOTS	NUMBE	A OF PROPOSED	LOTS		1
vacant	land			2	2 PROPOSED SOUARE FEET COMMEPCIAL INDUSTRIAL			
	ING DWELLINGS	2	ISED DWELLINGS	PROPOS	SED SQUARE FE	ET COMMEPCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	
<u>ione .</u> m.			·	ELECTR	IC			-
<u>rell dri</u>	Llled on 554 Gold_Ru	in engineered	d septic for 55	4 Gold Run	PS	<u>C estimat</u>	ed	
EPHONE	GAS	DITCHES		CITY OR TOWN WITHIN 2 MILES				٦
n site	TEA	SCHOOL DISTRICT			PARCEL IO NUMBER(S)			-
unshine	e Canvon	Boulder		Tax P# q	TD #003	2378 -1461-17-	-0-00-024	
PREHENSIVE	LAN LAND USE DESIGNATION		COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ANALYS	IS AREA ACRES	OF AGRICULTUR CTION	IAL LAND REMOV	ED FROM	1
orestry	/	forestry	ION INFORMATIO	non N		<u> </u>		
POSED ACRES	IN OPEN SPACE AGRICULTURAL PRESE		PRICE RANGE OF LOTS				- <u>-</u>	
	•		S		S			NAME
M	ILES OF NEW ROADS		PUBLIC			PRIVATE		
	CRES DEDICATED TO ROAD	S	PUBLIC		PRIVATE			086
			BEGIN		COMPLETE		· · · · ·	-
	CONSTRUCTION DATES	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	·		ļ
EA								
				•	<u> </u>			
					······			1
-								ιEN
					·····		·····	DOCKET NUMBER
<u> </u>		·				•		KET A
								ЦQ 20
<u></u>	<u></u>		OVER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······]

....

.....



AMENDED WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

ATTACHMENT OF

(December 3, 1991)

Owners Fred Ells and Haydee Battany propose to reduce the Sunshine, Black Swan, El Dorado and Atchison Lodes, and Government Lots 129-132, into two lots for two separate residences to be built by purchaser Hugh Kissell. Parcel access reviews have been performed on the separate properties, which are 522 and 554 Gold Run, and are attached. The properties have been determined to have met legal requirements for issuance of two separate building permits by the Boulder County Public Works Department. The purpose of the boundary line adjustment is to consolidate the eight parcels and to provide two lots which contain an area in which the residences can be built with the least amount of environmental impact and with maximum geothermal and solar gains.

The area which is buildable with the least environmental impact and with the maximum solar and geothermal gains on Building Site A is the part of Lots 129 and 130 and the Atchison Lode which abuts the Sunshine Lode. In fact, to adequately minimize the environmental impact and maximize solar and geothermal gains, it is necessary to extend these parcels into the Sunshine Lode a distance of 25 feet along the common property line, as shown by the attached map. Drexel Barrell is in the process of completing a survey which will delineate the boundary lines and also fully describe the two restructured parcels. The area which is buildable with the least environmental impact and with the maximum solar and geothermal gains on Building Site B is on Lot 131 and on the El Dorado Lode within the parcel. This will also maximize the distance between the two residences.

Purchaser Hugh Kissell has completed parcel access reviews on the properties, has had Norris and Sons drill a producing water well on Building Site A and has had James L. Pusch, P.E., perform percolation tests and design a sewage disposal system on Building Site A. Access to the properties is by Old Road 205. The properties have acceptable grade for access driveways and have level spaces for building as described above.

The site plan (page 4) is taken from the Bureau of Land Management's Master Patent Map. Recent site surveying by Drexel Barrell in the area shows the map to be more accurate than the corresponding County Assessor's maps. Depicted, by blue highlighting, are the areas of the Atchison and El Dorado Lodes that will be retained in fee simple by Fred Ells, owner of the adjacent Dead Medicine Lode. The Drexel Barrell map shows these parcels are contiguous. The green highlighted portion of the Atchison Lode will be held in fee simple by Mr. Kissell, with an easement granted to Mr. Ells. Mr. Ells retains the mineral rights to the Atchison and the El Dorado Lodes.

Final legal descriptions will be submitted as a condition of the County's post approval requirements.




	ATTACHMENT ORG
	boulder boulder
	Issuance No 21- 20
	Information To Be Supplied By Applicant Parcel Location
	Section(s): 17 Township: Range: 71 W
	Lot: 129-130-131-132 Block: 32378 Paral 146/ 17000024
	Fred Ells Harrow. Kessore
	OWNER
	ADDRESS ADDRESS
	Boulder, CO 8030/ BOULDER CO BO302 CITY, STATE, ZIP CITY, STATE, ZIP
	449-9922 550. 316
	TELEPHONE TELEPHONE TELEPHONE 1. A copy of the most recent recorded warranty deed for the property.
	2. A CODY of recorded instrument (if not described warranty deed) containing the legal description of the estemant or
	road from the publicly maintained road to the described subject property. 3. A sketch with detailed directions to the property with north clearly indicated.
•	 A sketch with detailed directions to the property with north cleany indicated. Copy of survey map, if available, of the easement and subject property.
	l acknowledge that information given is correct, true and accurate.
	Boulder County will not check the accuracy of the material submitted. In event incorrect material is submitted the results of this review shall be null and void.
-	This review by the Public Works Department has been made to determine if the access to property described herein meets
	current Boulder County access requirements, for building permit eligibility. This review concerns access requirements only and does not address any other building permit requirement such as zoning, water, sanitation, floodplain, etc.
	This review shall be in effect for (1) one year from date of issuance.
	If desired, a presubmittal conference can be scheduled by calling 441-3900 (Public Works Department).
	Information by Public Works
l	This approval does not insure access; nor does Bouider County assume any liability for
	Does not meet legal requirements for issuance of building penalture of title.
	READONS: NN N / A D / /
	Access off of a la Road 205, book C-page 5 on
	through private lesenent with direct troutage
	Signed $M = M = M = \frac{9}{30} \frac{9}{30}$
	Signed Shart 2 Startoz 9/30/91 LAND&FICER DATE
	John F. An toz 9/30/91
	LAND/OFFICER DATE
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit.
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
•	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
	LAND/OFFICER 9/30/91 Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits.
	LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTON OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD A 12 FT TRAUTISE SHALL BE SERVED A 12 FT DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (Siged) WHERE USING BILLITY IS LESS THAN 100 FT. WISTALL WINDERT DE LOW POWT RO MAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT.
	LANDOFFICER LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. X Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES X HOMES SHALL BE GEDDED TO A 12 FT TRANFLED WAY BANK DRIVE WTO HILLSIDE DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (BXGO') WHERE VISI BILLITY IS LESS THAN 400 FT INSTALL (ULWERET BE LOW POINT RD MAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT. MUST BE INSPECTED PRIOR TO C/O.
	LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTON OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD A 12 FT TRAUTISE SHALL BE SERVED A 12 FT DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (Siged) WHERE USING BILLITY IS LESS THAN 100 FT. WISTALL WINDERT DE LOW POWT RO MAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT.
	LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES Z HOMES SHALL BE CRODED TO A 12 FT TRANSLED WAY BANK DRIVE WTO HILLSIDE, DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (BXGO') WHERE VISI BILLITY IS LESS THAN 400 FT WSTALL (ULWERET BE LOW POINT RD NAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT. MUST BE WSPECTED PRIOR TO C/O.
	LANDOFFICER LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building permit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVIES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVIES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVIES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVIES DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (6×60:) WHERE UIS, BILLITY IS LESS THAN 400 ft WISTALL CULVIDET AT LOW POWT RD MAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS MUST BE WSPECTED PRIOR TO C/O. Signed Junco Cougling ID/4/91 Date
	LANDOFFICER LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building permit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVIES 2 HOMES SHALL BE GRODED TO A DOFT TRANSLED WAY BANK DRIVE WTO HULSIDE DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (0×60) WHERE VIS, BILLITY IS LESS THAN 400 ST. WSTALL (WWIRET AT LOW POWT ED MAINTENARCE WAIVER WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT. MUST BE WSPECTED PRIOR TO C/O. Signed Junca Grisulli Inspector
	LANDOFFICER LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building parmit. Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES Z HOMITS SHALL BE GRODED TO A RAT TRANTLED WAY BANK DRIVE WTO HULSIDE DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUTS (6×60) WHERE US, PILLIPY IS LESS THAN 400 ft WSTALL (ULVISET DET LOW POINT RD DATWTENARCE WAVER OF BUILDING PERMITS MUST BE WSPECTED PRIOR TO C/0. Signed JO 14/91 Inspector
	LANDOFFICER LANDOFFICER Does meet physical requirements for issuance of building permit. A Does not meet physical requirements for issuance of building permits. REASONS: THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD THAT SERVES THAN FLED WAY BANK DELVE WITO HILLSIDE DITCH CONSTRUCT TURNOUT WITO HILLSIDE USGIBLILITY IS LESS THAN 400 ST. WSTALL CULVERT AT LOW POWT RD MAINTENARICE WANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT. MUST BE WSPECTED PRIOR TO C/O. Signed Signed M. Knill 9/5-/01 9/30/91 Applicant

ORG248

.

CONTINUES OF A CONTIN

(non-subdivision)

ROAD MAINTENANCE WAIVER

I, the undersigned as owner of the parcel of land located in Section <u>s</u>. Township IN , Range <u>71%</u> described on deed, Film Not <u>1395</u>, Reception <u>742229</u> Boukler County on behalf of myself, my successors, heirs and assigns hereby acknowledge and agree that the lot for which I have applied for a building permit does not front on a County maintained road, and that the road fronting said lot has been platted and approved ns (a public road on a public dedicated right-of-way)(an approved private road) and as such must be constructed and maintained by the lot owner, homeowner's association, or the developer, until said road is formally accepted for maintenance by Boulder County.

In obtaining a building permit for the above-referenced Lot, I hereby agree to the following conditions:

- I repret to construct and maintain the road providing access to my lot in accordance with these standards established by the Boulder County Zoning Resolution and the Boulder County Road Standards and Specifications.
- 2. I agree to indemnify and hold harmless the County, its elected and appointed officials, employces, agents and representatives from any and all liability which the County, its elected or appointed officials, employees, agents or representatives may suffer as a result of any and all claims made or brought the County, its elected or appointed officials, employees agents or representatives by any person or entity arising out of lack of maintenance of the road providing access to my lot.
- 3. I understand that, by resolution of the Board of County Commissioners, a local improvement district may be formed to construct the road providing access to my lot in accordance with those standards established by the County for acceptance of the road for County maintenance. I understand that, if such a local improvement district is formed, I will be required to pay a preparitment estart of the costs incurred in bringing the road to County maintenance standards.

Signed Fred Lt1s BETOTE OF COLORADO) Y OF BOULDER 3.23.38 My Commission expires on: Witness my hand and seal: 165

Fitle Group, I 770 Baseline, Suite Boulder, CO 8030 Stephone (303) 499-565 FAX (303) 499-565

	600878398 09/22/87 12:44 PM REAL ESTATE RECORDS F1496 CHARLOTTE HOUSTON BOULDER CNTY CO RECORDER	
	THIS DEED, Made this 16th day of July , 19 87 , between Fred John Ells	
an a	of the County of Boulder , State of Colorado, of the first part, and Fred John Ells, a single man and Kathleen Harie Corlector SEP 2 2 1987 a single voman, as joint tenants whose legal address is 5000 Butte Street, \$101 Boulder, Colorado 80301 of the County of Boulder and State of Colorado, of the second part:	
	WITNESSETH, That the said part of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of	
	Ten and no/100-(10.00) DOLLARS to the said part of the first part in hand paid by the said parties of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby confessed and acknowledged, ha remised, released, sold, convey and quit claimed, and by these presents do remise, release, sell, convey and quit claim unto the said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns forever, not in tenancy in common but in joint tenancy, all the right, title, interest and demand which the said part ha in and to the following described lot or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the County of and State of Colorado, to wit:	3
	The DEAD MEDICINE LODE mining claim, Mineral Survey No. 183, THE ATCHISON LODE Mining Claim, Mineral Survey No. 247, and THE ELDCRADO LODE Mining Claim, Mineral Survey No. 691, all located in the Gold Hill Mining District, and embracing portions of Section 8, 17, and 18, Township 1 North, Range 71 West of the 6th P.N., EXCEPT those portions of the Eldorado Lode Mining Claim lying within the Dead Medicine(Mineral Survey No. 183), the Sunshine (Mineral survey No. 244), the Atchison (Mineral Survey No. 247), the Shadow (Mineral Survey No. 279), the More Punch (Mineral Survey No. 393), the Boulder Valley (Mineral Survey No. 592) and the Gold Bar (Mineral Survey No. 611) Lode Mining Claims, as excepted and excluded by United States Patent recorded August 7, 1985 on Film 1366 as Reception No. 704851, all in the County of Boulder, State of Colorado.	
	also known as street and number 6301 Sunshine Canyon Boulder, Colorado	
*	TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same, together with all and singular the appurtenances and privileges thereunto belonging or in anywise thereunto appertaining, and all the estate, right, title, interest, and claim whatsoever of the said part of the first part, either in law or equity, unto the said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns forever, not in tenancy in common but in joint tenancy.	
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The said part of the first part hs hereunto set hand and seal the day and year first above written.	
	Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of[SEAL]	
	[SEAL]	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	STATE OF COLORADO,	Title Group Boulder, CO
	County of BouloFR } The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 16 day of JULY	Title Grou Boulder, Co Telephone (303
	1997, by SED John FLLS My commission expires	Titl Africe Bour Teleph
	AV Complete Star For and 25, 1988 WITNESS AN INTRODUCTION TOLL TOLEN 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
	No. 962. QUIT CLAIM DEED-To Jake Tostand. Browner Townland, NU W. 48 Ave., Calconed, CU 882(4-1931) 131-4700-4-63	

ORG250

P

.

			BASI	BOULD	ER ASSESSOR	DWNER LIST			10/10/91	PAGE	3,276
OPERTY NO AREA	ADDRESS LEGAL LEGAL	HIEL NUM	958	OWNER ADDRESS OWNER ADDRESS OWNER ADDRESS OWNER ADDRESS		RCPT DATE DOC SDDCB	NO LAND IMP TOTAL BLLPPP	CLASS .+* NCWA	LAND UNIT	TY, CN, YEA DN, AMS, BE BASEMENT, 1 SQ.FT, F	R.ADJ D.BATI GARAGI IN.SO
-1N-71 527 102445 10 01	170 9900 144 HAUTHORN TRALT 402-D BOOK 988 PA	61-24-4-4 AV BO -3 BD 24 GE 404 B	00-073 -1N-71 CR	ELLISTON G W E 527 HAWTHORN A BOULDER	GENEVA VE CD 80304 2	56141 00/00 135	9 67,400 /00 44,200 .00 111,600)-L 1112 -I 1212)+*	50FT 11,238.00	A F 195 01, 6, N- 1- 1134,F	2. 3.10¢ - 1
-15-69 1125 76615 10 01	450 1317 15 Sparta dr Lot 150 cen 2	75-03-3- LA TAUR VIL	13-006 LAGE NORTH	ELLISTON KEITH 1125 SPARTA DR LAFAYETTE	F CO 80026 1	10011 09/01 128 Fap	67 13,100 789 53,900 .00 72,000	-L 1112 -I 1212	1015 1.00	А., F 197 04, 5 Р- 876, А 1- 956, F	8 2.100 - 44
-15-70 3346 10783 110 01	198 2353 15 DARTHOUTH LOT 2 ERNES	77-05-3- AV 80 T MT V1E	36-002 u	ELLMAN DEBRA K 3340 DARTMOUTH BOULDER	ING CO 80303	10779 12/10 MWLR	07 50,200 /90 46,600 .50 96,800 N9	-L 2130 -I 2230	50FT 71,025.00	A .8 .195 C N- 1- 1326,F	5.000 - 1
-15-70 755 10819 10 01	150 5009 15 S 467H ST B LOT 21 LESS MARTIN ACRE	77-08-1- 0 N 1.20 1 S 3	02-021 FT BLK 2	ELLMAN JAMES D G 755 S 467N ST BOULDER	AVID & DEBRA CO 80303 6	KIN 52905 01/18 041 EWJ	9 33,900 /83 44,400 .80 78,300 8	-L 1112 -1 1212	LDTS 7.00	A .M .195 04 5 9- 520 1- 1150.F	3,110 - 1
-1N-70 1330 00542 10 01	115 7981 144 ALPINE AV LOT 4 BLK 5	63-30-2-2 BO SUNSET 1	25-004 HILL	ELLMERS LAUREN 1330 ALPINE AV BOULDER	• CO 80304 3	68428 04/23 504 10 5₩D	7 42,700 /85 54,600 .00 97,300	-L 1112 -I 1212	50FT 10,156.00	A .H 195 01, 8, N- 1- 1284,F	4 3.101 - 28 - 1
-1N-70 811 37742 10 01	146 1801 144 CRESCENT LOT & BLK 1	63-33-4-; OR BO COUNTRY	20-006 CLUB PARK	ELLMERS LAUREN 811 CRESCENT D BOULDER	CO 80303 2	64361 08/31 714 12 BRD	6 45,000 /84 91,800 ,50 136,800 M0)-L 1112)-I 1212 ##	LOTS 1.00	G .M .195 01, 6, N- 1- 2002,F	7. 3,110 - 32 - 2
-1N-70 811 37742	146 1801 144 CRESCENT LOT 6 BLX 1	63-33-4-; DR BD C UNTRY	20-006	ELLMERS LÄUREN 811 CRESCENT D BOULDER	E B ELAINE CO 80303 Z	64361 08/31 714 12 BRD	8 /84, 26,700 .50 26,700	RE. 0000 -1 1212		MORE A M 196 01, 4, N- 1- 786 F	2,100
	10 TOTAL	******** 61-17-0-1	45,000	= LAND	118,5	00 = 1MP	**********	163,5	00 = TOTAL	******	
554 32378 50 01	GOLD RI'N LOTS 129-13 NW 1/4 6.47 7482 17-1N- 707335 58/2	4 MT 0-131-13 ACS M/L 71 PER R 0/85 BCR	2 NW 1/4 PATENT , EC	AL DUNCER NAME DUNCER ADDRESS DUNCER ADDRESS DUNCER ADDRESS DUNCER ADDRESS DUNCER ADDRESS ELLISTON G W E S27 HAUTHORN A BOULDER ELLISTON KEITH 1125 SPARTA DR ELLMAN DEBRA K 3340 DARTMOUTH BOULDER ELLMAN JAMES D G 55 S 46TN ST BOULDER ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER MORE ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER ELLMERS LAUREN BIT CRESCENT D BOULDER	LOT 101 CO 80301 2	238 08/20 LWD	/85 .10 10,900	0000	6.47	1- ;F	:000

9-01 19 1 10-6

.

-

				BA51	BOULDE	R ASSESSOR OWNER	LIST			10/10/91	PAGE	3,277
EC-TH-RG ROPERTY D NO TAX AREA	NBR SUBD F ADDRESS LEGAL LEGAL	ARCEL N	UNBER		OUNER NAME OUNER ADDRESS OUNER ADDRESS OUNER ADDRESS		RCPI NO DATE DOC SDDC88LLPPP	LAND IMP TOTAL **	CLASS NCVA	LAND UNIT UNITS	TY, CN, YEA DN, RMS, BE BASEMENT 1 SQ.FT, F	D. ØATH GARAGE
08-1N-71 6301 0058634 1370 01	940 9950 1 SUNSHINE DEAD MEDIC 1.52 AND / ACS M/L 0 ACS M/L 0 ACS M/L 0 ID 32657 (OWNER 1987	CANYON INE LD ATCHISON ELDORAD LD HILL ED 1026	1 MT 183 GOL 1 LD 247 10 LD 69 10TAL 150 271	0 HILL 1.72 1 2.03 5.27 790	ELLS FRED JDHN 5301 SUNSHINE C BOULDER	ANYON DR CO 80302 9774	1026150 02701790 00 FQD	39.000-L 80.200-1 119,200++	1112 1212	ACRES 5-27	A ,F ,198 01. 6. 1- 1296.5	3≁ 602
)8-15-69 500 019195 0100 01	401 0013 1 LINCOLN LOTS 18-19	AV LO			ELLS JANIS BROW 500 LINCOLN AVE LOUISVILLE	N CO 80027 1920	296582 08/30/78 4.20 RWD	17,600-L 29,400-I 47,000**	1112 1212	50F1 6,000.00	F F 190 01. 3. N- 1- 702.F	2,100
2-3N-73 659 058107 365 01	960 9900 1 [Dyote] TR 1468 12	197-12- ILL -3N-73	0-00-00 MT 6.50 AC	1 71/L	ELLSWORTH JOHN FOX CREEK -1378 QUAKERTOWN	COBBLER AD PA 18951	567666 08/10/83 _00 EQD	25,900-L 25,900++	1111 0000	ACRES 6,50	1- ,F	;000
1-1N-70 850 3349 01	102 7071 1 201H ST UNIT 302 S CONDOS & 2 COMMONS EL	302 80 AN MARC	O NORTH	-	ELLSWORTH OLIVE 850 20TH ST APT 9DULDER	A B 302 CD 80302 7741	531190 02/01/83 7,10 SWD R	26.90D-t 41.000-1 67,900**	1130 1230	UNITS 1.00	A , M , 196 12, 4 N- 1- 930, F	5,101
12-3N-73 515 205800	960 9900 1 COYOTE 1 T& 1463 1 PER DEED 1	11LL ++	MT .		ELLSWORTH PETER PO BOX 42 JELM	C WY 82063 0042	1041042 05/07/90 00 FWD	4,100-L 4,100**	0000	ACRES 1.28	1 -	:000 2
	960 9900 1 EDYOTE H TRACT 1268 1380 LESS 1.0 AC M/L 11/04/88 6	1111 RD 3-A .38 A .62 A	MT AC B TR C 12-3N	ACT -73	ELLSWORTH PETER PO BOX 42 JELM	CHAPMAN WY 82063 0042	951547 11/04/BB _00 FRD	10,200-L 17,500-1 27,700**	1172	ACRES	01, 2, 1- 512, r	-

SH DEVELOPMENT LLC PO BOX 3154 NEDERLAND CO 80466

1

SLARKS BARBARA SUE & RICHARD A 6299 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

SPANO KATHLEEN E 6601 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

SWORTS NED & DALE 8975 w 78TH AVE ARVADA CO 80005

.

Jeff Moline County POS Dept

Inter County Mail

SCHROEDER JOYCE DENISE & BRUCE6101 SUNSHINE CANYON DRBOULDERCO 80302

ATTACHMENT ORG

SCHUMACHER KAREN 6186 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

STEVENS ERIC B & MICHELLE M WISHIELDS CHRISTOPHER J & KATHLE219 GOLD RUN RD6305 SUNSHINE CANYON DRBOULDERCO 80302BOULDERCO 80302CO 80302

TAKAHASHI EMILY JO & DAVID G 300 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

Clark Misner County Transportation Dept

Inter County Mail

BOULDER CO 80302

SLOAN BURTON D & MELISSA A TOB 637 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

SUNSHINE FPD 311 COUNTY RD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

TALLMAN GLENN B & CLEO V TRUST855 RIVERSIDE DRLYONSCO 80540



KASIK RICHARD E TRUSTEE OF KAS PO BOX 28 IGNACIO CO 81137

KELLOG JOE 1300 LAMBERT CR LAFYETTE CO 80026

LITTLE CHURCH IN THE PINES SALINA STAR RT BOULDER CO 80302 LAWRENCE VERNON R SALINA STAR RT BOULDER CO 80302

ATTACHMENT ORG

LAWRENCE ROBERT S 204 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

KELLOGG JOSEPH W 1300 LAMBERT CIR LAFAYETTE CO 80026 KLEE & COMPANY LLC 2340 PERIWINKLE UNIT M1 SANIBEL FL 33957 LANNING ROGER B PO BOX 402 WALDENCH CO 80480

MALCOLM SKYE M 3274 KIRKHAM ROAD UPPER ARLINGTON OH 43221

MORAN JENNIFER AUSTIN & LAWREN 717 COUNTY RD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

NUZZI RICHARD M 5695 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

REED ROGER D FAMILY TRUST 7838 NEWMAN ST ARVADA CO 80005 LEWIS DEXTER RICHARD & DENISE 365 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

LUCKEY JULIA K 270 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

MORAN JENNIFER AUSTIN & LAWREN 717 COUNTY RD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

PARKER EMILY A & CLINTON S FEL 35930 SOLON RD BENTLEYVILLE OH 44022

ROBERT JAMISON FARMS INC 300 W MAIN GREENVILL OK 45331 LE GOFF STEPHEN J & MICHELLE A 217 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

MALCOLM SKYE M 3274 KIRKHAM ROAD UPPER ARLINGTON OH 43221

MARTIN C DENICE & DOUGLAS H 6401 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

RASMUSSEN LAWRENCE E & DOROTHY SALINA STAR RT BOULDER CO 80302

SALINA COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION I 173 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302





JOHNSON BILLY DON & PAMELA B 6300 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

KELLOG JOE 1300 LAMBERT CR LAFYETTE CO 80026

KEIM G RICHARD G & CYNTHIA B 6138 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

NEW ORLEANS LA 70112

KABACOFF PRES 210 BARONNE ST 1717

HUBBARD LLOYD S & JUNE D 12611 HWY 151 IGNACIO CO 81137

GUNN JOHN M PO BOX 761 MOUNTAIN VIEW WY 82939 HEATH MARK P 1401 LYDIA DR LAFAYETTE CO 80026

JENSEN JOHN P & PAMELA C

6300 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

6300 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

JOHNSON PAMELA B

UT 84060

CO 80302

CO 80302

LA 70112

E186

JOHNSON BILLY DON & PAMELA B

PO BOX 2064

PARK CITY

BOULDER

BOULDER

KABACOFF PRES

NEW ORLEANS

210 BARONNE ST 1717

CO 80306

CO 80201

CO 80026

CO 80302

PO BOX 7246

GILLATT TERRI H

GOLDSTEIN SUSAN R

BOULDER

PO BOX 8525

HOLIEN JANE

LAFAYETTE

BOULDER

1300 LAMBERT CIR

JOHNSON BILLY DON

6300 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

DENVER

ATTACHMENT ORG

AKMK INC 4982 WEST SODA ROCK LANE HEALDSBURG CO 95448

BLACK SUSAN MARY #2 GREGLAND AVE NANTUCKET MA 2554

CORRELL BRUCE N 6700 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

RY BRAI 173 G MA 2554 BOUL

BRADY PETER P 173 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

NDERSON MARTI

175 GOLD RUN RD

BOULDER

ATTACHMENT ORG

CO 80302

BREED DANIEL W & DIANA L 6095 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

BRINKER WILLIAM S JR & ABBY SI 3230 FOLSOM ST BOULDER CO 80304

DEROOS OLAF & ANTOINETTE

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

CO 80306

CO 80302

CO 80302

FREEMAN RICHARD E & MARY F TAY

CO 80304

WALKER DEWARD E

470 GOLD RUN RD

ELLS FRED JOHN

3020 JEFFERSON ST

PO BOX 4147

BOULDER

VASTE

BOULDER

BOULDER

BOULDER

VICK MARC H 6093 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

DAVIS MEREDITH DAVIS TRUST 2361 N IRIS LN ESCONDIDO CA 92026

FOLSOM ROGER M & REBECCA L C F 577 COUNTY ROAD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

FREY JUDITH A P. O. BOX 102 BOULDER CO 80306

GATES LAWRENCE A & ELODEE J SALINA STAR RT BOULDER CO 80302 GALL JOHN F 128 FAIRVIEW RD NARBERTH PA 19072

GEDDES TERRIE L & BRADLEY C 6334 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302 ZIMMERMAN LAWRENCE A & 6320 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302 ARONSON GERTRUDE TRUST 715 COUNTY RD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

BIRMINGHAM JOHN PO BOX 548 MEAD CO 80542

BRADY PETER P 173 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

BREED DANIEL W & DIANA L 6095 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

CAHN JACK 3942 PROMONTORY CT BOULDER CO 80304

COVEY HARRY D & ASTRID L 479 COUNTY RD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

DABOUB BERNSTEIN NORMA 7000 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

DELGADO 70% INT & JEAN ANN STE % U OF C LAW SCHOOL CB 401 BOULDER CO 80309

FOLSOM ROGER M & REBECCA L C F 577 COUNTY ROAD 83 BOULDER CO 80302

ORG255

E187

Linda Flowers County Health Dept

Inter County Mail

TYRER GEORGE G & DAVID J PO BOX 15396 FRITZ CREEK AK 99603 TYRER MRS MARIE PO BOX 15396 FRITZ CREEK AK 99603

ATTACHMENT ORG

YRER GEORGE G & DAVID J PO BOX 15396 FRITZ CREEK AK 99603

US Forest Service Attn Land Staff 2140 Yarmouth Boulder CO 80301

VERMILLION ROBERT J & DONNA 632 GOLD RUN RD BOULDER CO 80302

VAN ETTEN JEANINE H 5881 SUNSHINE CANYON DR BOULDER CO 80302

٢



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

MEMO TO:	Whom it may concern
FROM:	Greg Oxenfeld, Staff Planner
DATE:	October 8, 2002
RE:	Docket VAR-02-15

The following zoning variance request has been submitted to the office of the Secretary to the Board of Adjustment for consideration at the next regular meeting:

Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Request:	A request for three separate variances to setback requirements for a proposed addition, a detached garage, and for use of an existing mine by the fire district for water storage.
Location:	At 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, east of Gold Hill, in Section 8, T1N, R71W
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicant:	Fred Ells

We would appreciate any comments you may have concerning this request for a variance from the Boulder County Land Use Code. Please respond to this request via either a letter (mail to the Zoning Division in care of the above address), fax (303-441-4856), telephone (303-441-3930), or E-mail (gnolu@co.boulder.co.us) by October 28, 2002 so that the Board of Adjustment may give full consideration to your recommendation. A lack of response will be assumed to indicate that you have "NO CONFLICT" with the request. If you have questions concerning this referral, please contact our office.

Should you wish to attend the public hearing to voice your comments or present additional information on the proposed variance, the hearing is tentatively scheduled for:

<u>Wednesday, November 6, 2002 at 4:00 PM</u> in the County Commissioners Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse, Boulder

If you plan to attend the hearing, please confirm the date and time by calling 303-441-3930 a few days before the scheduled hearing.

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\DOCKETS\VAR0215\15REF.DOC

Jano L. Mendez County Commissioner



Post Office Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

October 8, 2002

Fred Ells 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder CO 80302

Re: Docket VAR-02-15: ELLS Variance

Dear Applicant(s):

This letter confirms that your application for a Variance has been accepted as complete, and referred to the required agencies and adjacent property owners. From this point, the process proceeds as follows:

- -- Referral response deadline is **October 28, 2002.**
- -- A County Board of Adjustment's public hearing has been tentatively scheduled, in accordance with regulations and public notice requirements, for <u>Wednesday, November 6, 2002 at 4:00</u> <u>p.m.</u>, in the Hearing Room, Third Floor, County Courthouse, Boulder.

A copy of the staff recommendation to the Board of Adjustment will be sent to you before this hearing. Please plan to attend to present your application, if necessary, and to answer any questions which might arise. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (303) 441-3930.

Sincerely,

Gmerel

Greg Oxenfeld, Planner II Current Planning Division Land Use Department

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\DOCKETS\VAR0215\15AR.DOC

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner

ORG258

Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner

E190



Please complete the following. Feel free to use a separate piece of paper.

- 1. Explain how the following criteria for granting a variance have been satisfied.
 - a. There exists exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope.

______ AHached PP

b. Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of this Code would create an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner.

.

.

c. The hardship is not self-imposed.

d. The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the use of adjacent property as permitted under this code.

e. That the variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of this Code and the *Boulder County Comprehensive Plan;* and

.

ï,

That the biance, if granted, does not adversely affective health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

Signature: Owner or Agent

Revised: June 14, 2000

f.

g:\lud\lushared\mas-ref\hrdshp.for

,

ē.

ORG260

E192

Bould	
Cour	nty
\sim	/

Land Use Department

Post d

Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306

Courthouse Annex 2045 13th Street • 13th & Spruce Streets • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • (303) 441-3930

AGREEMENT FOR PAYMENT OF LAND USE DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FEES AND FOR PROCESSING OF APPLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOULDER COUNTY LAND USE CODE

 FRED
 EIIS

 as Property Owner/Applicant, and as Owner's Agent on

 Application, if different from Owner (collectively "APPLICANT"), AGREE AS FOLLOWS with the County of Boulder and its Land Use Department (collectively "COUNTY"), in consideration of the County's acceptance of Applicant's application for the land use approval as further described below:

1. Applicant has submitted	to County an application for approva	l of:
FRED	to County an application for approva $E(S)$	("Application").

2. Applicant acknowledges and understands that Board of County Commissioners Resolution No. 92-155 establishes a fee structure for County Land Use Department applications. This includes a non-refundable deposit which must be paid prior to the Department's acceptance of any application for processing, and provision for billing the Applicant for any costs of processing applications which may accrue above the non-refundable deposit amount. Resolution No. 92-155 and its respective billing rates as they may be duly amended from time to time by the County, and this Agreement, shall govern the payment of fees for the processing of the Application.

3. The Application shall not be accepted for processing unless the property owner of record of the property included in the Application signs this Agreement. In the case of multiple property owners, the Director of the County Land Use Department ("Director") shall have the discretion to determine which owner(s) shall sign.

4. The Applicant shall be billed by the County Land Use Department ("the Department") for all direct and indirect costs (including but not limited to staff time of the Department, the County Attorney's Office, and the County Transportation, Health, and Parks Departments); mailing, copying, recording, and publication fees and costs; and authorized consultants' fees incurred by the County), which the Department has accrued to date in processing the Application. The Department will continue to bill the Applicant until all costs have accrued and are paid.

5. The Applicant agrees to pay all such bills in full, and by whatever manner of payment is specified as acceptable by the Director, by delivery made to the Department no later than one month after the billing date. The Director shall have the discretion to suspend processing of the Application if any payments under this Agreement are not made on time. This suspension may involve the postponement of scheduled Planning Commission or Board of County Commissioner hearings or meetings, and the incurrence of additional costs such as for renotification or republication. Similarly, the Director shall have the discretion to terminate the processing of any Application for which any billed payment is more than three months overdue.

Jana L. Mendez County Commissioner Ronald K. Stewart County Commissioner Paul Danish County Commissioner



6. The person/address whom the Applicant designates to receive all billings for fees under this Agreement are as follows:

Agreement are as tonows:	FRED	EIIS		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6301	Sunshing	Cyn_	
	Boulli	<u> </u>	021	

Any billing mailed to this person/address and not returned to the Department shall be deemed received. The Applicant may change the billing address under this Paragraph by providing written notification of such change to the Department.

7. In the event of nonpayment of fees, the County shall have the right to file a fee collection action against any or all of the persons signing this Agreement or the Application as Applicant. Any resulting judgment for fees may be enforced in any legal manner whatsoever and may be filed as a judgment lien against the real property which is the subject of the Application, as well as against any real property owned in whole or in part by any judgement debtor hereunder.

8. Any agreement by the Director or County to forego any of the judicial or administrative remedies available to them under this Agreement in response to the late payment or nonpayment of fees, shall not in any way constitute a waiver of the Director's or County's rights to collect fees or appropriately process the Application as provided herein.

9. In submitting the Application and signing this Agreement, the Applicant acknowledges and agrees that the Application is subject to the applicable processing and public hearing requirements set forth in the Boulder County Land Use Code. The Applicant acknowledges that the Applicant has obtained of has access to the Boulder County Land Use Code, and that, prior to filing the Application, the Applicant has had the opportunity to consult the relevant provisions governing the processing of and decision on the Application.

10. In submitting the Application and signing this Agreement, the Applicant acknowledges and agrees that the Applicant is authorized to make available to the County, for purposes of copying and distributing for public review, all of the documents and information which the applicant submits with or in support of the Application. Upon demand from the County, the Applicant agrees to indemnify and defend the County and its officials, agents and employees, and to hold them harmless from, any action, claim, suit, loss, cost, damage, or expense which may be brought or assessed against the County or any of its officials, agents or employees on account of any allegation by the Applicant or any person that the County may have violated federal copyright law, or violated any law, agreement, or provision allegedly protecting the confidentiality of or restricting public review of the Application materials which the applicant submits to the County for review as part of the

Application.

11. In submitting the Application and signing this Agreement, the Applicant acknowledges and agrees that the County Land Use Department and any other County staff involved in processing the Application or their duly authorized representatives will need to enter upon the property which is the subject of the Application and conduct inspections thereof to evaluate the Application pursuant to the applicable criteria of the Land Use Code, and perform related tasks. The Applicant hereby consents to allow the County staff or their designees to enter upon and inspect the subject property at any time for this purpose without obtaining the Applicant's consent. This consent extends to inspections while the Application is in process, and after it has been approved to assure that any imposed conditions of approval are met.

2

12. The Applicant agrees to waive any requirements for the Applicant's written consent to extend voluntarily any public hearing or other deadline associated with processing the Application, if the Applicant or its representative agrees orally to any such extension.

13. The Applicant acknowledges that the Applicant executes this Agreement freely, voluntarily, and without threat of compulsion. The Applicant understands that the Applicant may consult an attorney or any other person concerning the Application or this Agreement prior to executing this Agreement, if the Applicant so chooses.

14. Acceptance of the Application for filing and receipt of the Application fee deposit do not necessarily mean that the Application is complete under the applicable requirements of the Land Use Code.

15. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the law of the State of Colorado.

APPLICANT: (Note: Property owner must sign / per Paragraph 3, above)	
By: $10-1-2007$	By: Date:
By: Date:	By: Date:
BOULDER COUNTY	
By: Land Use Director or Designee	Date:
For Land Use Department Use	
Docket Number:	···
Docket Name:	
Deposit Amount and Date Received:	(1012102)

Revised: January 19, 2000

G:\LUD\LUSHARED\MAS-APS\FEE.AGR

E195

3















8-1N-71W





'ACHMENT OR

ORG271

E203





ς. Σ

.

.

ORG272

.lism wen even



Land Use

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

August 30, 2018 at 11:00 AM Hearing Room, Third Floor Boulder County Courthouse

PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Jennifer Severson, Senior Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION REGARDING:

Docket SE-18-0010: Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment

200100.82 10 001001 180100	Ling Doundary Line ina astinone
Request:	Subdivision Exemption request for a Boundary Line Adjustment
-	involving a 4.14-acre parcel at 6317/6319 Sunshine Canyon Drive, a
	2.52-acre parcel at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, a 5.16-acre parcel
	at 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive, and a 0.98-acre parcel at 7288
	Sunshine Canyon Drive resulting in a 4.63-acre parcel, a 6.23-acre
	parcel and a 1.30-acre parcel.
Location:	On Sunshine Canyon Drive roughly 0.6 miles northwest of its
	intersection with County Road 83, in Section 8, Township 1N, Range
	71W.
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicants/Property Owners:	Eugene & Christine Fischer and Fred Ells

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval with conditions.

PACKET CONTENTS:

Item	Pages
• Staff Recommendation	1 - 6
• Application Materials (Attachment A)	A1 – A14
• Referral Responses (Attachment B)	B1 – B9

PROPOSAL:

The applicants are requesting a boundary line adjustment that would transform four parcels into three parcels as shown below and in Figures 1 and 2:

		Existing	Proposed
1.	6317/ 6319 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (includes Grandview Lode)	4.14 acres	4.63 acres
2.	6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (includes Dead Medicine Lode)	2.52 acres	6.23 acres
3.	6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (includes White Crow Lode)	5.16 acres	1.30 acres
4.	7288 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (includes Young American Lode)	0.98 acres	0.0 acres







Figure 1: Current parcel configuration

Figure 2: Proposed parcel configuration

DISCUSSION:

The parcel at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (Parcel 2) was included in a previously approved Subdivision Exemption (SE-91-040) for a Boundary Line Adjustment that transferred acreage to two adjacent parcels to the south and resulted in parcel configurations that could better meet county development regulations.

The parcels at 6301 and 6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (Parcels 2 & 3) were also included in a previously approved Subdivision Exemption (SE-02-014) which resulted a portion of 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. that transected 6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. to be incorporated into the parcel at 6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (A related docket, SE-04-020, was approved to amend a condition of the 2002 SE to allow the post-approval requirements to be met by the owners of 6300 Sunshine while a Setback Variance Request was under consideration for 6301 Sunshine.)

Of the four subject parcels, 6300, 6301 and 6317/19 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (Parcels 3, 2 and 1) are legal building lots; the parcel at 7288 Sunshine Canyon Dr. (Parcel 4) is not a legal building lot. Only 6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. is currently developed; previous development that existed at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. and 6317/19 Sunshine Canyon Dr. was destroyed in the 2010 Fourmile Fire. The parcel at 7288 Sunshine Canyon Dr. is not a legal building lot.

The applicants are proposing to reconfigure the subject parcels into three legal building lots as shown in Figure 2 above and described as follows:

- Parcel A will include combining those portions of Parcels 1, 2 and 4 south of Sunshine Canyon Dr. The new Parcel A configuration will allow for redevelopment of the fire-impacted property without the need for setback variances.
- Parcel B will include Parcel 3 (6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr.) and a portion of Parcel 1 north of the road. The new Parcel B configuration will allow for a new well to be built in a location that is a safe distance away from the existing well that was recently abandoned due to the existence of mine-related hazardous materials in the water.



• Parcel C will include the remaining portions of Parcels 1 and 4 and will be accessed from an existing driveway serving homes on adjacent properties.

REFERRALS:

This application was referred to the usual agencies, departments, and adjacent property owners. All responses received by County staff are attached and summarized below:

BoCo Transportation Department, Development Review – This agency reviewed the proposal and noted requirements for an access easement for Parcel C and stated that existing accesses must be upgraded to meet the Boulder County Multimodal Transportation Standards (MMTS) if future development proposed is proposed on Parcel A, B or C.

BoCo Wildfire Review - This agency reviewed the proposal and had no conflicts but noted the the visibility of future development on Parcel C to nearby neighbors may be increased as a result of Defensible Space requirements for tree removal.

<u>Colorado Division of Water Resources</u> - This agency reviewed the proposal and stated requirements for new domestic wells on all three parcels, and for plugging and abandonment of previously existing wells on Parcels A and B.

<u>Xcel Energy</u> – This agency reviewed the proposal and had no conflict provided existing electric facilities and associated land rights remain in place.

<u>No Conflict/ No Comment</u> - BoCo Chief Building Official, Parks and Open Space Natural Resource Planner, Public Health and Surveyor

<u>APO Comments</u> – 89 referrals sent, 0 comments received.

ANALYSIS:

Section 9-102 (A) of the Boulder County Land Use Code sets general criteria for Subdivision Exemptions. Staff has reviewed these criteria and finds the following:

9-102(A) Criteria for all Exemptions

(1) Any new parcel created shall not increase the degree of nonconformity of an existing structure.

None of the existing structures on the subject properties are nonconforming. Of the four subject parcels, the parcel at 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive is the only developed property. The proposed boundary line adjustment will not create a nonconformity; therefore, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(2) No exemption shall be approved if development will occur on a topographic or geologic hazard.

The northern half of the proposed Parcel B is in an area identified as having Post-Flood Debris Flow Susceptibility. However, the southern portion of the parcel (6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr.) is already developed outside the geologic hazard area and no future development has been proposed by the owner. Consequently, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(3) No exemption shall be approved by the Board within a Floodplain Overlay District, unless it is determined by the County Engineer that all proposed uses are capable of receiving a floodplain development permit.

The subject properties are not within the Floodplain Overlay District; therefore, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(4) All proposals for the development of parcels created shall conform to the provisions of Article 7 of the Land Use Code, including but not necessarily limited to access.

No additional development is proposed on the subject parcels at this time. Proposed Parcels A and B are directly adjacent to a public right-of-way and will maintain legal access from Sunshine Canyon Dr. The Proposed Parcel C is not adjacent to any public right-of-way; therefore, staff recommends a condition of approval that an access easement be recorded for Parcel C, through Parcel B, to ensure legal access for Parcel C if future development is proposed. Additionally, the existing driveway serving the residences at 6300, 6310 and 6320 Sunshine Canyon Dr. does not meet the County MMTS. If future development is proposed on any one of parcels A, B or C, the existing accesses shall be upgraded to meet the MMTS.

The Colorado Division of Water Resources has indicated a new well permit could be issued for Parcel C for ordinary single-family household use. The previously permitted wells on Parcels A and B have been abandoned by the owners and the owner of Parcel B currently has water delivered and stored on site for household use. Permits could be issued by the state for new wells on those parcels once the existing wells are properly plugged and abandoned.

As conditioned, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(5) Proposed parcel boundaries and development shall be suitably located and sized with respect to the physical characteristics of the land, the character of the neighborhood, and the County's goals of preserving agricultural and forestry lands.

Staff finds the proposed parcel boundaries and sizes of 4.63 acres, 6.23 acres and 1.30 acres consistent with the character of the neighborhood, which includes many mining claims of similar size. It is not anticipated the proposal will conflict with the County's preservation goals for agricultural and forestry lands.

Therefore, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(6) Proposed subdivisions involving subdivided land shall go through an exemption plat process if applicable under Section 9-200, below, or subdivision review pursuant to the Subdivision Regulations of Article 5 of this Code.

The subject properties are not within an approved subdivision; therefore, staff finds this criterion can be met.

(7) The proposal shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan, any applicable intergovernmental agreement affecting land use or development, and this Code.

This proposal does not propose an additional unit of density as no new legal building lots will be created; therefore, staff finds this criterion can be met.

Additional Criteria for Boundary Line Adjustments Divisions which create any number of parcels equal to or less than the number of original unsubdivided parcels are subject to the following conditions:

a. Where the original building lot is in conformance with the lot requirements of the zoning district in which the parcel is located, any parcels created shall also conform to those requirements.

The subject properties are less than the current minimum lot size of 35 acres in the Forestry zoning district and are not in conformance with the lot requirements of the zoning district. Consequently, staff finds this criterion can be met.

b. Where original building lots are nonconforming with respect to the lot requirements of the zoning district in which located, any parcels created should not increase the degree of nonconformity.

As stated above, the existing parcels are nonconforming; however, the proposed configuration will not increase the degree of nonconformance. In fact, the proposed Parcel A configuration will allow for the possible redevelopment of the fire-impacted parcel without the need for a setback variance. Consequently, staff finds this criterion can be met.

c. A boundary line adjustment shall not be approved primarily for convenience of construction and shall substantially advance a legitimate land use purpose under this Code.

No construction is proposed as part of this application. Although all three existing legal building lots are developable in their current configuration, the proposed boundary line adjustment will enable future development on the proposed parcels to better meet the requirements of the Code. The recommended conditions of approval would ensure that any future proposed development meets the County codes and standards in place at that time. As conditioned, staff finds this criterion can be met.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has determined that the proposal meets all the applicable criteria of the Boulder County Land Use Code. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners **CONDITIONALLY APPROVE** <u>SE-18-0010: Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment</u>, with the following conditions:

- 1) No structures may be built on the northern half of Parcel B that is within an area identified as Susceptible to Post-Flood Debris Flow.
- 2) Prior to the required recordation of deeds, an access easement must be secured to grant access to Parcel C through Parcel B via the existing driveway that serves the residences at 6300, 6310 and 6320 Sunshine Canyon Dr.
- 3) If future development is proposed on Parcel A, B or C, the existing accesses must be upgraded to meet the County Multimodal Transportation Standards.
- 4) The applicants shall comply with all applicable post-approval requirements for a subdivision exemption (regarding taxes, title report, deeds, and the like) as listed in Article 3-206(C) of the Land Use Code, and also including Article 9-300 of the Land Use Code (which sets a one-year deadline for completing such requirements).



5) The applicants shall be subject to the terms, conditions and commitments of record and in the file for **Docket SE-18-0010: Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment**.

For Your Information:

- The Colorado Division of Water Resources has indicated a new well permit could be issued for Parcel C for ordinary single-family household use. The previously permitted wells on Parcels A and B have been abandoned by the owners and the owner of Parcel B currently has water delivered and stored on site for household use. Permits could be issued by the state for new wells on those parcels once the existing wells are properly plugged and abandoned. Please see attached referral letter dated August 6, 2018 for additional details about groundwater well permitting requirements.
- Xcel Energy has indicated there is no apparent conflict provided their existing overhead and underground electric facilities and all land rights that are within the proposal area remain in place. Please see attached referral letter dated August 8, 2018 for additional details regarding existing Xcel facilities.



Land Use

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

MEMO TO:	Agencies and adjacent property owners
FROM:	Jennifer Severson, AICP, Senior Planner
DATE:	July 24, 2018
RE:	Docket SE-18-0010

Ells Boundary Line Adjustment		
Subdivision Exemption request for a Boundary Line		
Adjustment involving a 4.14-acre parcel at 6317/6319		
Sunshine Canyon Drive, a 2.52-acre parcel at 6301 Sunshine		
Canyon Drive, a 5.16-acre parcel at 6300 Sunshine Canyon		
Drive, and a 0.98-acre parcel at 7288 Sunshine Canyon		
Drive resulting in a 4.63-acre parcel, a 6.23-acre parcel and a		
1.30-acre parcel.		
On Sunshine Canyon Drive roughly 0.6 miles northwest of		
its intersection with County Road 83, in Section 8, Township		
1N, Range 71W.		
Forestry (F)		
Eugene & Christine Fischer and Fred Ells		

A Subdivision Exemption is a waiver of the usual subdivision requirements to allow a Boundary Line Adjustment, a Lot Recognition, a Lot Split, or a Community Facility Lot Split.

This process includes a public hearing before the Boulder County Board of County Commissioners. Adjacent property owners and holders of liens, mortgages, easements or other rights in the subject property are notified of these hearings.

The Land Use staff and County Commissioners value comments from individuals and referral agencies. Please check the appropriate response below or send a letter. Late responses will be reviewed as the process permits; all comments will be made part of the public record and given to the applicant. Only a portion of the submitted documents may have been enclosed; you are welcome to review the entire file at the Land Use Department, 13th and Spruce, Boulder. If you have any questions regarding this application, please contact me at (303) 441-1735 or jseverson@bouldercounty.org.

Please return responses to the above address by August 8, 2018.

We have reviewed the proposal and have no conflicts.

____ Letter is enclosed.

Signed PRINTED Name

Agency or Address ____

Please note that all Land Use Department property owner's mailing lists and parcel maps are generated from the records maintained by the County Assessor and Treasurer Office. We are required to use this list to send notices to the "property owner" of land in Boulder County. If you feel that you should not be considered a "property owner," or if the mailing address used is incorrect, please contact the County Assessor's Office at (303) 441-3530.

Cindy Domenico County Commissioner



		AT	TACH	MENT O	RG \$525.00-0	6# 1061 WB	
Courthouse Annex Building 2045 13th Street • PO Box 471 • Boulder, Colora				artment	Shaded Areas for Staff Only Intake Stamp		
Boulder	Phone: 303-441-3930 • Fax: 303-441-4856 Email: planner@bouldercounty.org Web: www.bouldercounty.org/lu			1440 00502	JUL 0 9 2018		
	Office Hours: Mo Tuesday 10 a.m.	on., Wed., Thurs.		o 4:30 p.m.		DER COUNTY	
Application For	m				L	AND USE	
Project Number SE-19	6100-8			Project Name			
Limited Impact Speci	Application Dea First Wednesday	dline: v of the Month	Application D Second Wedn	eadline: esday of the Month	×		
Limited Impact Special Use Waiver Modification of Special Use		U Variance		Sketch Pl		Rezoning	
Site Plan Review	ai 03e	🖵 Appeal		🛛 🖵 Prelimina	ary Plan	Road/Easement Vacation	
🖵 Site Plan Review Waiv				📮 Final Plat		Location and Extent	
Subdivision Exemption	on				vision (Replat)	🖵 Road Name Change	
Exemption Plat				Special U	Ise/SSDP		
□ 1041 State Interest Re □ Other:	eview						
Location(s)/Street Address(es)	shine (anyon	Or,	6301 :	Sunshine	Canyon Dr., e Canyon Ds.	
6319 Sul	shine	Canyon	Dr.	7288	Sunshin	e Conuon Do.	
Subdivision Name		0					
Lot(s)	Block(s)		Section(s)	8	Township(s) T-/-N	Range(s) R - 71 - W	
Area in Acres	Existing Zoning		Existing Use of	Doru	mde veloped	Number of Proposed Lots	
Proposed Water Supply	/		Proposed Sew	vage Disposal Metho	d Septic	systems	
Applicants:						~	
Applicant/Property Owner Eugene + Chr	istine H	Fischa	۲		Email Address A.S.	cher Cyahos. com	
	shine (Dr.				
City Boulder Applicant/Progerty Owner/Age	State CE		Zip Code	:0Z	Phone 303-444	1-2134 Fax	
Frad EIL	S				Synshire-	fred @msn. com	
6/16 M13	ty Wo	4					
city Longmont	State CC	}	ZipCode	2503	Phone 303-442	-5340	
Agent/Consultant			E	Email Address			
Mailing Address							
City	State	Zip Code	F	Phone	Fax		

Certification (Please refer to the Regulations and Application Submittal Package for complete application requirements.)

I certify that I am signing this Application Form as an owner of record of the property included in the Application. I certify that the information and exhibits I have submitted are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that all materials required by Boulder County must be submitted prior to having this matter processed. I understand that public hearings or meetings may be required. I understand that I must sign an Agreement of Payment for Application processing fees, and that additional fees or materials may be required as a result of considerations which may arise in the processing of this docket. I understand that the road, school, and park dedications may be required as a condition of approval.

I understand that I am consenting to allow the County Staff involved in this application or their designees to enter onto and inspect the subject property at any reasonable time, without obtaining any prior consent.

All landowners are required to sign application. If additional space is needed, attach additional sheet signed and dated.

A Signature of Property Owner how CA Fuscher	Printed Name Fischer	Christine Fisch	Date 5/24/18
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name FREDS	Ells	Date 5/24/18
The Land Use Director may waive the landowner signature requiremen	at for good cause, under the applica	ble provisions of the Land Use Code	

A2

Form: P/01 • Rev. 04.28.16 • g:/publications/planning/P01PlanningApplicationForm.pdf

ORG280

F8



Boulder County Land Use Department

Courthouse Annex Building 2045 13th Street • PO Box 471 Boulder, Colorado 80302 Phone: 303-441-3930 • Fax: 303-441-4856 Email: planner@bouldercounty.org · http://www.BoulderCounty.org/lu/ Office Hours: Monday — Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM Intake Stamp

Subdivision Exemption Parcel Form

Existing Parcel(s)	Grandview	Dead Medic	we white Lrow	American	
Description	One	Two	Three	Four	Five
Acreage	4.14	2.52'	5.16	- 98	
Frontage	1		5.1.5		
Date Acquired	6125/05	8/1985	4/9/03	6/23/15	
Owners	Fred Ells	Fred Ells	E+C Fischer	6/23/15 E+C Fischer	
Date Created Existing Improvements	- Lover descentions	burned out foundation			
Date Constructed Setback Front/Rear	/	/	1996	/	1
Setback Side/Side	1	1	1	1	/

Proposed Parcel(s)

Description	One A	TwoB	Three C	Four	Five
Acreage	4.63	6.27	1.30		
Frontage		0			
Setbacks Front/Rear	1	1	1	1	1
Setbacks Side/Side	1	1	1	1	1

Property Owners

Parcel	Address Information		
One	Name FRED ELLS Address	Phone/Fax 303-442 State	- 5340 Zip Code
	6116 Misty Way, Longmont	CO Phone/Fax	80503
Two	Fred Ells	303-442	5340
100	6116 Misty Way, Longmont	State	Zip Code 80503
hree	Name Eugene + Christine Frischer Address 300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. Boulder	Ju - 11	4 - 21 3 Zip Code 80302
our	Name Eugene + Christine Fischer Address 6300 Sunshine Canyon Dr. Bouldon	303-444	4 - 2134 Zip Code 80302
	Name	Phone/Fax	00000
Five	Address	State	Zip Code

Soe PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Form: P/24 • Rev. 10.06.10 • g:/publications/planning/P24SubdivisionExemptionParcelForm.pdf



1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION Subdivision Exemption Application (PAC-18-0176) Eugene & Christine Fischer and Fred Ells

Eugene J. And Christine M. Fischer (the "Applicants") have entered into a contract with Fred Ells ("Co-applicant") to purchase the 1.8 acre portion of parcel 146108000075 (Grand View Lode) which lies on the north side of Sunshine Canyon Drive adjacent to the north and south sides of parcel #146108000052 (White Crow Lode) which the Applicants own and reside at. The purchase contract is subject to the approval of this Subdivision Exemption - lot line adjustment application by the Board of Commissioners.

The four parcels involved in this application are mining claims consisting of:

- Parcel one (parcel #146108000075, Grandview Lode) which is designated a Buildable Lot but has no improvements.
- Parcel two (parcel #146108000153, Dead Medicine Lode) which is a nonconforming Buildable Lot (50 ft. width does not allow setback minimums). Prior single family dwelling was destroyed in Four Mile Canyon fire.
- Parcel three (parcel #146108000157, White Crow Lode) which currently has a single family dwelling and one accessory building, septic system and an abandoned well.
- Parcel four (parcel #146108000093, Young American Lode) which is not a buildable lot and has no improvements.

The Applicants and Co-applicant wish to reconfigure these properties into 2 undeveloped legal Buildable Lots and one enlarged developed property. We are requesting the Board of Commissioners approve lot line adjustments to form the 3 lots as shown on the attached "Proposed Property Acquisition – After" map as parcels A, B, and C. There are currently no development plans for the 2 proposed Buildable Lots. The logic for the reconfigured lots is as follows:

- Parcel A: This parcel is the result of combining the Dead Medicine Lode with the portions of Grandview and Young American Lodes lying south of Sunshine Canyon Drive. All of these properties were heavily impacted by the Four Mile Canyon Fire and are almost treeless. Dead Medicine is only 50 feet wide and would require setback waivers for development. The proposed combined lot would be large enough to place a future home a significant distance from Sunshine Canyon Drive so as to minimally impact the aesthetics of the area. This lot would not require setback waivers for future construction.
- Parcel B: This parcel is the result of combining the White Crow Lode with the portion of Grandview between White Crow and Sunshine Canyon Drive + a contiguous .5 acre portion of Grandview north of White Crow and .02 acre portion of Young American. The main reason for the expansion of White Crow is to provide a location for a new well that is approximately 200 feet from the 6 layered horizontal shafts of the White Crow mine. The existing well was abandoned in 2004 due to hazardous materials from the mine making the well unusable. The Applicants have been trucking water to a cistern since the well was abandoned. A new well not in proximity to the mine will provide a much healthier environment for the residents of 6300. The new well will flow water to the 3,000 gallon cistern
which is configured with an above ground fire hose connection providing additional safety. Adding the portion of Grandview between White Crow and Sunshine Canyon Drive results in a more logical lot configuration.

Parcel C: This parcel is the result of combining the remaining portions of Grandview and Young American into a legal Buildable Lot. The proposed lot has excellent access from an existing asphalt driveway currently serving 3 homes: 6300, 6310, and 6320 Sunshine Canyon Drive. The new lot is already included in the driveway easement and lies between 6310 and 6300. The proposed lot was barely touched in the Four Mile Canyon fire. It has excellent tree coverage which shields it from the view of the 3 existing homes as well as other homes in the area and from Sunshine Canyon Drive (see Google Earth image in packet). The Applicants applied to the BLM on 3/23/2016 to purchase the BLM property shown intruding on Parcel C on the enclosed maps. The BLM approval time is running several years due to staff shortages. If the BLM property is acquired, the Applicants intend to submit another Subdivision Exemption Application to merge it with Parcel C.



Boulder County Land Use Department 2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 303-441-3930 www.bouldercounty.org\lu

6300 SUNSHINE CANYON DR

Vicinity



joryan

4













ORG290

GOOGLE EARTH VIEW

Showing proposed lot line adjustments and distances

- From 6320 to 6310
- From 6310 to new BLOT
- From 6300 to new BLOT





-

PARCEL C /

ARCEL B

A13

PARCELA









Transportation Department

2525 13th Street, Suite 203 • Boulder, Colorado 80304 • Tel: 303.441.3900 • Fax: 303.441.4594 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

August 7, 2018

TO:	Jennifer Severson, Senior Planner; Land Use Department
FROM:	Hélène Levaufre, Development Review Coordinator
SUBJECT:	Docket SE-18-0010 Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment

The Transportation Department has reviewed the above referenced docket and has the following comments:

- 1. Proposed parcels A and B are directly adjacent to Sunshine Canyon Drive, a County owned and maintained right-of-way (ROW) with a Functional Classification of Collector. These new parcels will have legal access from this public ROW.
- 2. Proposed parcel C is not adjacent to any public ROW. Should this parcel be sold, then an access easement shall be recorded to ensure legal access to Parcel C through Parcel B.
- 3. The existing driveway serving the residences at 6300, 6310 and 6320 Sunshine Canyon Drive is 12 feet wide at the junction with Sunshine Canyon Drive and narrows to 11 feet as it gets further from the road. Moreover, there are no compliant pullouts along the existing driveway as required in the Boulder County Multimodal Standards (hereafter referred to as "the Standards"). No driveway upgrade will be required at this time.
- 4. If any future development is proposed on parcels A, B and C, the existing accesses shall be upgraded to meet the Standards, including without limitations :
 - a. Section 5.5 Parcel Access Design Standards
 - b. Standard Drawing 11 One-Lane Private Access Section
 - c. Standard Drawing 14 Access With Roadside Ditch
 - d. Standard Drawing 15 Access Profiles Detail
 - e. Standard Drawing 16 Access Grade & Clearance
 - f. Standard Drawing 17 Access Pull-Out
 - g. Standard Drawing 18 Access Turnaround
 - h. Standard Drawing 19 Typical Turnaround and Pullouts Locations

This concludes our comments at this time.

Cindy Domenico County Commissioner

ORG293



Land Use

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

Wildfire Mitigation Team

<u>M E M O</u>

TO:	Jennifer Severson, Senior Planner II
FROM:	Benjamin Yellin, Wildfire Mitigation Specialist
DATE:	August 22, 2018
RE:	Referral packet and Public Notice for SE-18-0010, Fischer - Ells Boundary Line
	Adjustment project at 6317/ 6319
	Sunshine Canyon Drive, 7288 Sunshine Canyon Drive, 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive,
	and 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Thank you for the referral. We have the following comments for the applicants:

No conflicts have been identified with this proposal. However, the distance needed for minimally effective defensible space for any new development on Lot C could significantly reduce the "tree coverage which shields it from the view of the 3 existing homes," as cited in the proposal.

For minimally effective defensible space, Zone 1 (a buffer of at least 30 feet free of conifer trees and other highly combustible vegetation immediately surrounding any new development on Lot C, including all attachments and accessory structures within 30 feet) may need to begin at the home's dripline where the property boundary limits Zone 2 (at least 100 feet from structures). More information can be found by referring to the Colorado State Forest Service publication *Protecting Your Home from Wildfire: Creating Wildfire-Defensible Zones – 2012 Quick Guide*.

Cindy Domenico County Commissioner





COLORADO Division of Water Resources Department of Natural Resources

August 6, 2018

Jennifer Severson, Senior Planner Boulder County Land Use Transmission via email: jseverson@bouldercounty.org

Re: Fischer-Ells Boundary Line Adjustment Docket SE-18-0010 SW¼ Sec. 8, T1N, R71W, 6th P.M. Water Division 1, Water District 6

Dear Ms. Severson:

We have reviewed the above referenced Subdivision Exemption request for a boundary line adjustment. The submitted material does not qualify as a "subdivision" as defined in Section 30-28-101(10)(a), C.R.S. Therefore, pursuant to the State Engineer's March 4, 2005 and March 11, 2011 memorandums to county planning directors, this office will only perform a cursory review of the referral information and provide comments. The comments will not address the adequacy of the water supply plan for this property or the ability of the water supply plan to satisfy any County regulations or requirements.

The subject proposal seeks to reconfigure four existing parcels, known as the Grandview, Dead Medicine, White Crow, and Young American lodes, into three new parcels. Parcel A will be 4.63 acres consisting of the portion of the subject lodes lying south of Sunshine Canyon Drive, and is proposed to be used as a future residence site. This property contains a burned-out foundation remaining from a single-family dwelling that was destroyed in the Four Mile Canyon fire. Parcel B will be 6.23 acres consisting of the White Crow lode and the southern portions of the Grandview and Young American lodes. This parcel will contain an existing residence, accessory building, and a well which has become contaminated and is no longer used. Parcel C will be 1.30 acres consisting of the remaining northern portions of the Grandview and Young American lodes to create a legal buildable lot.

According to records available in this office, well permit no. 212307 was constructed on the Dead Medicine lode, which will become a part of Parcel A, on February 26, 1999.¹ If this well is no longer in existence, the property owner should submit a Well Abandonment Report (form no. GWS-09) to confirm that the well was properly plugged and abandoned.

According to records available in this office, the "abandoned" well on Parcel B was constructed under well permit no. 173334. This office has not received a Well Abandonment Report for this well. The property owner should submit a Well

¹ A replacement well permit (212307-A) was issued on August 25, 2000, however, no construction information was received for this well and this permit is therefore believed to be expired.



1313 Sherman Street, Room 821, Denver, CO 80203 P 303.866.3581 www.colorado.gov/water John W. Hickenlooper, Governor | Robert Randall, Executive Director | Kevin G. Rein, State Engineer/Director



Fischer-Ells Boundary Line Adjustment Docket SE-18-0010 August 6, 2018 Page 2 of 2

Abandonment Report (form no. GWS-09) to confirm that the well was properly plugged and abandoned.

It is anticipated that this office could issue a permit to construct a new well on Parcel C that would be limited to ordinary household use inside one single-family dwelling, with no irrigation or other outside use allowed. Similar permits would be available for Parcels A and B on the condition that the previously constructed wells are properly plugged and abandoned. The ability of the landowner to obtain a new well permit or permits and the allowable use of the well(s) will be determined at the time a well permit application is submitted to this office.

This office has no concerns regarding the proposed subdivision exemption for a boundary line adjustment. Should you or the applicants have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at this office.

Sincerely,

Sarah Brucker, P.E. Water Resources Engineer

Cc: Well permit file no. 173334 Well permit file no. 212307 Referral file no. 24658



Right of Way & Permits 1123 West 3rd Avenue Denver, Colorado 80223 Telephone: **303.571.3306** Facsimile: 303. 571.3284 donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com

August 8, 2018

Boulder County Land Use PO Box 471 Boulder, CO 80306

Attn: Jennifer Severson

Re: Fischer-Ells Boundary Line Adjustment, Case # SE-18-0010

Public Service Company of Colorado's (PSCo) Right of Way & Permits Referral Desk has reviewed the subdivision exemption documentation for **Fischer-Ells Boundary Line Adjustment** and has **no apparent conflict** PROVIDED THAT PSCo's existing overhead and underground electric facilities and all land rights that are within this area remain in place.

As a safety precaution, PSCo would like to remind the developer to call the **Utility Notification Center** at 1-800-922-1987 to have all utilities located prior to any construction.

Please contact me at donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com or 303-571-3306 if there are any questions with this referral response.

Donna George Right of Way and Permits Public Service Company of Colorado



Land Use

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

Building Safety & Inspection Services Team

<u>M E M O</u>

TO:	Jennifer Severson, Staff Planner		
FROM:	Ron Flax, Chief Building Official		
DATE:	August 3, 2018		

RE: Referral Response, Docket SE-18-0010: Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment.

<u>Request</u>: Subdivision Exemption request for a Boundary Line Adjustment involving a 4.14-acre parcel at 6317/ 6319 Sunshine Canyon Drive, a 2.52-acre parcel at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive, a 5.16-acre parcel at 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive, and a 0.98-acre parcel at 7288 Sunshine Canyon Drive resulting in a 4.63-acre parcel, a 6.23-acre parcel and a 1.30-acre parcel.

Location: On Sunshine Canyon Drive roughly 0.6 miles northwest of its intersection with County Road 83, in Section 8, Township 1N, Range 71W.

Thank you for the referral. We have no conflicts with the proposal.

If the applicants should have questions or need additional information, we'd be happy to work with them toward solutions that meet minimum building code requirements. We can be reached at 720-564-2640 or via e-mail at building_official@bouldercounty.org.





Parks and Open Space 5201 St. Vrain Road • Longmont, Colorado 80503

5201 St. Vrain Road • Longmont, Colorado 80503 303.678.6200 • Fax: 303.678.6177 • www.bouldercounty.org

TO:	Jennifer Severson, Land Use Department
FROM:	Ron West, Natural Resource Planner
DATE:	August 14, 2018
SUBJECT:	Docket SE-18-0010, Fischer-Ells

Staff has reviewed the submitted materials. This area of complex mining claims has already

been rather heavily developed with residences, and impacted by wildfire. From a natural

resource perspective, the proposal would not significantly change the existing situation.





SE-18-0010

Cancel

Help

Workflow Tasks Assig 07/24/ Assig Intake Current Schedule BOCC Hearing BOCC Public Notice No Co Action Historic Preservation Review Current No Co Action Historic Preservation Review End T Floodplain Review Billab Parks and Open Space Review No Wildfire Review 0.0 Wildfire Review 0.0 Staff Meeting Image: Staff Meeting BOCC Staff Recommendation Image: Review	ned to Assigned to Department Public Health Planning Review nt Status Status Date mments/No Conflict 07/25/2018 n By Overtime view Public Health No nents Start Time a Epstein Hours Spent ime Hours Spent 30.0 Action by Department Public Health Public Health Planning Review Tracking Start Date Est. Completion Date assession Time (hrs) Display E-mail Address in ACA ated Hours Image: Display Comment in AC heart Display in ACA Image: Display Comment in AC
--	--



Land Use

Courthouse Annex • 2045 13th Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 • Tel: 303.441.3930 • Fax: 303.441.4856 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

MEMO TO:	Agencies and adjacent property owners
FROM:	Jennifer Severson, AICP, Senior Planner
DATE:	July 24, 2018
RE:	Docket SE-18-0010

Docket SE-18-0010: Fischer - Ells Boundary Line Adjustment

Request:	Subdivision Exemption request for a Boundary Line
-	Adjustment involving a 4,14-acre parcel at 6317/ 6319
	Sunshine Canyon Drive, a 2.52-acre parcel at 6301 Sunshine
	Canyon Drive, a 5.16-acre parcel at 6300 Sunshine Canyon
	Drive, and a 0.98-acre parcel at 7288 Sunshine Canyon
	Drive resulting in a 4.63-acre parcel, a 6.23-acre parcel and a
	1.30-acre parcel.
Location:	On Sunshine Canyon Drive roughly 0.6 miles northwest of
	its intersection with County Road 83, in Section 8, Township
	1N, Range 71W.
Zoning:	Forestry (F)
Applicants/Property Owners:	Eugene & Christine Fischer and Fred Ells

A Subdivision Exemption is a waiver of the usual subdivision requirements to allow a Boundary Line Adjustment, a Lot Recognition, a Lot Split, or a Community Facility Lot Split.

This process includes a public hearing before the Boulder County Board of County Commissioners. Adjacent property owners and holders of liens, mortgages, easements or other rights in the subject property are notified of these hearings.

The Land Use staff and County Commissioners value comments from individuals and referral agencies. Please check the appropriate response below or send a letter. Late responses will be reviewed as the process permits; all comments will be made part of the public record and given to the applicant. Only a portion of the submitted documents may have been enclosed; you are welcome to review the entire file at the Land Use Department, 13th and Spruce, Boulder. If you have any questions regarding this application, please contact me at (303) 441-1735 or jseverson@bouldercounty.org.

Please return responses to the above address by August 8, 2018.

	We have reviewed the proposa	al and have no conflicts.	
	Signed	PRINTED Name LEE ST. SUNSJOR	AVELE
	Agency or Address	SURVESOR	
	records maintained by the County Assessor to the "property owner" of land in Boulder	property owner's mailing lists and parcel maps r and Treasurer Office. We are required to use County. If you feel that you should not be con acorrect, please contact the County Assessor st	this list to send notices spidered a "property
	Cindy Domenico County Commissioner	Deb Gardner County commissioner	Elise Jones CourdagCommissioner
ORC	G301	B9	



Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist/Decision Document

Upper Sweet Home Mine Boulder County, Colorado

May 28, 2021

EPA Region 8

Site Assessment Program 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202

Pre-CERCLA Screening – Upper Sweet Home Mine

Pre-CERCLA¹ Screening (PCS) and sampling was conducted at the Upper Sweet Home Mine on May 28, 2021, by Region 8 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Site Assessment Program and other federal and state members of the Colorado Mixed-Ownership Team. Sampling and analysis were completed in accordance with the EPA-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan: 2021 Colorado Draining Mines Pre- CERCLA Field Screening, prepared by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, April 2021.

The PCS Checklist/Decision Document, as required by EPA Pre-CERCLA Guidance (Office of Land and Emergency Management (OLEMJ) Directive# 9200.3-107, is included as Attachment A. A sample location figure and summary of soil and water analytical results as reported by the EPA Contract Laboratory is included in Attachment B.

1 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act



Upper Sweet Home Mine Boulder County, Colorado

Attachment A:

Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist Decision Form

Pre-CERCLA Screening

Checklist/Decision Form

	8
_//	

This form is used in conjunction with a site map and any additional information required by the EPA Region to document completion of a Pre-CERCLA Screening (PCS). The form includes a decision on whether a site should be added to the Superfund program's active site inventory for further investigation.

EPA Region: 8 State: Colorado	
EPA ID No. (If Available): Not Applicable	
Site Category: Draining Mines	Select a Site Name (Primary): Upper Sweet Home
Site Number: Not Applicable	
Date of Site Visit: May 28, 2021	Time of Site Visit: 11:00
Checklist Preparer	Site Information - Preliminary
Title: USFWS Liaison to EPA	Site Name (Alternate 1): N/A
Name: Robyn Blackburn	Site Name (Alternate 2): N/A
Organization: US Fish and Wildlife Service	Region: 8
Street Address: 1595 Wynkoop Street	State: Colorado County: Boulder
City: Denver	Congressional District: 2nd
State: Colorado Zip Code: 80202	Township & Range:
Phone: (303) 312-6663	Section:
Email: Blackburn.Robyn@epa.com	Section (1/4):
	Section (1/16):
Site Contact	Spatial Location
Title: N/A	Latitude: 40.0595722441003
Name: N/A	Longitude: -105.371926445612
Organization: N/A	Collection Method: GPS (handheld,
Street Address: N/A	Smartphone, other device with < 25m accuracy)
City: N/A	Horizontal Accuracy in Meters: 5
State: N/A Zip Code: N/A	Site Description (of this Spatial Location):
Phone: N/A	Approximate Center of Site
Email: N/A	



Preliminary Assessment - Historical Data		
CERCLA 105d Petition for Preliminary	Assessment: NO	
Petition Date: Not Applicable		
RCRA Subtitle C Site Status: Is site in	RCRA Info?: No	
RCRAInfo Handler ID #: Not Applicab	ble	
Additional RCRAInfo ID #: Not Applie	cable	
State ID: None	Other ID: DRMS-248	
Ownership Type: Mixed Ownership		
Site Type: Abandoned Mine Site		
Site Sub-Type: Hard Rock Mining		
Federal Facility: No		
Federal Facility Owner: Not Applicable		
Federal Facility Operator: Not Applicable		
Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS): No		
Federal Facility Docket: No		
Federal Facility Docket Listing Date: Not Applicable		
Federal Facility Docket Reporting Mechanism: Not Applicable		
Native American Interest: Unknown		
Tribe: Not Applicable	Additional Tribe: Not Applicable	

Site Description - Physical Setting

Abandoned Mine Site: No

Buildings: No

Mill or Milling Equipment or Tailing Present: Yes

Steep Waste Piles: Yes

Safety Hazards Present: Yes

Safety Hazards Dangerous slide potential or steep vertical face/wall/cliff (caused by mining operations), Hazardous abandoned equipment or facilities, Miscellaneous Debris, Steep Vertical Inclines, Surface subsidence such as open stope, pit, caving, potholes, troughs, cracks, vaults, underground mine void, Vertical or steeply inclined shaft or inclined subsidence open/partially unrestricted opening

Accessibility (provide details with regard to ability to access the site) Located Along Main Road

Time it takes to reach this site (Hours:Minutes): Located approximately 6.3 miles, about 15 minutes from the town of Boulder up Sunshine Canyon Drive. The site is located immediately along the driveway at 6305 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

Detailed description of how the site was accessed: The site is a 15-minute drive from downtown Boulder up Sunshine Canyon Drive. Numerous waste piles are located immediately along the driveway at 6305 Sunshine Canyon Drive. Parking is at the beginning of the driveway then walk about 5 minutes to waste pile next to driveway.

Adjacent to Resident(s): Yes

Adjacent Residential Features: Multiple residences within ¼ mile away, Town > ¼ mile away

Mountainous Steep Terrain: Yes

Vegetation Present: Yes

Vegetation Density: Sparse

Surface Water Body on or Adjacent to the Site: No

Open Fields: No

Waste Pile Erosion Observed: Yes

Describe Waste Pile Run Off: Solid/soil from waste pile observed in drainage channel below pile. No apparent/limited runoff from precipitation.

Tailings Erosion Observed: No

Describe Tailings Run Off: Not Applicable

Draining Adits or Seeps Discharge from the Site: No

Adits Flow Rate from Site: Not Applicable

Describe Adit Flow from Site: Not Applicable

Draining Adits or Seeps Discharge Across Waste Piles: No

Draining Adits or Seeps Discharge to Adjacent Habitat: No

Adit Flows into what habitat: Not Applicable

Habitat Name: Not Applicable

Physical Setting and Access Features: Accessible with public/trespasser recreation use

Physical Setting (Field Notes - provide a brief summary of physical setting including notable safety concerns, waste types, human uses/exposures to wastes, runoff/drainage, and notable habitat/ecological use): Multiple piles in mountainous residential neighborhood. Piles are along driveway and road adjacent to 3 homes. Picnic table/use of mine waste area. No adits/water on pile. Foundation located on the site is from a home that was burned in the 2010 widfire.

Site Description - Land Use

Roads/Trails: Yes	Road/Trail Type: Dirt Road
Human Activity: Yes	Human Activity Type: Moderate
Residential: Yes	Residential Density: Several Residences
Recreational Use: Yes	Recreational Density: Minimal
Camping: No	Camping Frequency: Not Applicable
Fishing: No	Fishing Frequency: Not Applicable
Hiking: Yes	Hiking Frequency: Moderate
Biking: Yes	Biking Frequency: Moderate
Picnicking: Yes	Picnicking Frequency: Moderate
Ecological Activity: Yes	Ecological Activity: Minimal

Observed/likely fishing/consumption of fish/aquatic organisms at the mine site or within ¼ miles downstream: No

Are there other observed sensitive environments on-site or downstream of the waste area(s) within ¼ mile? No

Sensitive Environment (wetland, stream, creek, river, known to be in the vicinity of a National Park, designated federal/state wildlife or scenic area, fish hatchery/spawning area, designated for wildlife or game management, known to be used by or designated critical habitat for Threatened or Endangered Species, or any other sensitive environment critical to supporting wildlife):

Other Sensitive Environments:

Land Use (Field Notes – provide a brief summary of human/ecological type of use and use level (e.g., heavily used for biking and camping; observed camp fire rings and picnic tables at the site immediately adjacent to the waste runoff; narrow foot trail with difficult steep access to the waste areas and minimal use of the area, etc.): Easy to access, visible/open access to mine waste along Sunshine Canyon Road within relatively remote residential area. Active use by residents.



Site Surface Description

Draining Adit: No	Draining Adit Type: Not Applicable
Waste Piles: Yes	Number of Waste Piles: 3
Airborne Release of Fine Material/Dust: No	
Surface Water on or Immediately Adjacent: No	Water Body Name: Not Applicable
Wetlands on or Adjacent to Site: No	
Forested on or Adjacent to the Site: No	Riparian on or Adjacent to the Site: No

Site Surface (Field Notes): Waste area with piles and miscellaneous debris are located adjacent to and as part of residential yard along driveway. Picnic table and other areas on the piles are used by residents. Piles are dispersed next to yards and driveway.

Site Description - Other

Groundwater Seeps Observed: No
Primary Drainage Name:
Groundwater Seeps (Field Notes):
Previous Investigations: No
Investigation Type: Not Applicable
Who Completed Investigations at this Site: Not Applicable
Cleanup Activities: No Cleanup Type: Not Applicable
Site Description Cleanup Field Notes: Not Applicable
Who Completed Cleanup Activities at this Site: Not Applicable
Previous Regulatory Actions (Permitting and Enforcement): No
Previous Regulatory Type: Not Applicable
Site Feature Name(s): Not Applicable
Field Note(s): Not Applicable
Who Completed Regulatory Actions at this Site: Not Applicable
Institutional Controls: No Institutional Control Type: Not Applicable
Institutional Controls (indicate name/entity on signs/controls):
Community Interest: Yes Community Interest Type: Watershed Group Activity
Community Interest (Indicate watershed group or other interest group): Boulder Watershed Collective, Trout Unlimited, and homeowners interest

Survey Form

1. An initial search for the site in EPA's Superfund active, archive and non-site inventories should be performed prior to starting a PCS. Is this a new site that does not already exist in these site inventories?	
 2. Is there evidence of an actual release or a potential to release? Evidence of Potential Release Waste pile material observed in water body or other surrounding environment, Evidence of waste pile runoff/erosion (channels, rills, run off) 	Yes
3. Are there possible targets that could be impacted by a release of contamination at the site?	Yes
4. Is there documentation indicating that a target has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?	
5. Is the release of a naturally occurring substance in its unaltered form, or is it altered solely through naturally occurring processes or phenomena, from a location where it is naturally found?	No
6. Is the release from products which are part of the structure of, and result in exposure within, residential buildings or business or community structures?	No
7. If there has been a release into a public or private drinking water supply, is it due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use?	No
8. Are the hazardous substances possibly released at the site, or is the release itself, excluded from being addressed under CERCLA?	
9. Is the site being addressed under RCRA corrective action or by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission?	No
10. Is another federal, state, tribe or local government environmental cleanup program other than site assessment actively involved with the site (e.g., state voluntary cleanup program)?	
11. Is there sufficient documentation or evidence that demonstrates there is no likelihood of a significant release that could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts?	No
12. Are there OTHER site-specific situations or factors that warrant further	No

US EPA Pre-CERCLA Checklist/Decision Form OLEM 9355.1-119, February 2018.

Current version of the PCS checklist and additional information is available at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/superfund/pre-cercla-screening</u>.

Preparer's Recommendation

Preparer's Recommendation: Refer to EPA Removal Program for further consideration

Please explain recommendation below: The mine/waste area is located within and surrounded by several residential properties. A picnic table and fire ring were observed on the waste pile and the area is actively used by nearby residents. The waste pile is a denuded pile, flat on the top portion, and elevated above the adjacent residential homes; one home is above the pile. Pathways leading to the flat top portion of the pile are present from several of the residential properties.

Total arsenic concentrations observed in XRF measurements at individual locations on the pile ranged from 94 mg/kg to 980 mg/kg, and analytical results from a composite sample of waste material (485 mg/kg) indicate that arsenic is detected significantly above background and 100 times greater than the EPA Industrial RSL. Total lead XRF measurements of the waste area included 24 XRF locations, all were reported well below EPA Residential RSLs; The total lead laboratory result for the composite waste soil sample was reported at 99.2 mg/kg, also below the Residential RSL and slightly above 3x background concentration of 31.6 mg/kg.

No draining or standing water was observed at the site, however, along the dirt driveway below the pile an apparent incised drainage channel exists in the Sweet Home drainage area. No water was present in the channel and no water samples were collected at this site.

Arsenic was detected in the waste pile area with concentrations in soil/waste over 100 times greater than EPA Residential and Industrial RSLs. The top of the waste pile appears to have active use by the adjacent residences. No water was observed at the site, but it appears that intermittent run off from the piles may contribute to a drainage channel located at the base of the pile. **B**ecause of the high potential to exposures this is being referred to EPA Removal Program for further consideration.

Site Assessor's Name: Jean Wyatt

Date: May 28, 2021

EPA Regional Review and Pre-CERCLA Screening Decision

EPAs Recommendation: Refer to EPA Removal Program for further consideration.

Add site to the Superfund active site inventory for completion of a:

- □ Standard/full preliminary assessment (PA)
- □ Abbreviated preliminary assessment (APA)
- □ Combined Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection (PA/SI)
- Integrated Removal Assessment and Preliminary Assessment
- □ Integrated Removal Assessment and Combined PA/SI
- ✓ Other Description Refer to EPA Removal Program

Do not add site to the Superfund active site inventory. Site is:

- \Box Not a valid site or incident
- □ Refer to/being addressed by EPA's Removal Program
- □ Refer to/being addressed by a State cleanup program
- □ Refer to/being addressed by Tribal cleanup program
- □ Refer to/being addressed under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- □ Refer to/being addressed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
- □ Other Description

:

EPA Region 8 Approver's Name: Jean Wyatt EPA Region 8 Approver's Signature:

Site Location



Photographs



Upper Sweet Home: Overview from driveway



Upper Sweet Home: Remnant equipment/debis with picnic table



Upper Sweet Home: Mine pile MP-01 lobe with residence in background

EPA14



Upper Sweet Home: Looking uphill from MP-01 at foundation with residence in background



Residence below MP-02







MP-01 on left/MP-02 on right from road (foundation in background)

Trail area above waste area




Waste pile 01 with residential property below



Upper Sweet Home Mine Boulder County, Colorado

Attachment B:

Pre-CERCLA Sampling and Analysis Summary

Table A.4. 2021 Pre-CERCLA Screening Colorado Draining/Abandoned Mines Upper Sweet Home Mine Sampling Analytical and Field Data Results

No Surface Water Samples Collected at Upper Sweet Home Mine

Mine Waste and Surface	e Soil																													
Location ID	Analysis	Matrix	Sampla Data	Sampla Tima	Aluminum	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Calcium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Magnesium	Manganese	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Potassium	Selenium	Silica (SiO2)	Silver	Sodium	Thallium	Uranium	/anadiun	Zinc
Location ID	Anarysis	Wiautx	Sample Date	Sample Time	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
USHM-SO-MP01-01	Total Recoverable Metals	Soil	5/28/2021	11:50	2300 D	2.85 D	485 D	81.6 D	<0.999 U	0.454 D	1830 D	17.6 BD	7.99 BD	17 D	32500 JD	99.2 D	1850 D	278 D	0.47 D	1.77 D	17.5 D	3070 D	<1.5 U	2940 D	3.65 D	174 JD	2.8 D	3.35 D	16 D	60.7 D
USHM-SO-MP02-01	Total Recoverable Metals	Soil	5/28/2021	12:20	2500 D	3.46 D	451 D	75.9 D	<1.00 U	0.315 D	1720 D	12.2 BD	8.42 BD	15.7 D	27600 JD	87.2 D	1840 D	259 D	0.38 D	1.96 D	15.2 D	2610 D	<1.5 U	2830 D	3.07 D	<125 U	3.52 D	5.29 D	13.7 D	53.8 D
USHM-SO-BKG-01	Total Recoverable Metals	Soil	5/28/2021	12:30	6080 D	<0.25 U	14.1 D	117 D	<1.00 U	0.42 D	2900 D	23 BD	7.24 BD	11.4 D	11400 JD	31.6 D	4770 D	382 D	<0.05 U	<0.5 U	19.2 D	3610 D	<0.75 U	5580 D	<0.25 U	<125 U	<0.5 U	0.896 D	22 D	50.3 D
D = Sample diluted prior to analysis; reported result is for undiluted sample																														

U = Laboratory analysis indicates that the analyte was undetected at the concentration shown

J = Laboratory quality control review indicates that this result is considered estimated

Soil Synthetic Precipitation	oil Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) Leachate																									
Location ID	Analysis	Motriy	Sample Date	Semple Time	Aluminum	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Calcium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Magnesium	Manganese	Nickel	Potassium	Selenium	Silver	Sodium	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
Location ID	Allalysis	Wiautx	Sample Date	Sample Time	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
USHM-SO-MP01-01	SPLP	Leachate	5/28/2021	11:50	3300	<7.7 U	190	66 J	<0.53 U	3.3 J	1600 J	11	<7 U	<3.8 U	13000 J+	36	<770 U	56	<6 U	3300 J	<8.9 U	1.6 J	19000	<5.7 U	12 J	23 J
USHM-SO-MP02-01	SPLP	Leachate	5/28/2021	12:20	1600	<7.7 U	79	39 J	<0.53 U	1.3 J	2100 J	3.4 J	<7 U	<3.8 U	5000 J+	16	<770 U	180	<6 U	3700 J	<8.9 U	<0.88 U	4100 J	<5.7 U	<5.9 U	18 J

U = Laboratory analysis indicates that the analyte was undetected at the concentration shown J = Laboratory quality control review indicates that this result is considered estimated

J+ = Laboratory quality control review indicates that this result is considered estimated and biased high

C	stic Leaching Procedure			0 1 5	Arsenic	Barium	Cadmium	Chromiun	Lead	Manganese	Selenium	Silver
Location ID	Analysis	Matrix	Sample Date	Sample Time	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
USHM-SO-MP01-01	TCLP	Leachate	5/28/2021	11:50	17	150 J	2.2 J	<3.2 U	<3.6 U	<0.032 U	<8.9 U	<0.88 U
USHM-SO-MP02-01	TCLP	Leachate	5/28/2021	12:20	11	67 J	1.9 J	<3.2 U	<3.6 U	<0.032 U	<8.9 U	<0.88 U

U = Laboratory analysis indicates that the analyte was undetected at the concentration s J = Laboratory quality control review indicates that this result is considered estimated

X-Ray Fluorescence (X)	(RF) Spectrometry Soil Sur	vev Results																										
Mine Name	XRF Sample ID	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Time	Units	Ti	Ti +/-	Cr	Cr +/-	Mn	Mn +/-	Fe	Fe +/-	Со	Co +/-	Ni	Ni +/-	Cu	Cu +/-	Zn	Zn +/-	As	As +/-	Se	Se +/-	Rb	Rb +/-
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X001	40.059411	-105.371968	5/28/2021	11:09	mg/kg	4362	503	<lod< th=""><th>160</th><th><lod< th=""><th>193</th><th>42072</th><th>529</th><th><lod< th=""><th>241</th><th><lod< th=""><th>61</th><th>66</th><th>11</th><th>181</th><th>10</th><th>251</th><th>9</th><th>12</th><th>2</th><th>275</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	160	<lod< th=""><th>193</th><th>42072</th><th>529</th><th><lod< th=""><th>241</th><th><lod< th=""><th>61</th><th>66</th><th>11</th><th>181</th><th>10</th><th>251</th><th>9</th><th>12</th><th>2</th><th>275</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	193	42072	529	<lod< th=""><th>241</th><th><lod< th=""><th>61</th><th>66</th><th>11</th><th>181</th><th>10</th><th>251</th><th>9</th><th>12</th><th>2</th><th>275</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<>	241	<lod< th=""><th>61</th><th>66</th><th>11</th><th>181</th><th>10</th><th>251</th><th>9</th><th>12</th><th>2</th><th>275</th><th>6</th></lod<>	61	66	11	181	10	251	9	12	2	275	6
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X002	40.059402	-105.372059	5/28/2021	11:11	mg/kg	3254	405	<lod< td=""><td>118</td><td>153</td><td>48</td><td>18814</td><td>249</td><td><lod< td=""><td>150</td><td><lod< td=""><td>48</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>81</td><td>7</td><td>223</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>266</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	118	153	48	18814	249	<lod< td=""><td>150</td><td><lod< td=""><td>48</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>81</td><td>7</td><td>223</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>266</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	150	<lod< td=""><td>48</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>81</td><td>7</td><td>223</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>266</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<>	48	<lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>81</td><td>7</td><td>223</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>266</td><td>5</td></lod<>	27	81	7	223	7	9	2	266	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X003	40.059325	-105.372042	5/28/2021	11:13	mg/kg	4725	510	<lod< td=""><td>154</td><td>340</td><td>72</td><td>50418</td><td>609</td><td><lod< td=""><td>256</td><td><lod< td=""><td>60</td><td>38</td><td>10</td><td>98</td><td>8</td><td>224</td><td>8</td><td>14</td><td>2</td><td>236</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	154	340	72	50418	609	<lod< td=""><td>256</td><td><lod< td=""><td>60</td><td>38</td><td>10</td><td>98</td><td>8</td><td>224</td><td>8</td><td>14</td><td>2</td><td>236</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<>	256	<lod< td=""><td>60</td><td>38</td><td>10</td><td>98</td><td>8</td><td>224</td><td>8</td><td>14</td><td>2</td><td>236</td><td>5</td></lod<>	60	38	10	98	8	224	8	14	2	236	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X004	40.059309	-105.372013	5/28/2021	11:14	mg/kg	4417	468	<lod< td=""><td>137</td><td>187</td><td>61</td><td>37857</td><td>460</td><td><lod< td=""><td>220</td><td><lod< td=""><td>56</td><td>36</td><td>10</td><td>106</td><td>8</td><td>260</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>202</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	137	187	61	37857	460	<lod< td=""><td>220</td><td><lod< td=""><td>56</td><td>36</td><td>10</td><td>106</td><td>8</td><td>260</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>202</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<>	220	<lod< td=""><td>56</td><td>36</td><td>10</td><td>106</td><td>8</td><td>260</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>202</td><td>4</td></lod<>	56	36	10	106	8	260	8	6	2	202	4
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X005	40.059295	-105.371862	5/28/2021	11:17	mg/kg	3768	461	<lod< th=""><th>126</th><th><lod< th=""><th>151</th><th>25697</th><th>333</th><th><lod< th=""><th>176</th><th><lod< th=""><th>50</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>46</th><th>6</th><th>172</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	126	<lod< th=""><th>151</th><th>25697</th><th>333</th><th><lod< th=""><th>176</th><th><lod< th=""><th>50</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>46</th><th>6</th><th>172</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	151	25697	333	<lod< th=""><th>176</th><th><lod< th=""><th>50</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>46</th><th>6</th><th>172</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	176	<lod< th=""><th>50</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>46</th><th>6</th><th>172</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	50	<lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>46</th><th>6</th><th>172</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<></th></lod<>	27	46	6	172	7	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>322</th><th>6</th></lod<>	5	322	6
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X006	40.059253	-105.371724	5/28/2021	11:19	mg/kg	4044	461	<lod< th=""><th>153</th><th><lod< th=""><th>187</th><th>41732</th><th>503</th><th><lod< th=""><th>230</th><th><lod< th=""><th>58</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>64</th><th>6</th><th>321</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	153	<lod< th=""><th>187</th><th>41732</th><th>503</th><th><lod< th=""><th>230</th><th><lod< th=""><th>58</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>64</th><th>6</th><th>321</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	187	41732	503	<lod< th=""><th>230</th><th><lod< th=""><th>58</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>64</th><th>6</th><th>321</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	230	<lod< th=""><th>58</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>64</th><th>6</th><th>321</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	58	<lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>64</th><th>6</th><th>321</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	28	64	6	321	9	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>254</th><th>5</th></lod<>	5	254	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X007	40.059325	-105.371653	5/28/2021	11:21	mg/kg	2890	463	<lod< th=""><th>158</th><th>430</th><th>73</th><th>41539</th><th>529</th><th><lod< th=""><th>242</th><th><lod< th=""><th>60</th><th><lod< th=""><th>31</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>367</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	158	430	73	41539	529	<lod< th=""><th>242</th><th><lod< th=""><th>60</th><th><lod< th=""><th>31</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>367</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	242	<lod< th=""><th>60</th><th><lod< th=""><th>31</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>367</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	60	<lod< th=""><th>31</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>367</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	31	108	8	367	10	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<>	4	193	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X008	40.059382	-105.371568	5/28/2021	11:24	mg/kg	4090	444	<lod< th=""><th>142</th><th><lod< th=""><th>174</th><th>35965</th><th>430</th><th><lod< th=""><th>206</th><th><lod< th=""><th>52</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>93</th><th>7</th><th>348</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	142	<lod< th=""><th>174</th><th>35965</th><th>430</th><th><lod< th=""><th>206</th><th><lod< th=""><th>52</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>93</th><th>7</th><th>348</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	174	35965	430	<lod< th=""><th>206</th><th><lod< th=""><th>52</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>93</th><th>7</th><th>348</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	206	<lod< th=""><th>52</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>93</th><th>7</th><th>348</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	52	<lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>93</th><th>7</th><th>348</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	28	93	7	348	10	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<>	4	227	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X009	40.059466	-105.371527	5/28/2021	11:26	mg/kg	3964	440	<lod< th=""><th>136</th><th><lod< th=""><th>172</th><th>34470</th><th>415</th><th><lod< th=""><th>200</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>39</th><th>5</th><th>368</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	136	<lod< th=""><th>172</th><th>34470</th><th>415</th><th><lod< th=""><th>200</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>39</th><th>5</th><th>368</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	172	34470	415	<lod< th=""><th>200</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>39</th><th>5</th><th>368</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	200	<lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>39</th><th>5</th><th>368</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	51	<lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>39</th><th>5</th><th>368</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	27	39	5	368	9	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>243</th><th>5</th></lod<>	4	243	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X010	40.059563	-105.371576	5/28/2021	11:28	mg/kg	4256	469	164	52	233	65	43537	514	<lod< th=""><th>229</th><th><lod< th=""><th>54</th><th>29</th><th>10</th><th>75</th><th>7</th><th>219</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>175</th><th>4</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	229	<lod< th=""><th>54</th><th>29</th><th>10</th><th>75</th><th>7</th><th>219</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>175</th><th>4</th></lod<></th></lod<>	54	29	10	75	7	219	7	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>175</th><th>4</th></lod<>	4	175	4
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X011	40.059596	-105.371620	5/28/2021	11:31	mg/kg	7746	613	231	64	797	92	66966	813	447	104	<lod< th=""><th>71</th><th>38</th><th>11</th><th>165</th><th>10</th><th>273</th><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	71	38	11	165	10	273	9	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>193</th><th>5</th></lod<>	5	193	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X012	40.059604	-105.371704	5/28/2021	11:33	mg/kg	4191	481	<lod< th=""><th>146</th><th>218</th><th>61</th><th>33084</th><th>415</th><th><lod< th=""><th>206</th><th><lod< th=""><th>53</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>129</th><th>8</th><th>340</th><th>11</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>244</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	146	218	61	33084	415	<lod< th=""><th>206</th><th><lod< th=""><th>53</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>129</th><th>8</th><th>340</th><th>11</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>244</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	206	<lod< th=""><th>53</th><th><lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>129</th><th>8</th><th>340</th><th>11</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>244</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	53	<lod< th=""><th>28</th><th>129</th><th>8</th><th>340</th><th>11</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>244</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	28	129	8	340	11	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>244</th><th>5</th></lod<>	4	244	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X013	40.059541	-105.371821	5/28/2021	11:35	mg/kg	4008	425	<lod< td=""><td>128</td><td><lod< td=""><td>123</td><td>13846</td><td>201</td><td><lod< td=""><td>133</td><td><lod< td=""><td>44</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>90</td><td>8</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	128	<lod< td=""><td>123</td><td>13846</td><td>201</td><td><lod< td=""><td>133</td><td><lod< td=""><td>44</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>90</td><td>8</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	123	13846	201	<lod< td=""><td>133</td><td><lod< td=""><td>44</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>90</td><td>8</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	133	<lod< td=""><td>44</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>90</td><td>8</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	44	<lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>90</td><td>8</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<>	27	58	6	90	8	<lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>294</td><td>6</td></lod<>	5	294	6
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X014	40.059449	-105.371776	5/28/2021	11:39	mg/kg	3519	372	<lod< td=""><td>110</td><td><lod< td=""><td>114</td><td>10127</td><td>154</td><td><lod< td=""><td>110</td><td><lod< td=""><td>41</td><td><lod< td=""><td>25</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>118</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	110	<lod< td=""><td>114</td><td>10127</td><td>154</td><td><lod< td=""><td>110</td><td><lod< td=""><td>41</td><td><lod< td=""><td>25</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>118</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	114	10127	154	<lod< td=""><td>110</td><td><lod< td=""><td>41</td><td><lod< td=""><td>25</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>118</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	110	<lod< td=""><td>41</td><td><lod< td=""><td>25</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>118</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	41	<lod< td=""><td>25</td><td>58</td><td>6</td><td>118</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<></td></lod<>	25	58	6	118	5	<lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>376</td><td>6</td></lod<>	4	376	6
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X015	40.059470	-105.371948	5/28/2021	11:42	mg/kg	5056	546	<lod< td=""><td>180</td><td><lod< td=""><td>230</td><td>62555</td><td>766</td><td><lod< td=""><td>295</td><td><lod< td=""><td>66</td><td><lod< td=""><td>32</td><td>76</td><td>7</td><td>671</td><td>14</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	180	<lod< td=""><td>230</td><td>62555</td><td>766</td><td><lod< td=""><td>295</td><td><lod< td=""><td>66</td><td><lod< td=""><td>32</td><td>76</td><td>7</td><td>671</td><td>14</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	230	62555	766	<lod< td=""><td>295</td><td><lod< td=""><td>66</td><td><lod< td=""><td>32</td><td>76</td><td>7</td><td>671</td><td>14</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	295	<lod< td=""><td>66</td><td><lod< td=""><td>32</td><td>76</td><td>7</td><td>671</td><td>14</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	66	<lod< td=""><td>32</td><td>76</td><td>7</td><td>671</td><td>14</td><td><lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<></td></lod<>	32	76	7	671	14	<lod< td=""><td>5</td><td>343</td><td>7</td></lod<>	5	343	7
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X001	40.058974	-105.372410	5/28/2021	11:57	mg/kg	5124	489	<lod< th=""><th>142</th><th>214</th><th>61</th><th>36608</th><th>441</th><th><lod< th=""><th>211</th><th><lod< th=""><th>56</th><th>52</th><th>10</th><th>134</th><th>8</th><th>396</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	142	214	61	36608	441	<lod< th=""><th>211</th><th><lod< th=""><th>56</th><th>52</th><th>10</th><th>134</th><th>8</th><th>396</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	211	<lod< th=""><th>56</th><th>52</th><th>10</th><th>134</th><th>8</th><th>396</th><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	56	52	10	134	8	396	10	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>227</th><th>5</th></lod<>	5	227	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002	40.058975	-105.372540	5/28/2021	12:00	mg/kg	6154	529	<lod< th=""><th>158</th><th>423</th><th>74</th><th>51734</th><th>613</th><th><lod< th=""><th>254</th><th>110</th><th>22</th><th>42</th><th>11</th><th>138</th><th>9</th><th>274</th><th>8</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>112</th><th>3</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	158	423	74	51734	613	<lod< th=""><th>254</th><th>110</th><th>22</th><th>42</th><th>11</th><th>138</th><th>9</th><th>274</th><th>8</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>112</th><th>3</th></lod<></th></lod<>	254	110	22	42	11	138	9	274	8	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>112</th><th>3</th></lod<>	4	112	3
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003	40.058772	-105.372632	5/28/2021	12:02	mg/kg	6134	508	<lod< th=""><th>135</th><th><lod< th=""><th>160</th><th>24457</th><th>326</th><th><lod< th=""><th>182</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>35</th><th>6</th><th>980</th><th>17</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	135	<lod< th=""><th>160</th><th>24457</th><th>326</th><th><lod< th=""><th>182</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>35</th><th>6</th><th>980</th><th>17</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	160	24457	326	<lod< th=""><th>182</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>35</th><th>6</th><th>980</th><th>17</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	182	<lod< th=""><th>51</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>35</th><th>6</th><th>980</th><th>17</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	51	<lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>35</th><th>6</th><th>980</th><th>17</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<>	27	35	6	980	17	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>360</th><th>7</th></lod<>	5	360	7
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004	40.058681	-105.372717	5/28/2021	12:04	mg/kg	5295	467	376	49	<lod< th=""><th>122</th><th>9240</th><th>144</th><th><lod< th=""><th>105</th><th>46</th><th>15</th><th><lod< th=""><th>26</th><th>24</th><th>5</th><th>120</th><th>6</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>399</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	122	9240	144	<lod< th=""><th>105</th><th>46</th><th>15</th><th><lod< th=""><th>26</th><th>24</th><th>5</th><th>120</th><th>6</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>399</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	105	46	15	<lod< th=""><th>26</th><th>24</th><th>5</th><th>120</th><th>6</th><th><lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>399</th><th>7</th></lod<></th></lod<>	26	24	5	120	6	<lod< th=""><th>4</th><th>399</th><th>7</th></lod<>	4	399	7
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X005	40.058613	-105.372792	5/28/2021	12:06	mg/kg	3671	441	<lod< td=""><td>133</td><td><lod< td=""><td>150</td><td>24650</td><td>325</td><td><lod< td=""><td>176</td><td><lod< td=""><td>50</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>5</td><td>173</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	133	<lod< td=""><td>150</td><td>24650</td><td>325</td><td><lod< td=""><td>176</td><td><lod< td=""><td>50</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>5</td><td>173</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	150	24650	325	<lod< td=""><td>176</td><td><lod< td=""><td>50</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>5</td><td>173</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	176	<lod< td=""><td>50</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>5</td><td>173</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	50	<lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>5</td><td>173</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<></td></lod<>	27	28	5	173	7	<lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>256</td><td>5</td></lod<>	4	256	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X006	40.059007	-105.372303	5/28/2021	12:09	mg/kg	3439	451	<lod< td=""><td>140</td><td>1266</td><td>83</td><td>39529</td><td>470</td><td>287</td><td>74</td><td><lod< td=""><td>57</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>135</td><td>8</td><td>257</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>155</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	140	1266	83	39529	470	287	74	<lod< td=""><td>57</td><td><lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>135</td><td>8</td><td>257</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>155</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	57	<lod< td=""><td>27</td><td>135</td><td>8</td><td>257</td><td>7</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>155</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<>	27	135	8	257	7	<lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>155</td><td>4</td></lod<>	4	155	4
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X007	40.059066	-105.372226	5/28/2021	12:12	mg/kg	6348	532	<lod< td=""><td>154</td><td>1116</td><td>84</td><td>44857</td><td>535</td><td><lod< td=""><td>238</td><td><lod< td=""><td>61</td><td>35</td><td>10</td><td>192</td><td>10</td><td>94</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>185</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	154	1116	84	44857	535	<lod< td=""><td>238</td><td><lod< td=""><td>61</td><td>35</td><td>10</td><td>192</td><td>10</td><td>94</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>185</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	238	<lod< td=""><td>61</td><td>35</td><td>10</td><td>192</td><td>10</td><td>94</td><td>5</td><td><lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>185</td><td>4</td></lod<></td></lod<>	61	35	10	192	10	94	5	<lod< td=""><td>4</td><td>185</td><td>4</td></lod<>	4	185	4
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X008	40.059105	-105.372198	5/28/2021	12:12	mg/kg	3984	431	<lod< th=""><th>134</th><th><lod< th=""><th>160</th><th>31753</th><th>381</th><th><lod< th=""><th>192</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th>38</th><th>10</th><th>124</th><th>8</th><th>251</th><th>7</th><th>7</th><th>2</th><th>276</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	134	<lod< th=""><th>160</th><th>31753</th><th>381</th><th><lod< th=""><th>192</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th>38</th><th>10</th><th>124</th><th>8</th><th>251</th><th>7</th><th>7</th><th>2</th><th>276</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	160	31753	381	<lod< th=""><th>192</th><th><lod< th=""><th>51</th><th>38</th><th>10</th><th>124</th><th>8</th><th>251</th><th>7</th><th>7</th><th>2</th><th>276</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	192	<lod< th=""><th>51</th><th>38</th><th>10</th><th>124</th><th>8</th><th>251</th><th>7</th><th>7</th><th>2</th><th>276</th><th>5</th></lod<>	51	38	10	124	8	251	7	7	2	276	5
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X009	40.059211	-105.372149	5/28/2021	12:20	mg/kg	<lod< th=""><th>1144</th><th><lod< th=""><th>129</th><th>387</th><th>63</th><th>32716</th><th>410</th><th><lod< th=""><th>199</th><th>77</th><th>19</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>101</th><th>7</th><th>174</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>268</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	1144	<lod< th=""><th>129</th><th>387</th><th>63</th><th>32716</th><th>410</th><th><lod< th=""><th>199</th><th>77</th><th>19</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>101</th><th>7</th><th>174</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>268</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	129	387	63	32716	410	<lod< th=""><th>199</th><th>77</th><th>19</th><th><lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>101</th><th>7</th><th>174</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>268</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	199	77	19	<lod< th=""><th>27</th><th>101</th><th>7</th><th>174</th><th>7</th><th><lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>268</th><th>5</th></lod<></th></lod<>	27	101	7	174	7	<lod< th=""><th>5</th><th>268</th><th>5</th></lod<>	5	268	5
v	RF) Spectrometry Soil Sur	v		-			~		-						~ /	~	~	~ /	- ~ - T	~	-	-					1	
Mine Name	XRF Sample ID	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Time	Units	Sr	Sr +/-	Zr	Zr +/-	Mo	Mo +/-	Ag	Ag +/-	Cd	Cd +/-	Sn	Sn +/-	Sb	Sb +/-	Ba	Ba +/-	Hg	Hg +/-	Pb	Pb +/-	-	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X001	40.059411	-105.371968	5/28/2021	11:09	mg/kg	252	5	294	6	15	3	<lod< td=""><td>39</td><td><lod< td=""><td>46</td><td><lod< td=""><td>74</td><td><lod< td=""><td>82</td><td><lod< td=""><td>668</td><td>172</td><td>10</td><td>95</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	39	<lod< td=""><td>46</td><td><lod< td=""><td>74</td><td><lod< td=""><td>82</td><td><lod< td=""><td>668</td><td>172</td><td>10</td><td>95</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	46	<lod< td=""><td>74</td><td><lod< td=""><td>82</td><td><lod< td=""><td>668</td><td>172</td><td>10</td><td>95</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	74	<lod< td=""><td>82</td><td><lod< td=""><td>668</td><td>172</td><td>10</td><td>95</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	82	<lod< td=""><td>668</td><td>172</td><td>10</td><td>95</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<>	668	172	10	95	6	-	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X002	40.059402	-105.372059	5/28/2021	11:11	mg/kg	221	5	266	5	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>36</th><th><lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod< th=""><th>69 72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>/6</th><th>620</th><th>181</th><th>78</th><th>/</th><th>44</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	9	<lod< th=""><th>36</th><th><lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod< th=""><th>69 72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>/6</th><th>620</th><th>181</th><th>78</th><th>/</th><th>44</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	36	<lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod< th=""><th>69 72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>/6</th><th>620</th><th>181</th><th>78</th><th>/</th><th>44</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	43	<lod< th=""><th>69 72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>/6</th><th>620</th><th>181</th><th>78</th><th>/</th><th>44</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	69 72	<lod< th=""><th>/6</th><th>620</th><th>181</th><th>78</th><th>/</th><th>44</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<>	/6	620	181	78	/	44	5		
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X003	40.059325	-105.372042	5/28/2021	11:13	mg/kg	163	4	184	4	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>38 37</th><th><lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	9	<lod< th=""><th>38 37</th><th><lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	38 37	<lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	45	<lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	72	<lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	80	<lod< th=""><th>672</th><th>108</th><th>8</th><th>48</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<>	672	108	8	48	5	-	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X004 USHM-SO-MP01-01-X005	40.059309 40.059295	-105.372013 -105.371862	5/28/2021 5/28/2021	11:14 11:17	mg/kg	200	4 5	212	4	<lod <lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>44 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72 72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod 1008</lod </th><th>612 209</th><th>55</th><th>5</th><th>29 60</th><th>4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	9	<lod <lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>44 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72 72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod 1008</lod </th><th>612 209</th><th>55</th><th>5</th><th>29 60</th><th>4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	37	<lod <lod< th=""><th>44 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72 72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod 1008</lod </th><th>612 209</th><th>55</th><th>5</th><th>29 60</th><th>4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	44 45	<lod <lod< th=""><th>72 72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod 1008</lod </th><th>612 209</th><th>55</th><th>5</th><th>29 60</th><th>4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	72 72	<lod <lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod 1008</lod </th><th>612 209</th><th>55</th><th>5</th><th>29 60</th><th>4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod 	10	<lod 1008</lod 	612 209	55	5	29 60	4	-	
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X005	40.059293	-105.371724	5/28/2021	11:17	mg/kg mg/kg	225 197	3	129 138	4	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80 80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	9	<lod <lod< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80 80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	38	<lod <lod< th=""><th>43 45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80 80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	43 45	<lod <lod< th=""><th>72</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80 80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	72	<lod <lod< th=""><th>80 80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	80 80	<lod< th=""><th>603</th><th>32 32</th><th>5</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<>	603	32 32	5	72	5	-	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X000	40.059255	-105.371724	5/28/2021	11:19	mg/kg	284	4	257	4	15	9	<lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>81</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>632</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 		<lod <lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>81</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>632</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	45	<lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>81</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>632</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	73	<lod <lod< th=""><th>81</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>632</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	81	<lod <lod< th=""><th>632</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	632	<lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>72</th><th>5</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<>	15	72	5	-	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X007	40.059323	-105.371568	5/28/2021	11:21	mg/kg	265	5	128	<u> </u>	10	3	<lod <lod< th=""><th>39 36</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>40</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>580</th><th><lod 15</lod </th><th>5</th><th>182</th><th>0 &</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	39 36	<lod <lod< th=""><th>40</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>580</th><th><lod 15</lod </th><th>5</th><th>182</th><th>0 &</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	40	<lod <lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>580</th><th><lod 15</lod </th><th>5</th><th>182</th><th>0 &</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	69	<lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>580</th><th><lod 15</lod </th><th>5</th><th>182</th><th>0 &</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	76	<lod <lod< th=""><th>580</th><th><lod 15</lod </th><th>5</th><th>182</th><th>0 &</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod 	580	<lod 15</lod 	5	182	0 &	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X009	40.059382	-105.371508	5/28/2021	11:24	mg/kg	165	<u> </u>	128	7	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>30</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	9	<lod <lod< th=""><th>30</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	30	<lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	43	<lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>576</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	576	<lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>60</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	14	60	5	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X010	40.059563	-105.371527	5/28/2021	11:20	mg/kg	234	5	102	<u> </u>	<lod <lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	9	<lod <lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	37	<lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	43	<lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	77	<lod <lod< th=""><th>621</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	621	<lod <lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>75</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod 	13	75	5	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X010	40.059505	-105.371620	5/28/2021	11:28	mg/kg	234	5	424	7	14	4	<lod <lod< th=""><th>40</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>47</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>83</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>774</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	40	<lod <lod< th=""><th>47</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>83</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>774</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	47	<lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>83</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>774</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	76	<lod <lod< th=""><th>83</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>774</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	83	<lod <lod< th=""><th>774</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	774	<lod <lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>59</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></lod 	15	59	5	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X012	40.059604	-105.371704	5/28/2021	11:33	mg/kg	206	5	171	4	14	3	<lod< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod 869</lod </th><th>215</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>256</th><th>9</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	38	<lod <lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod 869</lod </th><th>215</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>256</th><th>9</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	45	<lod <lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod 869</lod </th><th>215</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>256</th><th>9</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod 869</lod </th><th>215</th><th><lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>256</th><th>9</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	80	<lod 869</lod 	215	<lod< th=""><th>15</th><th>256</th><th>9</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	15	256	9	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X012	40.059541	-105.371821	5/28/2021	11:35	mg/kg	788	11	467	7	17	3	<lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod< th=""><th>554</th><th>22</th><th>5</th><th>327</th><th>10</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	37	<lod <lod< th=""><th>43</th><th><lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod< th=""><th>554</th><th>22</th><th>5</th><th>327</th><th>10</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	43	<lod< th=""><th>70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod< th=""><th>554</th><th>22</th><th>5</th><th>327</th><th>10</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>76</th><th><lod< th=""><th>554</th><th>22</th><th>5</th><th>327</th><th>10</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	76	<lod< th=""><th>554</th><th>22</th><th>5</th><th>327</th><th>10</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	554	22	5	327	10	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X014	40.059449	-105.371776	5/28/2021	11:39	mg/kg	130	3	230	4	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>35</th><th><lod< th=""><th>42</th><th><lod< th=""><th>68</th><th><lod< th=""><th>75</th><th><lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	9	<lod< th=""><th>35</th><th><lod< th=""><th>42</th><th><lod< th=""><th>68</th><th><lod< th=""><th>75</th><th><lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	35	<lod< th=""><th>42</th><th><lod< th=""><th>68</th><th><lod< th=""><th>75</th><th><lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	42	<lod< th=""><th>68</th><th><lod< th=""><th>75</th><th><lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	68	<lod< th=""><th>75</th><th><lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	75	<lod< th=""><th>473</th><th>15</th><th>4</th><th>40</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	473	15	4	40	4	1	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP01-01-X015	40.059470	-105.371948	5/28/2021	11:42	mg/kg	210	5	185	4	<lod< th=""><th>10</th><th><lod< th=""><th>40</th><th><lod< th=""><th>47</th><th><lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod< th=""><th>84</th><th><lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	10	<lod< th=""><th>40</th><th><lod< th=""><th>47</th><th><lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod< th=""><th>84</th><th><lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	40	<lod< th=""><th>47</th><th><lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod< th=""><th>84</th><th><lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	47	<lod< th=""><th>77</th><th><lod< th=""><th>84</th><th><lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	77	<lod< th=""><th>84</th><th><lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	84	<lod< th=""><th>714</th><th><lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	714	<lod< th=""><th>16</th><th>42</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	16	42	5	1	
11	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X001	40.058974	-105.372410	5/28/2021	11:57	mg/kg	331	6	269	5	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod< th=""><th>44</th><th><lod< th=""><th>71</th><th><lod< th=""><th>78</th><th>718</th><th>213</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	9	<lod< th=""><th>37</th><th><lod< th=""><th>44</th><th><lod< th=""><th>71</th><th><lod< th=""><th>78</th><th>718</th><th>213</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	37	<lod< th=""><th>44</th><th><lod< th=""><th>71</th><th><lod< th=""><th>78</th><th>718</th><th>213</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	44	<lod< th=""><th>71</th><th><lod< th=""><th>78</th><th>718</th><th>213</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	71	<lod< th=""><th>78</th><th>718</th><th>213</th><th><lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	78	718	213	<lod< th=""><th>14</th><th>65</th><th>5</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	14	65	5	1	
Upper Sweet Home		10.000774	100.072410				400	7	177	4	<lod< th=""><th>9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	9	<lod< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></th></lod<>	38	<lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	45	<lod <lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	73	<lod <lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	80	<lod< th=""><th>673</th><th><lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	673	<lod< th=""><th>13</th><th>23</th><th>4</th><th>1</th><th></th></lod<>	13	23	4	1	
Upper Sweet Home		40 058975	-105 372540	5/28/2021	12.00	mg/kg		. /	L 1//					50		77				00		015		15	25	1 7	4	
Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002	40.058975 40.058772	-105.372540	5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:00	mg/kg mg/kg		4	319	6	-	10	<l0d< th=""><th>38</th><th><lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>638</th><th><lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></l0d<>	38	<lod< th=""><th>45</th><th><lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>638</th><th><lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	45	<lod< th=""><th>73</th><th><lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>638</th><th><lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	73	<lod< th=""><th>80</th><th><lod< th=""><th>638</th><th><lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	80	<lod< th=""><th>638</th><th><lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	638	<lod< th=""><th>17</th><th>33</th><th>5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<>	17	33	5		
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003	40.058772	-105.372632	5/28/2021	12:02	mg/kg	185	4	319 291	6	<lod< td=""><td>10</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>38 35</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>45 42</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>73 69</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>80 75</td><td><lod 1400</lod </td><td>638 207</td><td><lod 30</lod </td><td>17 5</td><td>33 59</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<>	10	<lod <lod< td=""><td>38 35</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>45 42</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>73 69</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>80 75</td><td><lod 1400</lod </td><td>638 207</td><td><lod 30</lod </td><td>17 5</td><td>33 59</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	38 35	<lod <lod< td=""><td>45 42</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>73 69</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>80 75</td><td><lod 1400</lod </td><td>638 207</td><td><lod 30</lod </td><td>17 5</td><td>33 59</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	45 42	<lod <lod< td=""><td>73 69</td><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>80 75</td><td><lod 1400</lod </td><td>638 207</td><td><lod 30</lod </td><td>17 5</td><td>33 59</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	73 69	<lod <lod< td=""><td>80 75</td><td><lod 1400</lod </td><td>638 207</td><td><lod 30</lod </td><td>17 5</td><td>33 59</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></lod<></lod 	80 75	<lod 1400</lod 	638 207	<lod 30</lod 	17 5	33 59	5		
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004	40.058772 40.058681	-105.372632 -105.372717	5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:02 12:04	mg/kg mg/kg	185 245	4 5 5	291	6 5 4	<lod 11</lod 	10 3 9	<lod< td=""><td>38 35 38</td><td><lod< td=""><td>45 42 46</td><td><lod< td=""><td>69</td><td><lod< td=""><td>75</td><td>1400</td><td>207</td><td>30</td><td>17 5 13</td><td>33 59 73</td><td>5 5 5</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	38 35 38	<lod< td=""><td>45 42 46</td><td><lod< td=""><td>69</td><td><lod< td=""><td>75</td><td>1400</td><td>207</td><td>30</td><td>17 5 13</td><td>33 59 73</td><td>5 5 5</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	45 42 46	<lod< td=""><td>69</td><td><lod< td=""><td>75</td><td>1400</td><td>207</td><td>30</td><td>17 5 13</td><td>33 59 73</td><td>5 5 5</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	69	<lod< td=""><td>75</td><td>1400</td><td>207</td><td>30</td><td>17 5 13</td><td>33 59 73</td><td>5 5 5</td><td>-</td><td></td></lod<>	75	1400	207	30	17 5 13	33 59 73	5 5 5	-	
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X005	40.058772 40.058681 40.058613	-105.372632 -105.372717 -105.372792	5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:02 12:04 12:06	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	185 245 243	4 5 5 4	291 153	6 5 4 4	<lod< th=""><th>10 3 9 3</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>35</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<>	10 3 9 3	<lod <lod< th=""><th>35</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	35	<lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	42 46	<lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	69 74	<lod <lod< th=""><th></th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 		1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	207 583	30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4</th><th></th><th></th></lod<>	17 5 13 5	59	5 5 5 4		
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X005 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X006	40.058772 40.058681	-105.372632 -105.372717 -105.372792 -105.372303	5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:02 12:04 12:06 12:09	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	185 245 243 203	4 5 5 4 4	291 153 132	6 5 4 4 5	<lod 11 <lod 9</lod </lod 	10 3 9 3 9	<lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>35 38</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>42</th><th><lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81</th><th>1400 <lod 725</lod </th><th>207 583 204</th><th>30 <lod 23</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4 4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod 	35 38	<lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>42</th><th><lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81</th><th>1400 <lod 725</lod </th><th>207 583 204</th><th>30 <lod 23</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4 4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </lod 	42	<lod< th=""><th>69</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81</th><th>1400 <lod 725</lod </th><th>207 583 204</th><th>30 <lod 23</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4 4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<>	69	<lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81</th><th>1400 <lod 725</lod </th><th>207 583 204</th><th>30 <lod 23</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6</th><th>59</th><th>5 5 5 4 4</th><th>-</th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod 	75 81	1400 <lod 725</lod 	207 583 204	30 <lod 23</lod 	17 5 13 5 6	59	5 5 5 4 4	-	
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X005	40.058772 40.058681 40.058613 40.059007	-105.372632 -105.372717 -105.372792	5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:02 12:04 12:06 12:09 12:12	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	185 245 243	4 5 5 4 4 4	291 153	6 5 4 4 5 4	<lod 11 <lod< th=""><th>10 3 9 3 9 9 9 9</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>35 38</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74 70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77</th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	10 3 9 3 9 9 9 9	<lod <lod< th=""><th>35 38</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74 70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77</th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod 	35 38	<lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44</th><th><lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74 70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77</th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </th></lod<></lod 	42 46 44	<lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>69 74 70</th><th><lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77</th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod </th></lod<></lod </lod 	69 74 70	<lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77</th><th>1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<></lod 	75 81 77	1400 <lod< th=""><th>207 583</th><th>30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<></th></lod<>	207 583	30 <lod< th=""><th>17 5 13 5 6 6</th><th>59 73 31</th><th>5 5 5 4 4 4 4</th><th>- - -</th><th></th></lod<>	17 5 13 5 6 6	59 73 31	5 5 5 4 4 4 4	- - -	
Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home Upper Sweet Home	USHM-SO-MP02-01-X002 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X003 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X004 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X005 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X006 USHM-SO-MP02-01-X007	40.058772 40.058681 40.058613 40.059007 40.059066	-105.372632 -105.372717 -105.372792 -105.372303 -105.372226	5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021 5/28/2021	12:02 12:04 12:06 12:09	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	185 245 243 203 185	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\end{array}$	291 153 132 230	6 5 4 4 5 4 3	<lod 11 <lod 9 <lod< th=""><th>10 3 9 3 9 9 9 9 9</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>35 38 37 37</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44 45</th><th><lod <lod <lod 76</lod </lod </lod </th><th>69 74 70 24</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77 78</th><th>1400 <lod 725 724</lod </th><th>207 583 204 227</th><th>30 <lod 23 42</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6 6 6</th><th>59 73 31 26</th><th>5 5 4 4 4 5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod 	10 3 9 3 9 9 9 9 9	<lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>35 38 37 37</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44 45</th><th><lod <lod <lod 76</lod </lod </lod </th><th>69 74 70 24</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77 78</th><th>1400 <lod 725 724</lod </th><th>207 583 204 227</th><th>30 <lod 23 42</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6 6 6</th><th>59 73 31 26</th><th>5 5 4 4 4 5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	35 38 37 37	<lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>42 46 44 45</th><th><lod <lod <lod 76</lod </lod </lod </th><th>69 74 70 24</th><th><lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77 78</th><th>1400 <lod 725 724</lod </th><th>207 583 204 227</th><th>30 <lod 23 42</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6 6 6</th><th>59 73 31 26</th><th>5 5 4 4 4 5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </lod </th></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	42 46 44 45	<lod <lod <lod 76</lod </lod </lod 	69 74 70 24	<lod <lod <lod <lod< th=""><th>75 81 77 78</th><th>1400 <lod 725 724</lod </th><th>207 583 204 227</th><th>30 <lod 23 42</lod </th><th>17 5 13 5 6 6 6</th><th>59 73 31 26</th><th>5 5 4 4 4 5</th><th></th><th></th></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	75 81 77 78	1400 <lod 725 724</lod 	207 583 204 227	30 <lod 23 42</lod 	17 5 13 5 6 6 6	59 73 31 26	5 5 4 4 4 5		

Ti = titanium; Cr = chromium; Mn = manganese; Fe = iron; Co = cobalt; Ni = nickel; Cu = copper; Zn = zinc; As = arsenic; Se = selenium; Rb = rubidium; Sr = strontium; Mo = molybdemum; Ag = silver; Cd = cadmium; Sn = tin; Sb = antimony; Ba = barium; Hg = mercury; Pb = lead

ATTACHMENT EPA



R:\GIS\R8STARTV\Colorado_Draining_mines\ArcGIS_Pro

COC-80634 Fred Ells ROW

08

Sunshine Canyor

Applicant's BLM Easement Illustration





BEM



6th Principal Meridian T. 1N., R. 71W., sec. 8.

2022-0019-CX



NOTE TO MAP USERS No warrantee is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of the data layers shown on this map. The official land records of the data providers should be checked or current status on any specific tract of land

6301 SUNSHINE CANYON DR. BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT (BOA) HARDSHIP STATEMENT

A. There exists exceptional or extraordinary physical circumstances of the subject property such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness, or slope.

The existing foundation was built on a 50' wide mining claim, which had a variance for the setbacks from when the house was originally built in 1986. Since the subject property consists of former mining claims it is both irregular and narrow. Also, the property is on a steep slope (typically between 45°- 60°), except for where the original house and driveway are located. The only other portion of the site which has a lower slope is within 50' of County Road 52 and is not feasible for building a new residence (see "B" below).

After the 2010 Fourmile Fire, rebuilding regulations adopted by the County would have allowed the owner to rebuild an existing structure without a variance until 2012, with an option to extend until 2014. The owner was not financially ready to rebuild during this period. Due to the trauma of losing his home in the Fourmile Fire, the owner has also not been emotionally ready to rebuild until the present time.

The owner is currently supporting mining reclamation efforts on the property. The Fourmile Watershed Coalition, EPA, Colorado Department of Reclamation, Mining, and Safety, and other agencies have been taking mine waste soil samples on a portion of the property east of the existing foundation. They have been and will be continuing to investigate and evaluate hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants, and will need continued access to the property for the next several years (see attached letter). They are also re-contouring the land back to the original contours before the mining occurred. The owner intends to retain this area as open space. This region also contains an 8' diameter former mine shaft which has been plugged with foam- see site plan.

One additional irregular feature of the site is a 12' high rock cliff which is 2' east of the property line, along the back wall of the proposed storage area addition.

Lastly, the BOA has expressed interest in extending their authority under this Criteria A to consider environmental impacts of disturbing additional land, requiring applicants to do things that are more costly to them and their neighborhood, and to the physical environment. A variance will allow the owner to do what is most practical and environmentally sensitive, ensuring the existing foundation structure, septic system/ leach field, well, and utility connections will be utilized. Without a variance, these resources will be completely wasted, resulting in the owner being allowed to build only a new structure on part of the property that previously had no development. This will create an exponentially larger disturbance to the environment, the land, and the neighborhood, and require a much greater financial cost as well.

B. Because of these physical circumstances, the strict application of this Code would create an exceptional or undue hardship upon the property owner.

The strict application of the code would not allow the owner to rebuild a smaller version of the original house that burned down in the 2010 Fourmile Fire due to setback encroachments. 25' side setbacks on a lot that is 50' wide leaves no buildable area on the property. This is why a variance was given in 1986 for 8' side setbacks for the construction of the original 47' wide

house (destroyed in the fire). This current variance would be aligned with the original variance to allow greatly reduced setbacks.

The general purpose of setbacks is to ensure one building does not infringe on another property's/ building's right to sunlight, ventilation, greenery and vehicular access. In this case, the properties adjacent to the existing house site are owned by the BLM. There will never be a possibility of building occurring on them, so the owner rebuilding on the site of his original home with a reduced side setback will have no practical adverse effect on neighboring properties.

Also, there are no other feasible portions of the site to build on due to the physical circumstances mentioned in "A" above. Locating a new residence on the flatter area within 50' of County Road 52 has a number of disadvantages. Most importantly, it is hazardous due to dust from the road potentially containing heavy metals and other contaminants from mining tailings. This area is also less private, and prone to headlights from cars shining into the house at night. Also, County Road 85 runs through this part of the property. The width of the right-of-way is 60 feet, which means any structures would need to be at least 45 feet away from the centerline to meet the 15-foot front setback requirement from the edge of the right-of-way. Thus, the flatter area could still not be built upon without violating setback requirements.

Regarding wildfire mitigation, there are no wildfire fuels on the property, or within 30' of the property line and further out on any neighboring properties due to the Fourmile Fire.

C. The hardship is not self-imposed.

The hardships are completely due to the physical circumstances of the site mentioned above, and the infeasibility of building within 50' of County Road 52.

D. The variance, if granted, will not adversely affect the use of adjacent property as permitted under this Code.

The majority of adjacent property is BLM land, and the owner sent a certified letter to the BLM 4 years ago to inquire about them selling the two lots to the east and west of property. The BLM's response indicated that they do not have the personnel to dispose of the lots, so by default there will not be any future building on these lots. Additionally, the neighbor to west has a ROW for the driveway on their lot (BLM lot #163).

The owner has applied for ROW easements on the lots to the east and west of property. The easement on the east side of the existing foundation has been granted, and is for the existing well and driveway. The easement on the west side is for a 10' wide area where the soil was disturbed when the foundation was backfilled.

A 2018 Boundary Line Adjustment with the neighbor to the north functioned to give the owner legal access to the existing driveway. Boulder County's policy at the time prohibited acquiring property to add to the existing property in order to make a better building site, so this was not a goal of the Boundary Line Adjustment.

The closest neighboring structure, a single family residence, is more than 500 ft away and will not be adversely affected by the rebuilding of the house. All neighboring lots that can be built upon have been, and have ROW's to prevent any further building on the lots.

E. That the variance, if granted, will not change the character of the zoning district in which the property is located, and is in keeping with the intent of this Code and the Boulder County Comprehensive Plan; and,

Rebuilding a small single family dwelling in its original location on the property is consistent with the Forestry zoning designation. The vast majority of the property will remain open space. It is also consistent with the Boulder County Comprehensive plan's emphasis on environmental preservation. The existing foundation has recently been inspected by a structural engineer who determined it to be sound for reconstruction. Reusing it avoids the need to disturb the existing landscape on other portions of the property, as no new excavation will be necessary. Reuse also conserves resources and eliminates the embodied energy in building materials that creating a new foundation would require.

Additionally, further disturbance of the site will not be necessary for utilities. The existing septic system and well are still functional and connected to the house. Reusing the existing buried electrical, phone, and internet lines which go from the existing foundation to County Road 52 will avoid the need to dig trenches for a new line.

Lastly, rebuilding on the existing foundation is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's goal of avoiding hazardous areas of the site where the land has been destabilized and contaminated by past mining.

F. That the variance, if granted, does not adversely affect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Boulder County.

There are no potential adverse health safety, and welfare effects in rebuilding the house where it stood from 1986 – 2010. As mentioned, this property had this same variance proposal approved when the original structure was built in 1986. The present hardships are identical to those that existed then.

From:	Cavaleri, Keli
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 3:41 PM
To:	Walker, Samuel
Subject:	FW: Re-Referral for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive
Attachments:	var-21-0003-re-referral-packet-red.pdf

Hi Sam,

Parks and Open Space has reviewed this request and has no issues, or comments regarding ownership or real estate. Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this docket.

Keli Cavaleri kcavaleri@bouldercounty.org

From: Milner, Anna <amilner@bouldercounty.org> Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 10:15 AM To: Flax, Ron <rflax@bouldercounty.org>; #WildfireMitigation <WildfireMitigation@bouldercounty.org>; #AssessorReferral <AssessorReferral@bouldercounty.org>; #CAreferral <CAreferral@bouldercounty.org>; #CEreferral <CEreferral@bouldercounty.org>; Johnson, Curtis <cjohnson@bouldercounty.org>; Kiepe, Bob
<bkiepe@bouldercounty.org>; Allshouse, Alycia <aallshouse@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@flagstaffsurveying.com>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@flagstaffsurveying.com>; BDRCO@xcelenergy.com; Donna.L.George@xcelenergy.com; Vanessa McCracken <bldrvalleyandlongmontcds@gmail.com>; kberger@blm.gov; chief@sunshine-fpd.org; reginadaly01@gmail.com; bdhoneyman@gmail.com; marshal@sunshine-fpd.org; pthourihan@gmail.com; ropegun@hotmail.com; drms_info@state.co.us; Abner, Ethan <eabner@bouldercounty.org>; Hippely, Hannah <hhippely@bouldercounty.org>; Sheehan, Jack <jsheehan@bouldercounty.org>; Vaughn, Andrea <avaughn@bouldercounty.org>; Moline, Jeffrey <jmoline@bouldercounty.org>; Strenge, Ernst <estrenge@bouldercounty.org>; Cavaleri, Keli <kcavaleri@bouldercounty.org>; Frederick, Summer <sfrederick@bouldercounty.org>; Goldstein, Andrew <agoldstein@bouldercounty.org>; HealthWaterQuality-EnvironmentalBP LU <HealthWQ-EnvironBPLU@bouldercounty.org>; Huebner, Michelle <mhuebner@bouldercounty.org>; Sanchez, Kimberly <ksanchez@bouldercounty.org>; Transportation Development Review <TransDevReview@bouldercounty.org>; West, Ron <rowest@bouldercounty.org> Cc: Walker, Samuel <swalker@bouldercounty.org> Subject: Re-Referral for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Please find attached the electronic re-referral packet for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

Please return responses and direct any questions to <u>Sam Walker</u> by **February 16, 2023.** (Boulder County internal departments and agencies: Please attach the referral comments in Accela.)

Anna Milner | Admin. Lead Tech. Boulder County Community Planning & Permitting Pronouns: she/her/hers Physical address: 2045 13th St., Boulder CO 80302 Mailing address: PO Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306 (720) 564-2638 (Direct) amilner@bouldercounty.org Service hours are 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and 10 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Tuesday

1

REF1



*My core working hours are 7am-5:30pm Tues - Fri

New: Boulder County has a new website: BoulderCounty.gov! Bookmark it today. Email addresses will transition at a later date.

www.bouldercounty.gov



From: Sent:	Arnold, Melissa Wednesday, February 1, 2023 10:38 AM
То:	Walker, Samuel
Cc:	Carden, Timothy; Northrup, Elizabeth (Liz)
Subject:	RE: Re-Referral for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Hi Sam,

The Conservation Easement Program does not have a comment on this docket at this time, as the proposal should not affect the nearby Correll conservation easement property. Thanks for the opportunity to review this,

Melissa

Melissa Arnold | Land Officer Pronouns | she/her/hers Boulder County Parks & Open Space 303.678.6266 Office 5201 St. Vrain Road, Longmont, CO 80503 <u>marnold@bouldercounty.org</u> BoulderCounty.gov

New: Boulder County has a new website: <u>BoulderCounty.gov</u>! Bookmark it today. Email addresses will transition at a later date.

From: Milner, Anna <amilner@bouldercounty.org>

Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 10:15 AM

To: Flax, Ron <rflax@bouldercounty.org>; #WildfireMitigation <WildfireMitigation@bouldercounty.org>; #AssessorReferral <AssessorReferral@bouldercounty.org>; #CAreferral <CAreferral@bouldercounty.org>; #CEreferral <CEreferral@bouldercounty.org>; Johnson, Curtis <cjohnson@bouldercounty.org>; Kiepe, Bob
<bkiepe@bouldercounty.org>; Allshouse, Alycia <aallshouse@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@flagstaffsurveying.com>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@bouldercounty.org>; Stadele, Lee <leestadele@flagstaffsurveying.com>; BDRCO@xcelenergy.com; Donna.L.George@xcelenergy.com; Vanessa McCracken <bldrvalleyandlongmontcds@gmail.com>; kberger@blm.gov; chief@sunshine-fpd.org; reginadaly01@gmail.com; bdhoneyman@gmail.com; marshal@sunshine-fpd.org; pthourihan@gmail.com; ropegun@hotmail.com; drms_info@state.co.us; Abner, Ethan <eabner@bouldercounty.org>; Hippely, Hannah <hhippely@bouldercounty.org>; Sheehan, Jack <jsheehan@bouldercounty.org>; Vaughn, Andrea <avaughn@bouldercounty.org>; Moline, Jeffrey <jmoline@bouldercounty.org>; Strenge, Ernst <estrenge@bouldercounty.org>; Cavaleri, Keli <kcavaleri@bouldercounty.org>; Frederick, Summer <sfrederick@bouldercounty.org>; Goldstein, Andrew <agoldstein@bouldercounty.org>; HealthWaterQuality-EnvironmentalBP LU <HealthWQ-EnvironBPLU@bouldercounty.org>; Huebner, Michelle <mhuebner@bouldercounty.org>; Sanchez, Kimberly <ksanchez@bouldercounty.org>; Transportation Development Review <TransDevReview@bouldercounty.org>; West, Ron <rowest@bouldercounty.org> Cc: Walker, Samuel <swalker@bouldercounty.org>

Subject: Re-Referral for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Please find attached the electronic re-referral packet for VAR-21-0003: Ells Residence in Setback project at 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive.

Please return responses and direct any questions to <u>Sam Walker</u> by **February 16, 2023.** (Boulder County internal departments and agencies: Please attach the referral comments in Accela.)

Anna Milner | Admin. Lead Tech. Boulder County Community Planning & Permitting Pronouns: she/her/hers Physical address: 2045 13th St., Boulder CO 80302 Mailing address: PO Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306 (720) 564-2638 (Direct) amilner@bouldercounty.org Service hours are 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and 10 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Tuesday *My core working hours are 7am-5:30pm Tues - Fri

New: Boulder County has a new website: BoulderCounty.gov! Bookmark it today. Email addresses will transition at a later date.

www.bouldercounty.gov





Right of Way & Permits

1123 West 3rd Avenue Denver, Colorado 80223 Telephone: **303.571.3306** Facsimile: 303. 571. 3284 donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com

February 13, 2023

Boulder County Community Planning and Permitting PO Box 471 Boulder, CO 80306

Attn: Sam Walker

Re: Ells Residence in Setback Re-Notice, Case # VAR-21-0003

Public Service Company of Colorado's (PSCo) Right of Way & Permits Referral Desk has reviewed the documentation for **Ells Residence in Setback** and has no apparent issues with the variance request, provided it does not affect any existing electric utilities.

Please be aware PSCo owns and operates existing overhead and underground electric facilities on and/or crossing the subject property and must be shown on the plan.

In relation to the proposed solar array and the overhead electric facilities, note that per the National Electric Safety Code, a <u>minimum 10-foot radial clearance</u> must be maintained at all times from all overhead electric facilities including, but not limited to, construction activities and permanent structures.

The property owner/developer/contractor must complete the application process for any new natural gas or electric service, or modification to existing facilities via <u>xcelenergy.com/InstallAndConnect</u>. It is then the responsibility of the developer to contact the Designer assigned to the project for approval of design details.

As a safety precaution, PSCo would like to remind the developer to call the Utility Notification Center by dialing 811 for utility locates prior to construction.

Donna George Right of Way and Permits Public Service Company of Colorado dba Xcel Energy Office: 303-571-3306 – Email: donna.l.george@xcelenergy.com

ATTACHMENT REF



TO:	Sam Walker, Community Planning & Permitting Department
FROM:	Ron West, Natural Resource Planner
DATE:	February 10, 2023
SUBJECT:	Docket VAR-21-0003, Ells, 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Staff still has no natural resource concerns with the proposal, as in the original referral memo below.

TO:	Ryan Kacirek, Community Planning & Permitting Department
FROM:	Ron West, Natural Resource Planner
DATE:	May 25, 2021
SUBJECT:	Docket VAR-21-0003, Ells, 6301 Sunshine Canyon Drive

Staff has reviewed the submitted materials, and has no particular natural resource concerns with this variance.

From:	Brooke Weathers <brooke.weathers@compass.com></brooke.weathers@compass.com>
Sent:	Friday, February 17, 2023 9:21 AM
То:	LU Land Use Planner
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. Variance

I live at 6310 Sunshine Canyon Drive and am writing in response to the postcard received for the above. I am not in objection to the residence being built, but I do object to it being placed close to Sunshine Canyon Drive. To maintain the character of the neighborhood, it should be set back from SCD, not right next to it.

Lorrie Weathers 6310 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302 303-898-6564

From: Walker, Samuel <swalker@bouldercounty.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:53 PM
To: Jason Ruby <rubyjar@yahoo.com>
Cc: Fred J. <sunshinefred@msn.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] 6301 Sunshine Canyon Dr. Variance

Fred & Jason,

Glad we were able to chat this afternoon. Attached are several documents I promised, including a copy of the notification postcard that was sent out and the three additional referral responses I received from our referral agencies. Also attached is the only public comment I've received so far, which came in yesterday.

Sam Walker

Planner II| Boulder County Community Planning & Permitting 2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302 Ph: 720-564-2738 <u>swalker@bouldercounty.org</u> <image001.png>

<var-21-0003notification postcard.pdf> <BCPOS Real Estate.pdf> <CE Team.pdf> <special letter (008).doc> <Eugene and Christine Fischer.pdf> <image001.png>

From:	Eugene Fischer <genefischer@yahoo.com></genefischer@yahoo.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 15, 2023 1:58 PM
То:	LU Land Use Planner
Cc:	Fred Ells
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Docket # VAR-21-0003: Ells

We are writing with respect to the above application to inform the Board of Adjustment that we do not oppose applicant's request. We would prefer that the new home not be built too close to Sunshine Canyon Drive.

Eugene Fischer Christine Fischer *Eugene J. and Christine M. Fischer Trust* 6300 Sunshine Canyon Drive Boulder, CO 80302 303-444-2134